	8
	9
	10
t of California	11
	12
	13
District	14
orn D	15
orthe	16
For the Northern I	17
	18
	19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FINJAN, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 17-05659 WHA

v.

JUNIPER NETWORK, INC.,

ORDER RE DISCOVERY DISPUTE

Defendant.

The Court has received a letter dated October 4 from defendant Juniper Network, Inc., requesting that an interrogatory answer quoted on page two be useable in the related IPR proceeding notwithstanding the protective order in this case (Dkt. No. 199). The Court is inclined to agree that Juniper should be allowed to use the interrogatory answer in question so long as they are filed under seal pursuant to a protective order that offers the same protection in this case.

On or before **OCTOBER 9 AT NOON**, plaintiff Finjan, Inc., must (in writing) either agree to its use in the related IPR proceeding or show cause in this Court by sworn declaration why such permission should not be granted. This matter will be decided based upon the papers inasmuch as the Court will be absent for most of the next ten days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 4, 2018.

Min 14 mm

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28