## Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 327 Filed 12/13/18 Page 1 of 1

## IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 900 LOS ANGELES. CA 90067-4276 TELEPHONE (310) 277-1010 FACSIMILE (310) 203-7199 840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 400

NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92660-6324

TELEPHONE (949) 760-0991 FACSIMILE (949) 760-5200 WEBSITE: www.irell.com

WRITER'S DIRECT
TELEPHONE (949) 760-5222
RCarson@irell.com

December 13, 2018

Hon. William Alsup U.S. District Court, Northern District of California

Re: Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc., Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

## Dear Judge Alsup:

Juniper writes to inform the court that it intends to request the following modifications to the Court's charge to the jury and special verdict form at the upcoming charging conference:

- Removal of all references to and instructions regarding the doctrine of equivalents, given that Finjan did not present a doctrine of equivalents theory at trial (Instruction Nos. 18-23; Question 2 of Special Verdict Form).
- Revisions to the references to and instructions regarding constructive notice to clarify that Finjan must show both (1) that it marked all or substantially all of its products that embody the '494 Patent, *and* (2) that it made reasonable efforts to ensure that its licensees who made, offered for sale, or sold products under the '494 patent marked the products (Instruction Nos. 26, 29; Question 3 of Special Verdict Form).
- Revisions to the actual notice instruction to make clear that the affirmative communication must related to a *specific* accused product or device (Instruction No. 27).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rebecca L. Carson
Rebecca L. Carson
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Juniper Networks, Inc.

