1	IRELL & MANELLA LLP Jonathan S. Kagan (SBN 166039)			
2	jkagan@irell.com Joshua P. Glucoft (SBN 301249)			
3	jglucoft@irell.com 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900			
4	Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Telephone: (310) 277-1010			
5	Facsimile: (310) 203-7199			
6	Rebecca L. Carson (SBN 254105)			
7	rcarson@irell.com Ingrid M. H. Petersen (SBN 313927)			
8	ipetersen@irell.com Kevin Wang (SBN 318024)			
9	kwang@irell.com 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400			
10	Newport Beach, California 92660-6324 Telephone: (949) 760-0991			
11	Facsimile: (949) 760-5200			
12	Attorneys for Defendant JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.			
13	UNITED OF A TEC DICTRICT COURT			
14				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
17	FINJAN, INC.,	) Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA		
18	Plaintiff,	<ul><li>DECLARATION OF INGRID PETERSEN</li><li>IN SUPPORT OF JUNIPER NETWORKS,</li></ul>		
19	VS.	) INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO ) FILE UNDER SEAL		
20	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	)		
	Defendant.			
21		)		
22				
23				
24				
25				
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>				
<i>∠ I</i>				
28				



## **DECLARATION OF INGRID PETERSEN**

I, Ingrid Petersen, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Irell & Manella LLP, counsel of record for Juniper Networks, Inc. ("Juniper") in the above-captioned matter. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California and have been admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to such facts under oath.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Juniper's March 18, 2019, Administrative Motion to File Under Seal.
- 3. I am informed and believe that the right of the public to inspect and copy public records "is not absolute" and that a court may seal confidential information disclosed during the course of a legal proceeding. *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978).
- 4. Because the exhibits at issue here relate to a dispositive motion, I understand that Juniper needs to show a "compelling reason" for sealing a court record. *See Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006). "Compelling reasons" exist to seal a record when it might "become a vehicle for improper purposes," such as the "release of trade secrets." *Id.* (quoting *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 1179).
- 5. It is my understanding that the Ninth Circuit has defined trade secrets as "any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it." *In re Elec. Arts, Inc.*, 298 Fed. App'x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008) (quoting RESTATEMENT (FIRST) OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b); *see also Clark v. Bunker*, 453 F.2d 1006, 1009 (9th Cir. 1972).
- 6. I also understand that Civil Local Rule 79-5 supplements the "compelling reasons" standard. Under this rule, a party seeking to file under seal must submit "a request that establishes that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." *Id.* Additionally, "[t]he request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id.*

8. I am informed and believe that there are "compelling reasons" for sealing the following:

Document	Portion to Be Sealed	<b>Basis for Sealing</b>	<b>Designating Party</b>
Exhibit 15 to Kastens	Highlighted portions at	Confidential	Juniper
Decl. (Design Spec.)	bates no. JNPR-FNJN	Source Code	
	29018 00975675;		
	JNPR-FNJN 29018		
	00975676; JNPR-		
	FNJN 29018		
	00975677; JNPR-		
	FNJN 29018		
	00975678; JNPR-		
	FNJN 29018 00975679		
Exhibit 16 to Kastens	Highlighted portions at	Confidential	Juniper
Decl. (Design Spec.)	bates no. JNPR-FNJN	Source Code	
	29018 00962784;		
	JNPR-FNJN 29018		
	00962791		

- 9. It is my understanding that the above documents disclose Juniper's confidential source code—the computerized instructions describing exactly how Juniper's products work.
- 10. Additionally, I believe that Juniper has accumulated significant research and development costs, and this sensitive trade secret is the foundation of Juniper's highly proprietary software. By permitting competitors to receive this information without also spending development costs, public disclosure of Juniper's source code would materially impair Juniper's intellectual property rights and business positioning.
  - 11. I am informed and believe that the disclosure of Juniper's source code would cause



1	serious competitive consequences and that Juniper takes numerous measures to maintain the secrecy		
2	of this information. It is also my understanding that the protective order in this action, for instance,		
3	details the significant lengths Juniper has taken to protect its source code. As the protective orde		
4	describes, "[t]he source code shall be made available for inspection on a PC which may be a laptor		
5	PC and which may be provided without USB ports." Dkt. No. 149 at 13. Additionally, "[t]ho		
6	secured computer may be placed in a secured room without Internet access or network access to		
7	other computers, and the Receiving Party shall not copy, remove, or otherwise transfer any portion		
8	of the source code onto any recordable media or recordable device." Id. Juniper has als		
9	implemented strict screening procedures for visitors at its engineering campus.		
10	12. Also, I am informed and believe that publicly exposing the source code presents a		
11	security risk. Because the source code is at the center of Juniper's network security products,		
12	permitting the disclosure of the source code could significantly harm the users of Juniper's products.		
13	13. I, therefore, believe that "compelling reasons" exist for sealing the disclosure of		
14	Juniper's highly confidential source code, and by seeking to seal only the portions that contain th		
15	source code, Juniper's request is narrowly tailored.		

Executed on March 18, 2019, at Newport Beach, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

/s/ Ingrid Petersen

Ingrid Petersen

Attorney for Defendant

Juniper Networks, Inc.

