## Exhibit 2

## Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 358-2 Filed 01/24/19 Page 2 of 28

#### Volume 2

#### Pages 198 - 397

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP, JUDGE

```
FINJAN, INC.,
             Plaintiff,
                                           No. C 17-5659 WHA
  VS.
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
             Defendant.
                                           San Francisco, California
Tuesday, December 11, 2018
```

#### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

KRAMER, LEVIN, NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 BY: PAUL J. ANDRE, ESQ. LISA KOBIALKA, ESQ. JAMES HANNAH, ESQ.

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS AND FRANKEL LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 BY: CRISTINA LYNN MARTINEZ, ESQ.

(Appearances continued on next page)

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR No. 5812, RMR, CRR Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR Official Reporters

#### **APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):**

For Defendant:

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
BY: JONATHAN S. KAGAN, ESQ.
ALAN J. HEINRICH, ESQ.
JOSHUA GLUCOFT, ESQ.

CASEY CURRAN, ÉSQ.

IRELL & MANELLA LLP 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660 BY: REBECCA CARSON, ESQ.

				200	4			201
1	<u>I N D E X</u>				1		I N D E X	
2	Tuesday, December 11, 2018 - Volume 2				2		EXHIBITS	
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE	<u>VOL.</u>		3	TRIAL EXHIBITS	<u>IDEN</u> <u>EVID</u>	VOL.
4	BIMS, HARRY (RECALLED)	224	2		4	1	338	2
5	(PREVIOUSLY SWORN) Direct Examination resumed by Mr. Andre	225	2		5	22	363	2
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kagan Redirect Examination by Mr. Andre	239 242	2		6	23	363	2
7	HARTSTEIN, PHILIP		_		7	57	388	2
8	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Ms. Kobialka	244			8	74	391	2
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. Carson Redirect Examination by Ms. Kobialka	294 324	2		9	91	283	2
10	KROLL, DAVID				10	342	279	2
11	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Hannah	337 338	2		11	372	263	2
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Heinrich Redirect Examination by Mr. Hannah	347 359	2		12	382	384	2
13	NAGARAJAN, CHANDRA		_		13			
14	By Videotaped Deposition	364	2		14			
15	COLE, ERIC (SWORN)		2		15			
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Andre	368	2		16			
17					17			
18					18			
19					19			
20					20			
21					21			
22					22			
23					23			
24					24			
2 <del>1</del> 25					25			



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- develop technologies in cybersecurity. And, in fact, we also
- 2 invested in a venture fund at the same time.
- 3 But all of those things, I think, are were very important 4 to the business at that time.
- Q. So when I look on the timeline, it says "Finjan invests in 5
- 6 innovation fund." Is that the investment you were just
- 7 referring to?
- 8 **A.** That's correct. So we invested in a venture fund. It's
- 9 called Jerusalem Venture Partners. The fund is called Cyber
- Strategic Partners. We are a strategic partner in that
- 11 investment fund.
- Q. And can you just name a couple of companies you
- co-invested in?
- A. So there are actually four strategic LPs. So finjan being
- one. A second would be Cisco, which is another large
- networking company. We also are invested alongside Alibaba who
- you may have heard of; and another media company, which is a
- Chinese media company called Qihoo 360. And that's spelled
- Q-I-H-O-O 360.
- Q. So now looking at the last entry on this timeline here
- that we have up, it says "Finjan launches new products and
- services." It looks like that's around that March 2015 time
- frame. Do you see that?
- **A.** I do see that. So when it expired in March, I think by
- 25 June is when we had launched both our advisory services

#### HARTSTEIN - DIRECT / KOBIALKA 260

phone's operating system is. So if you have an Android phone, you would be going to the Google Play Store. If you had an

business, which we call CybeRisk, and our mobile security

A. So the Finjan Mobile product we call VitalSecurity, and it

had several generations, Generation 2, Gen3, Gen4. And today

just recently, within the last several months, it's actually

been rebranded to Invincibull, I-N-V-I-N-C-I-B-U-L-L.

**Q.** Okay. So just at a high level, what technologies in

A. So Finjan fundamentally believes that what it invented

changed the way enterprise security is managed and is thought

of today, and the idea behind Finjan Mobile's product is that

we could take that very complex technology previously only

available to enterprises and that we could translate that into

a consumer-tangible format; for example, that you could use on

So it uses a lot of the same technologies where a lot of

folks don't know what happens when you click go or send on your

phone or when you make a request to the Internet or when you're

So what we have brought to the mobile device is that same

level of scanning where you may want to go out to a website but

**Q.** So the last three letters are like the word "bull"?

**A.** Yes, right. That's a play on words, Invincibull.

**Q.** And could you just tell, what is the name of that

business, which we call Finjan Mobile.

Finjan Mobile product that you have?

Invincibull that is offered to customers?

a mobile phone or on a laptop.

connected to wifi at Starbucks.

- Apple iPhone, you would be going to the Apple App Store.
- 4 Q. I'd like you to take a look at what we've marked as Trial
- Exhibit 372, and it may also be in your book there. Could you
- 6 just briefly describe what this is?
- 7 **A.** Yeah. That is basically the bibliographical information
- 8 that you would see when you go to the Google Play Store. It
- 9 has a description of the product. You can see the logo. You
- 10 can see the name. And in that description you also see the
- 11 '494 patent listed.
- 12 MS. KOBIALKA: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to 13 move and publish Exhibit 372.
- 14 MS. CARSON: Your Honor, we object to the admission of 15 this as hearsay and lack of foundation.
- 16 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry. You object to 372 on what 17 ground?
  - MS. CARSON: Hearsay and lack of foundation.
- 19 **THE COURT:** May I see the exhibit, please? 20
  - (Pause in proceedings.)
  - THE COURT: I ask you, do you know what this document
- 23 **THE WITNESS:** It is -- I do know what it is, yes.
  - **THE COURT:** All right. And how do you know that?
  - of tall ma what it is but have do was come by that

## HARTSTEIN - DIRECT / KOBIALKA

- transparently in that process you may actually be using a
- technology like Finjan's where it would scan that before it
- would receive -- you would retrieve that information back to
- 4 your mobile device.
- 5 Q. For an earlier version of this mobile application, did
- Finjan identify the '494 patent in connection with it?
- **A.** We did. So specifically I mentioned VitalSecurity. It
- was in our Gen3 product that used the '494.
- **Q.** When was that released? What time frame?
- **A.** Well, we targeted the fourth quarter of 2016. I know we
- said October. It may have slipped into the early part of
- November, but sometime in the fourth quarter.
- mobile app actually utilized the '494 technology?

Q. And did you indicate on your marketing materials that the

- A. Yes. So the '494 and some other patents. We did so in
- our marketing materials, on our website. If you were to, for
- example, go online to try and purchase the product, you would
- have also seen the designations of the various patents that the
- technology was using.
- Q. And you had mentioned you go online to purchase the
- products. Are you referring to the Apple iTunes or the
- 22 Google Play Store?
- **A.** Right. Certainly. So, you know, just like you would --
- 24 if you were going to download any app today, the vast majority
- of those anno are desiral anded from the otare for subject vois



18

21

22 is?

24

3

14

16

264

4

5

9

17

18

19

20

21

THE WITNESS: It appears to be the identical description of what I've experienced when you go online to purchase the product. This is what you would see as a

5 consumer.6 THE COURT: Purchase what product?

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

**THE WITNESS:** Our mobile security application, and in this case this is from one of two stores where you could buy it

**THE COURT:** What is the objection again?

MS. CARSON: A hearsay objection, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** All right. The hearsay objection is not well taken because you're offering this to show that something is on the Internet for sale; is that it?

MS. KOBIALKA: It's their product. Their product.
 That's how they sell their product.

17 **THE COURT:** But it's to show that if you went online, 18 this is what you would find?

19 MS. KOBIALKA: Yes.

20 **THE COURT:** Why would that be hearsay?

21 **MS. CARSON:** Your Honor, they're also offering it for

22 the statements that are made on the page.

23 THE COURT: Well, all right. I will allow 372 in

evidence with this caveat: All kinds of stuff is on the
 Internet. Whether it's true or not, who knows? I'm allowing

•

## HARTSTEIN - DIRECT / KOBIALKA

1 mobile app in terms of -- does it associate it with the '494 2 patent?

A. That's exactly what it does, is it says -- it uses the
 patented technology in our '494 patent.

5 **Q.** Okay. Let's --

6

7

15

16

**THE COURT:** Now, I want to clarify.

Now for purposes of whether or not the public was on notice through this document that that product used the '494

9 patent, you may consider it for that purpose. That's a

10 legitimate purpose of using this evidence. That would be okay

11 because that is an issue in the case for you, the jury, to

decide, is whether or not the so-called marking issue is one

13 that's going to go to the jury. So that evidence is

14 permissible for that purpose.

All right. Go ahead.

MS. KOBIALKA: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 **Q.** Is the same information available also on Finjan's

18 website?

19 A. Yes. I would expect that the same information would be

20 available.

21 **THE COURT:** Well, now, wait a minute. That's speculation, "I would expect."

23 **THE WITNESS:** I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's no good. Any answer that starts

that wavis no good Var cont that's enabled in It's

this to be in evidence with a caveat that not a word of this can be taken as true. It may be true. I don't know. But it is hearsay for that purpose.

If it says "This is the best thing since sliced bread,"
maybe it is and maybe it's not, but you cannot rely on this
document for proof that it's good for anything.

What you can rely upon this document for is that it is on the Internet and if you went there, you can see that the document -- that this item is for sale. That's legitimate, and for that purpose it is not hearsay. For that very limited purpose this can come in, but I'm going to tell you again,

please do not rely upon this document for proof that anything

13 in it is true. It's not allowable for that purpose.

Everybody got that? Good.

Received in evidence with that limitation.

(Trial Exhibit 372 received in evidence)

17 MS. KOBIALKA: Thank you, Your Honor.

18 Q. So if we could look at the bottom of the page there, it

19 says "2016, Finjan Mobile, Inc.," and it continues on and says

20 "Finjan Mobile is a trademark of Finjan Mobile, Finjan, and

21 VitalSecurity, and the trademarks of Finjan Holdings, Inc.,"

22 and it lists a number of patents, and the last one it refers to

23 8,677,494. Do you see that?

24 A. I do, yes. That's what we refer to as the '494 patent.

25 Q. And does Finjan make this representation regarding its

## HARTSTEIN - DIRECT / KOBIALKA

265

263

either there or it's not, and you can't -- I've heard that all the time, then it turns out not to be there. So, no.

3 MS. KOBIALKA: Let me rephrase.

**THE COURT:** Go a different way. You cannot go that

way.

MS, KOBIALKA:

6 **MS. KOBIALKA:** Your Honor, that was my -- I asked a bad question. Let me try that again.

8 **THE COURT:** All right. You did. Okay.

BY MS. KOBIALKA:

10 Q. So in 2016, did Finjan list on its website the '494 patent

11 in connection with its mobile app?

12 **A.** We did, yes.

13 Q. And was the same information that we've just seen in

14 Exhibit 372 also on Finjan's website at that --

15 **THE COURT:** Well, wait a minute. What same 16 information? Are you talking about that marking point?

MS. KOBIALKA: Yes.

**THE COURT:** Or are you talking about all the greatest since sliced bread?

MS. KOBIALKA: Just the marking component.

THE COURT: All right. Limited to that, you may

22 answer.23 THE WITNESS: Yes.

24 BY MS. KOBIALKA:

25 And that was in the 2016 time frame?



## Case 3 HARTSFEW OFFEE PROBATE Document 358-2

1

6

- A. (Witness examines document.) Both in the app store sales
- in the 2016 as well as on our website, yes.
- Q. Let's talk about licensing. Does Finjan have patent
- 4 licenses?
- 5 **A.** Yes. We have more than 20 licensees today.
- 6 **Q.** I believe we have a slide of Finjan's licensees and
- technology partners. Could you just tell us a little bit about
- 8 that?

15

16

17

18

20

2

4

- 9 **A.** So in this list -- maybe I break it up for you a little
- bit. So, for example, in the bottom corner, a company called
- Avira, not only are they a licensee to Finjan's patents, but 11
- they're also a technology partner so they do a lot of the
- 13 back-end technology provisioning for our mobile security 14 product.

Maybe to reference a few others, for example, on the other side, Trend Micro and Sophos are both companies that have licenses to Finjan's patents.

We also are the beneficiary of cross-licenses back to Finjan Mobile, and that's because we all sell competing products in the marketplace.

You may see some of our licensees that are more household 21 names; for example, Microsoft and Symantec. There are several

on there, for example, though, that unless you're in the

security industry, you might not know; and those, for example, 24

25 would be a FireEye or Proofpoint.

## HARTSTEIN - DIRECT / KOBIALKA

1 if there's a switch.

(Pause in proceedings.)

3 **THE COURT:** Do you need to use the Elmo?

MS. KOBIALKA: Yes.

5 **THE COURT:** Tracy, can you help us turn the Elmo on?

6 Is there a switch we need to use?

7 MS. KOBIALKA: I'm on, Your Honor. Thank you.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 BY MS. KOBIALKA:

10 **Q.** Okay. I'm sorry, you were saying six things. Go ahead.

A. So the first -- they are in two buckets. The first would

be how do you identify a prospective licensee. So you're going

to look at -- and number one is to try and identify a company

by its size and maybe its market share. You're going to want

to look for information about its revenues and value. You're

also going to want to undertake some effort to determine the

extent and the scope of use of your patented technologies that 17 18

you can observe in their products.

The second bucket would be more on the process of licensing, and number one there, first and foremost, is whether or not you are able to engage in good faith negotiations, and that's really key to this whole process.

23 The second would be how flexible is a prospective licensee, are they willing to set up meetings with you and

actually chara information through that process

## Filed 01/24/19 FINE PROBLEM FROSTAGE

And then we also have a few licensees that we've, through our licensing agreements, have agreed to keep their names

3 confidential so they would not be listed here.

4 **Q.** What does Finjan do to keep itself informed of the

5 security industry and what's happening?

A. So, as I mentioned earlier, that is a challenge. It's a

7 very dynamic industry. One of the things that we do is we read

8 everything that we can get our hands on, publicly available

9 information, market research.

10 We get out of the office and we travel to industry 11 conferences like RSA, which is a big event that happens here in

12 San Francisco every year. There's other events like Black Hat

13 and even some international events that we travel to.

14 The idea is that the more that you can meet people and see

15 these products in the market that the better abreast of how

that technology is developing and being sold, and that's all 16

17 very important to this business.

18 Q. Okay. And how does Finjan approach its licensing part of

its business?

20 A. Oh, boy. So I think there's probably six things that I

21 would tell you about how we do that. I'd probably break them

22 into two categories. The first category would be how we

23 identify a prospective licensee.

24 **Q.** And maybe I can write some of this down.

25 MS. KOBIALKA: I don't know if the Elmo is available,

## HARTSTEIN - DIRECT / KOBIALKA

And the third would be, for example, whether or not the 269 1 parties can agree on a timeline for which that licensing 2

3 discussion should occur.

4 **Q.** Did I capture that okay there?

5 A. Yes.

268

6 **Q.** Okay. So can you -- we went through the approach to

7 licensing. What do you do next? What's the next step?

8 **A.** Well, I mentioned we only have access to publicly

9 available information so we're going to read whatever we can

10 get access to. You know, we're going to pull market research.

There's companies out there like IDC who covers the space, and 11

12 that helps us understand what new products are on the market or

13 in what volumes companies are selling those products.

14 So we'll look at revenues; but we're also looking at the 15 value that the technology actually brings to a company, and

16 sometimes that's not directly reflected in the revenues.

17 **Q.** I don't understand. What do you mean by that, the value

18 is not reflected in the revenues?

19 **A.** If we were having this conversation seven or eight years

20 ago and I was looking at a company and I wanted to go, say, to

21 their public filings, it would be very easy for me to look in

22 there because they would make a disclosure and they would say,

for example, "This much of our sales is in hardware." And that 23

would be easy for me because then I could say, "Well, we take

on Q nargant rata on vour hardware caled "

19

20

21

22

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

