Exhibit B (Redacted)



HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SOURCE CODE MICHAEL DAVID MITZENMACHER, Ph.D. - 03/04/2019 Pages 2..5

					2, PH.D 0	
1	APPEARANCES:	Pag	ge 2	1	EXHIBITS FOR IDENT	Page 4
2				2	Mitzenmacher	Description Page
3	Kramer Lev	in Naftalis & Frankel LLP		3		PR-FNJN_29017_00552892 - 130
4		el H. Lee, Esq.		4		PR-FNJN 29017 00552907
5	990 Marsh	_		5		NJAN-JN 044887 - 131
6	Menlo Park	, CA 94025		6	FI	NJAN-JN 045068
7	(650) 752-			7		purce code: pages 153-155 142
8		erlevin.com		8		urce code: pages 126-132 142
9		for the Plaintiff and the Witne	ess;	9		ource code: pages 143-152 142
10				10		PR-FNJN 29017 00552908 - 153
11	Irell & Ma	nella LLP		11		 PR-FNJN_29017_00552915
12	By: Rebec	ca Carson, Esq.		12		
13	_	t Center Drive, Suite 400		13		
14	_	ach, CA 92660-6324		14		
15	(617) 760-			15		
16	rcarson@ir			16		
17		for the Defendant.		17		
18				18		
19	Also Present:	Paul Martin, Ph.D., Harbor Labs		19		
20		Robert Giannini, Video Operator		20		
21		-		21		
22				22		
23				23		
24				24	Original exhibits	retained by reporter to be
25				25	returned to Irell	& Manella
1		Paç INDEX	ge 3	1	THE VID	Page 5 EO OPERATOR: Good morning.
2				2		d. This is the videographer
3	WITNESS: Mich					
		ael David Mitzenmacher, Ph.D.		3		
4		ael David Mitzenmacher, Ph.D.		3	speaking, Bob Giann	ini, with court reporter Kim
4 5	EXAMINATION	ael David Mitzenmacher, Ph.D.	Page		speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is
			Page 6	4 5	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m.
5	EXAMINATION		_	4	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston,
5	EXAMINATION	son AFTERNOON SESSION	_	4 5 6	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts,
5 6 7	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car	son AFTERNOON SESSION	6	4 5 6 7	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are: located at 39 Dalto to take the videota	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael
5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car	son AFTERNOON SESSION son	6	4 5 6 7 8	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are: located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in the	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts,
5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car	son AFTERNOON SESSION son	6	4 5 6 7 8	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in the Juniper Networks, I	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs.
5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I	son AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION:	62	4 5 6 7 8 9	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in the Juniper Networks, I	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA.
5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I	son AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description	6 62 Page	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are: located at 39 Dalto to take the videota; Mitzenmacher, in the Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record.	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I	SON AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert	6 62 Page	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are: located at 39 Dalto to take the videota; Mitzenmacher, in the Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record. MS. CAR.	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR II Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315	SON AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration	6 62 Page 7	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell &
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR II Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 -	6 62 Page 7	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I. Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040	6 62 Page 7	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I. Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040 JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042912 -	6 62 Page 7	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040 JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042912 - JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042915	6 62 Page 7 13	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are: located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR I Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040 JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042912 - JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042915 JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552579 -	6 62 Page 7 13	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in the Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID reporter please swe	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court ar in the witness.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR IN Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317 Exhibit 2318	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040 JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042912 - JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042915 JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552579 - JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552594	6 62 Page 7 13 56 62	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in the Juniper Networks, I: Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID reporter please swe MICHAEL DA having been sat	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court ar in the witness. VID MITZENMACHER, Ph.D.,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR IN Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317 Exhibit 2318	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040 JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042912 - JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042915 JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552579 - JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552594 Source code: pages 342-344,	6 62 Page 7 13 56 62	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are 1 located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, In Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID reporter please swe MICHAEL DA having been sat production of h	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court ar in the witness. VID MITZENMACHER, Ph.D., isfactorily identified by the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR IN Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317 Exhibit 2318	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION: Description Witness's 2/12/19 expert declaration FINJAN-JN 002025 - FINJAN-JN 002040 JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042912 - JNPR-FNJN_29040_01042915 JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552579 - JNPR-FNJN_29017_00552579 Source code: pages 342-344, 379-380, 449, 453-454, 508-510,	6 62 Page 7 13 56 62	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are 1 located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, In Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID reporter please swe MICHAEL DA having been sat production of h	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court ar in the witness. VID MITZENMACHER, Ph.D., isfactorily identified by the is driver's license, and he court reporter, was deposed
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR IN Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317 Exhibit 2318	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION:	6 62 Page 7 13 56 62	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID reporter please swe MICHAEL DA having been sat production of h duly sworn by t	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court ar in the witness. VID MITZENMACHER, Ph.D., isfactorily identified by the is driver's license, and he court reporter, was deposed
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION By Ms. Car By Ms. Car EXHIBITS FOR II Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 Exhibit 2316 Exhibit 2317 Exhibit 2318 Exhibit 2319	AFTERNOON SESSION SON DENTIFICATION:	6 62 Page 7 13 56 62	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaking, Bob Giann Smith with Epiq Cou March 4, 2019. The We are located at 39 Dalto to take the videota Mitzenmacher, in th Juniper Networks, I Will co for the record. MS. CAR Manella on behalf o MR. LEE representing Finjan THE VID reporter please swe MICHAEL DA having been sat production of h duly sworn by t	ini, with court reporter Kim rt Reporting. Today's date is time is 11:21 a.m. here at the Sheraton Boston, n Street, Boston, Massachusetts, ped deposition of Dr. Michael e matter of Finjan, Inc. vs. nc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA. unsel please introduce themselves SON: Rebecca Carson of Irell & f defendant Juniper Networks. : Michael Lee from Kramer Levin, and the witness. EO OPERATOR: Will the court ar in the witness. VID MITZENMACHER, Ph.D., isfactorily identified by the is driver's license, and he court reporter, was deposed

Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 389-9 Filed 03/14/19 Page 3 of 20

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SOURCE CODE
MICHAEL DAVID MITZENMACHER, PH.D. - 03/04/2019 Pages 6..9

1	Page 6 EXAMINATION	1	Page 8 there was some page limit for the total thing.
2	BY MS. CARSON:	2	Q. So you're just saying this was the
3	Q. Could you please state your name for the	3	declaration that reflects your opinions, but you
4	record.	4	relied on the exhibits cited therein; is that fair?
5	A. Michael David Mitzenmacher.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you're an expert for Finjan in this	6	Q. Could you take a look at paragraph 1.
7	matter; is that correct?	7	A. Sure.
8	A. Yes.	8	
			Q. In paragraph 1, you list in the last
9	Q. You understand you've just taken an oath to	9	sentence the documents that you relied on in forming
10	tell the truth, correct?	10	your opinions, correct?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Is there any reason you can't give full and	12	Q. Is there anything that you relied on in
13	accurate testimony today?	13	forming your opinions that is not included in
14	A. I don't believe so.	14	paragraph 1 or otherwise cited in your report as an
15	Q. Did you do anything to prepare for your	15	exhibit?
16	deposition today?	16	A. Not that I can recall at the moment.
17	A. I met with counsel yesterday.	17	Q. Do you recall if you reviewed the
18	Q. Did you do anything else?	18	deposition transcript for Khurram Isla? And that's
19	A. No, not really.	19	K-h-u-r-r-a-m, and then the last name is Isla,
20	Q. Did you review any documents?	20	I-s-l-a.
21	A. With counsel, we went over the my report	21	A. I would have to go back and check. I can't
22	and the patent.	22	recall specifically.
23	Q. Any other documents?	23	Q. Now, you say in paragraph 1 that you relied
24	A. I think we focused on that.	24	on the source code.
25	Q. You submitted a declaration concerning the	25	Do you see that?
	Page 7		
1	Page 7	1	Page 9 A. Yes.
	'154 patent, correct?		A. Yes.
2	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes.	2	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in
2 3	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that	2	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the
2 3 4	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration?	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent?
2 3 4 5	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding is there is additional material or documents. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during that time, were you specifically focused on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding is there is additional material or documents. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during that time, were you specifically focused on the '154 patent as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding is there is additional material or documents. I don't know if that counts as part of the declaration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during that time, were you specifically focused on the '154 patent as well? A. I think I was focused on all the patents at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding is there is additional material or documents. I don't know if that counts as part of the declaration itself or separate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during that time, were you specifically focused on the '154 patent as well? A. I think I was focused on all the patents at issue. So I believe I knew that I was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding is there is additional material or documents. I don't know if that counts as part of the declaration itself or separate. Q. What do you mean by "additional material or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during that time, were you specifically focused on the '154 patent as well? A. I think I was focused on all the patents at issue. So I believe I knew that I was going to potentially be doing the '154 patent at a later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	'154 patent, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long did you spend preparing that declaration? A. I would have to go back and check. I can't recall. It was on the shorter side, so I remember like 30-40 hours. It might have been more than that. I'd have to go back and check. MS. CARSON: Could you please mark that as 2315. (Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2315 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CARSON: Q. The court reporter has handed you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 2315. Is that the declaration you submitted in this matter related to the '154 patent? A. It appears so. I believe my understanding is there is additional material or documents. I don't know if that counts as part of the declaration itself or separate. Q. What do you mean by "additional material or documents"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Did you go review the source code in connection with forming your opinions related to the '154 patent? A. Yes. Q. When did you do that? A. That was some time ago. I recall it was early on. So it was sometime last year, as I recall. I went for, I think initially two days, and then I either went back for a third day, stayed for a third day. Q. And that was when you were putting together your declaration on the '780 patent in the first round of the patent showdown proceedings; is that fair? A. Yes, that's my recollection. Q. When you reviewed the source code during that time, were you specifically focused on the '154 patent as well? A. I think I was focused on all the patents at issue. So I believe I knew that I was going to potentially be doing the '154 patent at a later time.

MICHAEL DAVID MITZENMACHER, PH.D. - 03/04/2019 ages 10..13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SOURCE CODE Page 10 A. I'd have to go back and check. A few 1 1 2 months ago, a couple . . . 2 3 Q. Since you started putting together your 4 declaration for the '154 patent, you haven't gone 4 5 and reviewed the source code, correct? 6 A. I haven't gone back to that site, but I 6 7 7 have reviewed the source code again. 8 Q. In printed form? 8 A. Yes. 9 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. When you reviewed the source code back in, 10 11 I think it was June of last year, did you print out 11 12 any portions of code that related to the 12 13 '154 patent? 14 A. I believe so. Again, I don't -- we printed 14 out a lot of pages, some of which are cited here. recall. 15 15 So those pages that I cited here that were from that 16 time are some of what I relied on. 17 17 18 Q. Have you spoken to anyone -- Strike that. 18 19 Have you spoken to any Finjan attorneys 19 about the source code in connection with your 20 2.0 21 '154 patent analysis? 22 A. I believe I've discussed with them, for 22 forth. 23 instance, to decide what would be the best citations 23 24 of code to go into this declaration. 24 25 25 Q. Have you spoken to any of Finjan's other Page 11 1 experts or consultants regarding the source code? 1 of the patent? 2 A. So not in relation to this declaration. 2 Honestly like the '780, I can't recall. I don't 3 2516. think I talked with them for the source code about THE COURT REPORTER: 25 or 23? 4 4 5

that. But if we're just talking about the '154, like this declaration, not that I can recall.

Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for the JATP appliance in person on the review computer?

A. No, I don't believe so. The ATP appliance, I believe that code was presented afterwards. And so the attorneys had arranged for printouts of some of that code, and I reviewed that.

Q. How did you decide what to tell the attorneys to print out from that code?

A. I mean, I think we had gone over for the '154 patent, you know, some of the issues related to SRX gateway and Sky ATP. And so I think we had an understanding of what sort of information or what sort of content we were looking for.

In particular, the ATP appliance has some functionalities that I would say are similar in spirit, at least, to the Sky ATP.

Q. Who printed out the JATP code for you to review?

A. I'm not sure who actually did the printout.

Q. Is it common for you to issue infringement opinions on a product without having actually reviewed the code in person?

A. Sometimes, yeah. I've certainly done it in other cases, including for other clients.

Q. You've served as an expert for Finjan in other matters, correct?

Q. Have you ever offered an expert opinion on infringement for the '154 patent before?

A. I feel like I probably have. Like I remember the patent. So I suspect I have in one or more of the previous cases. But like I didn't go check that again beforehand, so I can't specifically

Q. Did you write your report yourself?

A. I would say that I wrote it. I wrote it in conjunction with counsel. As usual, there's, you know, back-and-forth where I write things and they edit and they make corrections and then I edit again, and so it sort of cycles through back and

Q. What was the general problem that the '154 patent was trying to solve?

A. May I ask -- Do you mind giving me a copy

Page 13

MS. CARSON: Sure. We can mark this as

5 MS. CARSON: Oh, 2316. Sorry. 6

(Mitzenmacher Exhibit 2316 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: So it was discussed, for

instance, in the overview section of my declaration, 9 although I always think, you know, that the patent 10 itself is sort of the best guide. 11

At a very high level, it describes various mechanisms for protecting a computer system from what it refers to as dynamically generated malicious content.

BY MS. CARSON:

7

8

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Danastina Galastiana

Q. And what was the problem with prior art systems that the '154 patent identified that it was trying to solve?

A. Again, I'd say the patent probably speaks best for it, better than perhaps I can. But the way I might describe it is that at the time that the patent was written was sort of a beginning of the time when we were starting to see a vast increase of sort of downloaded executable content.



6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.0

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SOURCE CODE

MICHAEL DAVID MITZENMACHER, PH.D. - 03/04/2019 ages 14..17

Page 14
And so I'd say that prior art systems
generally had problems or issues dealing with that,
that they weren't prepared for that sort of threat.
And so this was coming up with new
methods and mechanisms to deal with that sort of
specific threat that had not really been a major

concern prior.

Q. What were some of the problems that the prior art systems had with dealing with that particular situation?

MR. LEE: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I might have to go back and look specifically at various parts of prior art. I mean, since I was focused on infringement, I didn't study all the prior art.

But my understanding of -- or my recollection both at the time and my recollection from reviewing the patent and some of the related materials is that, again, the problem was simply that this was a new attack vector that wasn't prepared for.

So particularly with dynamically generated content, most of prior work was focused on a type of static analysis, so it would look for certain, say, specific strings or specific

Page 15 structures in the code.

So generally for it to do a static analysis, and with dynamically generated executable code, there would be ways of hiding that or, you know, bringing down the attack vector in ways that were not previously expected.

That is, they might not arrive as executables, .exe files or specific types of executables that the computer was expecting. That might come in settings where it was executable code being downloaded into a browser or other structure. BY MS. CARSON:

Q. What was the solution that was proposed by the '154 patent?

A. So I would say that there are, I guess, a variety of solutions embodied by the different sorts of claims. I'd say this is outlined a bit in the summary of the invention section where it discusses multiple different types of solutions or embodiments of the type of solution that it was thinking of.

You know, I would say that of specific interest for my declaration was the type of solution outlined in claim 1 since that was the focus of my infringement analysis for this declaration, which involved in some way using a security computer to,

you know, potentially prevent the invoking of a second function with certain inputs based on whether it was found that that was secure or not, or safe or not.

Q. Could you take a look at paragraph 5 ofyour declaration.

A. Sure.

Q. This paragraph relates to your understanding of claim construction; is that fair?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you offering any opinions about the claim construction of the terms in this case?

A. I don't think I have directly in this declaration that I can recall. If I'm asked to with regard to later hearings or proceedings, then I would. But I don't believe I've been asked to do that yet.

Q. Your opinion in this case is limited to infringement, correct?

A. Yes.

21 Q. You're not offering an opinion on the 22 validity of the '154 patent, correct?

A. No, I don't believe so.

Q. In paragraph 5, you state that you "considered both parties' proposed constructions of

Page 17 disputed terms and applied the plain and ordinary

meaning for all other terms."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. What is your understanding of the plain and ordinary meaning of "function"?

A. So I would typically say that function is something that takes an input and produces some form of output. In the case of computer programming, you know, that's a more mathematical definition.

When I'm talking about input and output, we should understand them as, you know, perhaps actions within the computer.

Q. So what is the plain and ordinary meaning of the term "input"?

A. An input is something that, for instance, you provide to a function which may or may not be used to decide how the function operates or acts.

I'd just like to say, again, these are sort of off the top of my head. You know, if I thought about them more, I might change the specific wording or so on. But these are sort of the rough meanings that I would take for plain and ordinary meaning off the top of my head in the answer to your question.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

