

1 IRELL & MANELLA LLP
Jonathan S. Kagan (SBN 166039)
2 jkagan@irell.com
Alan Heinrich (SBN 212782)
3 aheinrich@irell.com
Joshua Glucoft (SBN 301249)
4 jglucoft@irell.com
Casey Curran (SBN 305210)
5 ccuran@irell.com
Sharon Song (SBN 313535)
6 ssong@irell.com
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
7 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
Telephone: (310) 277-1010
8 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199

9 Rebecca Carson (SBN 254105)
rcarson@irell.com
10 Kevin Wang (SBN 318024)
kwang@irell.com
11 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400
Newport Beach, California 92660-6324
12 Telephone: (949) 760-0991
Facsimile: (949) 760-5200

13 *Attorneys for Defendant*
14 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
17 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,)	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA
19	Plaintiff,)	DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS,
20	vs.)	INC.'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND
21	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware)	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A
22	Corporation,)	MATTER OF LAW; MEMORANDUM
23	Defendant.)	OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
24)	Date: February 14, 2019
25)	Time: 8:00 a.m.
26)	Judge: Hon. William Alsup
27)	Courtroom: 12 – 19th Floor
28)	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 14, 2019, at 8:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Courtroom 12, 19th Floor, of the San Francisco Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102, before the Honorable Williams Alsup, Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc. (“Juniper”) will and hereby does move for Judgment as a Matter of Law (“JMOL”) pursuant to Rule 50(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the exhibits attached thereto, the proposed amended answer and counterclaims, all documents in the Court’s file, and such other written or oral argument as may be presented at or before the time this motion is heard by the Court.

Dated: January 10, 2019

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

By: /s/ Rebecca Carson

Rebecca Carson
Attorneys for Defendant
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. LEGAL STANDARD.....	1
III. ARGUMENT	2
A. No Reasonable Jury Could Have Found That Finjan Satisfied The Constructive Notice Requirements Of 35 U.S.C. § 287.....	2
1. Juniper Met Its Burden Of Production To Identify Unmarked Articles.	2
2. No Reasonable Jury Could Have Found That Finjan Met Its Burden To Show Compliance With The Constructive Notice Requirements Of § 287.	4
3. No Reasonable Jury Could Have Found That Finjan Met Its Burden To Show Compliance With The Actual Notice Requirements Of § 287.	7
IV. CONCLUSION	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acantha LLC v. DePuy Orthopaedics Inc.</i> , No. 15-C-1257, 2018 WL 1951231 (E.D. Wis. Apr. 25, 2018).....	6
<i>Amsted Industries Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.</i> , 24 F.3d 178 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	7
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986).....	2
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	2, 4
<i>Asyst Technologies, Inc. v. Empak</i> , 2006 WL 3302476 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2006).....	7, 10
<i>Calmar, Inc. v. Emson Research, Inc.</i> , 850 F. Supp. 861 (C.D. Cal. 1994).....	6
<i>Cordis Corp. v. Boston Sci. Corp.</i> , 658 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	2
<i>Cybiotronics, Ltd. v. Golden Source Elecs. Ltd.</i> , 130 F. Supp. 2d 1152 (C.D. Cal. 2001).....	5
<i>Funai Elec. Co., Ltd. v. Daewoo Electronics</i> , 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7, 9, 10
<i>Lans v. Dig. Equip. Corp.</i> , 252 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	7
<i>Maxwell v. J. Baker, Inc.</i> , 86 F.3d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	5
<i>Nike, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 138 F.3d 1437 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	4
<i>Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00159.....	3
<i>Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Prods., Inc.</i> , 530 U.S. 133 (2000).....	1
<i>Stryker Corp. v. Intermedics Orthopedics, Inc.</i> , 891 F. Supp. 751 (E.D.N.Y. 1995), <i>aff'd</i> , 96 F.3d 1409 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	6

1	<i>U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC v. Acer, Inc.</i> , No. C 10-3724 CW, 2013 WL 4456161 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2013)	5
2		
3	<i>Weisgram v. Marley Co.</i> , 528 U.S. 440 (2000)	1
4	Statutes	
5	35 U.S.C. § 287	<i>passim</i>
6	35 U.S.C. § 287(a).....	2, 7
7	Other Authorities	
8	Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 50.....	1
9	Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 50(a)	1
10	Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 50(b).....	1
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.