

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
11 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Hannah
 3 Lee filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons for
 4 granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public
 7 access to the record of the following documents:

| Identification of Documents to be Sealed | Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential |
|---|---|
| Finjan’s Reply Brief In Support of Its Motion to Exclude Opinions of Damages Expert Dr. Keith R. Ugone (“Finjan’s Reply”) at page 1, lines 20-21, page 2, line 22, page 3, lines 15-17, page 4, lines 9-10, 12, page 5, lines 3, 11-12, 16-21, page 6, lines 15-17, 23-27, page 7, lines 1-2, 4 | Finjan or Juniper |
| Declaration of Kristopher Kastens in Support of Finjan’s Reply (“Kastens Declaration”), Ex. 1 | Finjan or Juniper |
| Kasten Declaration, Ex. 3 | Finjan |
| Kastens Declaration Ex. 4 | Juniper |

16 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
 17 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

18 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

19 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
 21 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.
 22

23 DATED: _____

24 By: _____
 25 Hon. William H. Alsup
 26 United States District Judge
 27
 28