# EXHIBIT 5

#### Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 182-6 Filed 08/20/18 Page 2 of 124

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respor	PTO/SB/57 (08-13) Approved for use through 07/31/2015. OMB 0651-0064 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Ind to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
(Also referred to as FORM PTO-1465) REQUEST FOR EX PARTE REEXAMINATION TRANSMITTAL FORM					
Address to: Mail Stop <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexam Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Attorney Docket No.: 382984-000006 Date: 10/7/2013				
1. <b>/</b> This is a request for <i>ex parte</i> reexamination pursuant issued The request is	s made by:				
patent owner.					
2. <b>/</b> The name and address of the person requesting reexa Ryan W. Cobb, DLA Piper LLP (US)	amination is:				
401 B Street, Suite 1700	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
San Diego, CA 92101					
3. Requester claims Small entity (37 CFR 1.27) o	r inicro entity status (37 CFR 1.29) – only a patent owner requester can claim micro entity status.				
4a. A check in the amount of \$ is encl	osed to cover the reexamination fee, 37 CFR 1.20(c)(1);				
<ul> <li>b. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the f to Deposit Account No. 07-1896</li> </ul>					
c. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attac	shed; <b>or</b>				
d. Payment made via EFS-Web.					
5. Any refund should be made by check or 37 CFR 1.26(c). If payment is made by credit card, re					
<ol> <li>A copy of the patent to be reexamined having a doub enclosed. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(4).</li> </ol>	le column format on one side of a separate paper is				
7. CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, Computer Program (A	vppendix) or large table				
8. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission If applicable, items a. – c. are required.					
a. 🔲 Computer Readable Form (CRF)					
b. Specification Sequence Listing on:					
i. CD-ROM (2 copies) or CD-R (2 copies	s); or				
ii. 🔄 paper					
c. Statements verifying identity of above copies					
	eexamination certificate issued in the patent is included.				
10. <b>v</b> Reexamination of claim(s) <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 28</u> ,					
11.  A copy of every patent or printed publication relied up Form PTO/SB/08, PTO-1449, or equivalent.	on is submitted herewith including a listing thereof on				
publications is included.	pertinent non-English language patents and/or printed				
[Page 1 of This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.510. The information is require to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFF	d to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO				

to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 18 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

DOCKE

LARM

Α

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 182-6 Filed 08/20/18 Page 3 of 124

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to re	U.S. Patent and Trademark	PTO/SB/57 (08-13) d for use through 07/31/2015. OMB 0651-0064 Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE unless it displays a valid OMB control number.					
13.  The attached detailed request includes at least the following items:							
a. A statement identifying each substantial new question of patentability based on prior patents and printed publications. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(1).							
b. An identification of every claim for which reexamination is requested, and a detailed explanation of the pertinency and manner of applying the cited art to every claim for which reexamination is requested. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(2).							
14. A proposed amendment is included (only where the patent owner is the requester). 37 CFR 1.510(e).							
15.  a. It is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by other than the patent owner) has been served in its entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).							
The name and address of the party served and the date of service are: Dawn-Marie Bey, Bey & Cotropia PLLC (Finjan Inc.)							
213 Bayly Court, Richmond, VA 23229	ngan mo.y						
Date of Service: 10/7/2013		. or					
	ant owner was not possible	; or					
b. A duplicate copy is enclosed since service on patent owner was not possible. An explanation of the efforts made to serve patent owner is attached. <u>See</u> MPEP § 2220.							
16. Correspondence Address: Direct all communication about	t the reexamination to:						
The address associated with Customer Number:							
Firm or Individual Name Ryan W. Cobb, DLA	Piper LLP (US), 40	1 B St., Ste 1700					
Address	· · ·						
<sup>City</sup> San Diego	State CA	<sup>Zip</sup> 92101					
Country	State CA	<sup>Zip</sup> 92101					
Country United States		92101					
Country United States Telephone 619.699.2635	E <sup>mail</sup> ryan.cobb@dla	92101					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following c	E <sup>mail</sup> ryan.cobb@dla	92101					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following compared on th	Email ryan.cobb@dla	piper.com					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the following compared in the patent is currently the subject of the patent is currently the	Email ryan.cobb@dla	piper.com					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following compared on th	Email ryan.cobb@dla	piper.com					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison of the fo	Email ryan.cobb@dla	piper.com					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison of the fo	Email ryan.cobb@dla	piper.com					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison of the fo	Email ryan.cobb@dla oncurrent proceeding(s): e No. 13-cv-03133-SBA; Finjar	n, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.,					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison of the fo	Email ryan.cobb@dla oncurrent proceeding(s): e No. 13-cv-03133-SBA; Finjar injan, Inc. v. Websense, In ic. Credit card information	n, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., c., USDC-NDCA 13-cv-4398					
San Diego         Country         United States         Telephone         619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following colspan="2">Colspan="2"         Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"       Colspan="2">Colspan="2"         Colspan="2">Colspan="2"       Colspan="2"       Col	Email ryan.cobb@dla oncurrent proceeding(s): e No. 13-cv-03133-SBA; Finjar injan, Inc. v. Websense, In ic. Credit card information	n, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., c., USDC-NDCA 13-cv-4398					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison of the fo	Email ryan.cobb@dla oncurrent proceeding(s): e No. 13-cv-03133-SBA; Finjar injan, Inc. v. Websense, In lic. Credit card information and authorization on PTO	n, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., c., USDC-NDCA 13-cv-4398					
San Diego         Country         United States         Telephone         619.699.2635         17.         The patent is currently the subject of the following carries and comparison of the follo	Email ryan.cobb@dla oncurrent proceeding(s): e No. 13-cv-03133-SBA; Finjar injan, Inc. v. Websense, In- lic. Credit card information and authorization on PTO- 10/7/2013	n, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., c., USDC-NDCA 13-cv-4398 a should not be -2038.					
San Diego         Country       United States         Telephone       619.699.2635         17.       The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison of the fo	Email ryan.cobb@dla oncurrent proceeding(s): e No. 13-cv-03133-SBA; Finjar injan, Inc. v. Websense, In lic. Credit card information and authorization on PTO 	n, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., c., USDC-NDCA 13-cv-4398					

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Attorney Docket No. FINREXM0005

PATENT

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Ex Parte Reexamination of		
U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 to Edery, et al.	Technology Center:	3992
Application No.: 90/013,016	Group Art Unit:	3992
Filed: October 7, 2013	Confirmation No.:	9521
Patent Owner: Finjan, Inc.	CRU Examiner:	Adam L. Basehoar

For U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 – MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS.

#### Submitted Electronically

Mail Stop PETITION Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Priority Claim under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 filed on February 19, 2014, is to be served by first class United States mail on the 20th day of February, 2014, to:

> Ryan W. Cobb DLA Piper LLP 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel.: (619) 699-2635 Fax: (619) 699-2701 *Attorney of Record for Third-Party Requester*

> > Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 19, 2014

DOCKE

By: <u>/Dawn-Marie Bey – 44,442/</u> Dawn-Marie Bey (Reg. No. 44,442) Bey & Cotropia PLLC 213 Bayly Court Richmond, VA 23229 (804) 441-8530 Attorneys for Patent Owner

Electronic Patent Application Fee Transmittal							
Application Number:	90013016						
Filing Date:	07-Oct-2013						
Title of Invention:	MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS						
First Named Inventor/Applicant Name:	7647633						
Filer:	Dawn-Marie Bey./Jeanne Paolella-Bald						
Attorney Docket Number:	FINREXM0005						
Filed as Large Entity							
ex parte reexam Filing Fees							
Description		Fee Code	Quantity	Amount	Sub-Total in USD(\$)		
Basic Filing:							
Pages:							
Claims:							
Miscellaneous-Filing:							
Petition:							
Pet. Delay Sub or Restore Priority-Claim		1454	1	1700	1700		
Patent-Appeals-and-Interference:							
Post-Allowance-and-Post-Issuance:							

## DOCKET A L A R M



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.