

Exhibit 2

1 IRELL & MANELLA LLP
Jonathan S. Kagan (SBN 166039)
2 jkagan@irell.com
Joshua Glucoft (SBN 301249)
3 jglucoft@irell.com
Casey Curran (SBN 305210)
4 ccurran@irell.com
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
5 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
Telephone: (310) 277-1010
6 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199

7 Rebecca Carson (SBN 254105)
rcarson@irell.com
8 Kevin Wang (SBN 318024)
kwang@irell.com
9 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400
Newport Beach, California 92660-6324
10 Telephone: (949) 760-0991
Facsimile: (949) 760-5200

11 *Attorneys for Defendant*
12 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,) Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA
17)
17 Plaintiff,) DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS,
18) INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF FINJAN,
18 vs.) INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES
19)
19 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware)
20 Corporation,)
20)
21 Defendant.)
21 _____)

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1	SRX1500	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓
2	SRX3400	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×
3	SRX3600	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×
4	SRX4100	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓
5	SRX4200	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓
6	SRX5400	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	SRX5600	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	SRX5800	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	vSRX	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	cSRX	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

11 At least the following versions of Space Security Director have been released in the U.S.
12 since 2012: 17.2; 17.1; 16.2; 16.1; and 15.2.

13 **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

14 For the source code that You produced or made available for inspection or will produce
15 and make available for inspection, identify the products that correspond to the source code
16 including the name and version number of each product, the directories and subdirectories of the
17 source code corresponding to the active source code incorporated into each of the products, the
18 last date the source code was modified for each of the products, and which portion, if any, of the
19 code You contend is prior art to the Asserted Patents.

20 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

21 Juniper incorporates herein by reference all General Objections set forth above.

22 Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory because Finjan's Interrogatories were
23 improperly served as set forth in the General Objections above. Juniper provides this specific
24 objection and response in an abundance of caution and in order to facilitate discovery, although
25 this Interrogatory is moot and no response is required.

26 Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information
27 or documents that are subject to the attorney-client privilege, that evidence or constitute attorney
28 work product, or that otherwise are not discoverable or are the subject of any other applicable

1 privilege or immunity, whether based upon statute or recognized at common law, specifically
2 including documents protected by the common interest privilege and/or joint defense agreements.

3 Juniper further specifically objects to the definition of “You” as overbroad, unduly
4 burdensome, oppressive, indefinite, vague and ambiguous. Juniper also objects to this definition
5 to the extent that it purports to impose discovery obligations on persons or entities other than the
6 parties to this action. Juniper will construe the term “You” to mean “Juniper Networks, Inc.”

7 Juniper also specifically objects to the definition of “Accused Instrumentalities” as
8 including Advanced Threat Protection Appliance and Contrail. Advanced Threat Protection
9 Appliance was not identified in Finjan’s Complaint by name or technology and is therefore not
10 part of this case. *See Richtek Tech. Corp. v. uPi Semiconductor Corp.*, 2016 WL 1718135, at *2
11 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2016) (Alsup, J.). Contrail is not alleged to infringe any Asserted Patent.
12 Juniper interprets this Interrogatory as excluding Advanced Threat Protection Appliance and
13 Contrail. Juniper also specifically objects to the definition of “Accused Instrumentalities” as
14 including “all previous or currently contemplated versions, revision, releases, or continuations of
15 said Juniper products and services, and all additional products accused of infringement by Finjan
16 in this action in infringement contentions or similar pleadings.” This definition is objectionable at
17 least because it is overbroad and unduly burdensome and may include instrumentalities released
18 outside of the statutory damages period. Juniper will interpret this Interrogatory as limited to only
19 those instrumentalities properly identified in both the operative complaint and Finjan’s
20 infringement contentions and also made, used, sold, offered for sale, or imported into the U.S.
21 within the statutory damages period.

22 Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory as seeking discovery that is not
23 proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the
24 action, the amount in controversy, the parties’ relative access to relevant information, the parties’
25 resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or
26 expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit.

27
28

1 Juniper also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly
2 burdensome, oppressive, vague and ambiguous, not proportional to the needs of the case, and
3 seeks irrelevant information.

4 Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory as premature. Juniper will produce
5 information, including invalidity contentions, in accordance with the timeline set forth in the
6 Patent Local Rules and the Court's Scheduling Order in this matter. This Response is provided
7 without prejudice to Juniper's ability to identify or produce additional information or documents
8 in response to this Interrogatory.

9 Subject to these specific objections and the general objections incorporated herein, Juniper
10 responds as follows:

11 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Juniper directs Finjan to the directory structure provided
12 on the secured review computer to identify the products corresponding to the source code by
13 product name and release and the directories and subdirectories of the source code corresponding
14 to the active source code incorporated into each of the products. The table below shows the
15 versions of Junos corresponding to specific SRX Gateways. Sky ATP is regularly updated;
16 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Juniper directs Finjan to the Git log produced on the secured
17 review computer showing the complete revision commit history of Sky ATP. At least the
18 following versions of Space Security Director have been released in the U.S. since 2012: 17.2;
19 17.1; 16.2; 16.1; and 15.2.

20 Juniper does not presently intend to rely on any of the source code that it has produced as
21 prior art to the Asserted Patents; Juniper's invalidity contentions will be timely provided in
22 accordance with the Patent Local Rules.

	Junos 12.1	Junos 12.1X44	Junos 12.1X45	Junos 12.1X46	Junos 12.1X47	Junos 12.3X48	Junos 15.1X49	Junos 17.3	Junos 17.4
Release Date	3/28/12	1/18/13	7/17/13	12/30/13	8/18/14	3/6/15	6/30/15	8/25/17	12/21/17
SRX110	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×
SRX220	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×
SRX3XX	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	✓
SRX550	N/A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.