For the Northern District of California 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18

IN THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FINJAN, INC., No. C 17-05659 WHA

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

JUNIPER NETWORK, INC.,

Defendant.

In connection with a discovery motion, defendant Juniper Networks, Inc., filed an administrative motion to file under seal Exhibit 1 appended to the motion — which contains excerpts from plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s first supplemental objections and responses to Juniper's first set of interrogatories — in its entirety and limited portions of Juniper's discovery letter brief that reference or quote Exhibit 1 (Dkt. No. 198).

In this circuit, courts start with a "strong presumption in favor of access" when deciding whether to seal records. *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)). To seal judicial records in connection with a dispositive motion requires "compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure." *See id.* at 1178–79 (quotations and citations omitted). A particularized showing of "good cause," however, suffices to warrant sealing of judicial records in connection with a non-dispositive motion. *Id.* at 1179–80.



IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2018.



