

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FINJAN, INC.,

No. C 17-05659 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER DENYING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS
TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

_____ /

All pending administrative motions to file under seal, filed before the second
showdown procedure, are hereby **DENIED**. Each side may file one comprehensive
administrative motion by **APRIL 11 AT NOON** to keep court filings in this action under seal.
Any such motion must include a proposed order with a detailed chart identifying with
particularity each document or portion thereof sought to be kept under seal, as well as their
specific location(s) on the docket. It will not be sufficient for the chart to simply refer to
“highlighted portions” of documents. Multiple documents reflecting the same information,
however, may be grouped together in the chart with comprehensive supporting declaration(s)
explaining why the underlying information remains sealable. In all events, requests not
narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material as required by Civil Local Rule 79-5
will be denied.

Supporting declarations may not rely on vague boilerplate language or nebulous
assertions of potential harm but must explain with particularity why any document or portion
thereof remains sealable under the applicable legal standard, especially in light of all the

1 information that has already become public over the course of this litigation via court filings,
2 orders, public hearings, and at trial.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: March 11, 2019.

6 
7 _____
8 WILLIAM ALSUP
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28