

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
6 & FRANKEL LLP
7 990 Marsh Road
8 Menlo Park, CA 94025
9 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
10 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 FINJAN, INC.

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15
16 FINJAN, INC.,
17 Plaintiff,
18 v.
19 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
20 Defendant.
21

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINJAN,
INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3**

Date: December 4, 2018
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor
Before: Hon. William Alsup

1 Before this Court is Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Motion in *Limine* No. 3 to exclude all
2 irrelevant testimony from Dr. Rubin. The Court having considered the papers filed by the parties, the
3 arguments made by counsel, and the record in this case, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

4 Juniper is hereby precluded from presenting all irrelevant testimony and reliance on related
5 information from Dr. Rubin, including claims that the ‘494 Patent was abstract, opinions related to
6 prosecution laches or inequitable conduct, opinions related to anticipation or obviousness, improper
7 references that do not establish whether the ‘494 Patent was well-known and opinions regarding
8 whether individual words from a claim element were well-known.

9

10 Finjan’s Motion in *Limine* No. 3 is **GRANTED**.

11

12

13

DATED: _____

By: _____

14

Hon. William H. Alsup
United States District Judge

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28