EXHIBIT 21

DR. ERIC B. COLE FINJAN, INC. V JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC June 21, 2018

| 1 | THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 2 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 3 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION |
| 4 | X |
| 5 | FINJAN, INC., a Delaware |
| 6 | Corporation, |
| 7 | Plaintiff, |
| 8 | V. Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA |
| 9 | JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a |
| 10 | Delaware Corporation, |
| 11 | Defendant. |
| 12 | X |
| 13 | Videotaped Deposition of |
| 14 | DR. ERIC B. COLE |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Herndon, Virginia 20171 |
| 17 | Thursday, June 21, 2018 |
| 18 | 8:00 a.m. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Denise Dobner Vickery, RMR, CRR |
| 22 | JOB NO. J2328299 |



| 1 | standard. |
|---|-----------|
| | |

2 BY MS. CARSON:

- Q. Would you agree that an operation like read a file could be suspicious in some context and not suspicious in other context?
- 6 MR. KASTENS: Objection. Form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I would probably
- 8 state it a little differently. That reading or
- 9 writing a file would be suspicious, but there would
- 10 be some cases in which it was malicious and in some
- 11 | cases in which it was benign.

12 BY MS. CARSON:

- Q. Can you think of any computer
- 14 operations that would never be considered
- 15 | suspicious?
- MR. KASTENS: Objection. Form.
- THE WITNESS: (Pause). I'm also
- 18 | cautious of the word "never," but there are
- 19 definitely some that -- that would be very, very low
- 20 | likelihood of being suspicious.
- 21 So like today maybe something like
- 22 checking a digital certificate of a website would

