

EXHIBIT 6



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/838,889	05/03/2004	Shlomo Touboul	60644-8007.US01	5334
22918	7590	10/04/2007	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP P.O. BOX 2168 MENLO PARK, CA 94026			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/838,889
Art Unit: 2131

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The examiner hereby withdraws the objection to the specification in light of the applicant's amendment overcoming the objection.
2. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is asserted by the applicant that Ji fails to disclose of file cache, let alone any type of cache, and additionally fail to disclose of any type of security profile.

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion. The examiner agrees that Ji fails to disclose of the term "cache" and "profile", however the applicant has failed to further limit what constitutes these terms. Ji discloses of a monitoring package which is part of a scanner that checks applets to determine if the applets are in violation of a security check, see column 3, lines 16-44. It is inherent that the files are temporarily stored in the scanner since the files are interpreted by the scanner for malicious content. For any type of processing, content is temporarily stored in a cache, or buffer, prior to being read and interpreted by the processor. Ji additionally discloses of creation of a report, which is equated to the claimed "profile", that indicates violation of a security policy, see column 3, lines 40-44 and column 5, lines 45-50. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., cache and profile) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the

Application/Control Number: 10/838,889

Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ji, U.S. Patent 5,983,348.

As per claim 1, it is taught by Ji of a computer gateway for an intranet of computers, comprising a scanner for scanning incoming files from the Internet and deriving security profiles therefor, and the security profiles being lists of computer commands that the files are programmed to perform; a file cache for storing files scanned by the scanner; a security profile cache for storing security profiles for files; and a security policy cache for storing security policies for intranet computers within an intranet, the security policies including a list of restrictions for files that are transmitted to intranet computers (col. 3, lines 7-9 & 16-44). It is inherent that the files are temporarily stored in the scanner since the files are interpreted by the scanner for malicious

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.