

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
11 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Cristina
 3 Martinez filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons
 4 for granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public
 7 access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential
Finjan’s Opposition to Juniper’s Motion to Exclude Testimony of Mr. Kevin Arst (“Finjan’s Opposition”) at page i, line 11, page 1, line 11, page 2, lines 2-6, 9, 14, 16-18, page 3, lines 5-6, 10-11, 24-25, page 4, lines 1, 15-17, page 5, lines 1, 14-16, 26-27, page 6, lines 6-9, 11, page 7, lines 19-23, 25-26, page 8, lines 2, 4-5, 9-10, 21-22, 25, page 9, lines 2, 7-8, 11-12, 14, 16, 21, 24-25, page 10, lines 1, 4, 7-8, 10-11, 14-19, 22-24, 26, page 11, line 10, page 12, line 10, page 15, lines 6-7	Finjan and/or Juniper
Declaration of Kristopher Kastens in Support of Finjan’s Opposition (“Kastens Declaration”), Exs. 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10	Juniper
Kastens Declaration, Exs. 4, 5	Finjan

18 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
 19 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

20 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

21 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
 23 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: _____

25 By: _____

26 Hon. William H. Alsup
 27 United States District Judge