
1	PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)		
2	pandre@kramerlevin.com LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)		
	lkobialka@kramerlevin.com		
3	JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)		
4	jhannah@kramerlevin.com KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)		
5	kkastens@kramerlevin.com		
6	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road	•	
7	Menlo Park, CA 94025		
8	Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800		
	C Di : CCC		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff FINJAN, INC.		
10			
11	IN THE LINITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
12			
13	FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCI	ISCO DIVISION	
15	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA	
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
17	v.	PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE	
18		DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL	
19	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,		
20			
	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
_			



Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons for granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only
Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Letter Brief Opposing Defendant	Finjan
Juniper Networks, Inc.'s Motion to Compel, at p. 1, ¶ 1	
Declaration of John Garland in Support of Plaintiff Finjan	Finjan
Inc.'s Letter Brief Opposing Defendant Juniper Networks,	
Inc.'s Motion to Compel, at ¶ 2, ll. 8-10	
Ex. 1 (excerpts from deposition transcript of Mr. John	Finjan
Garland)	
Ex. 3 (excerpt from Finjan's privilege log)	Finjan

- 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	
	The Honorable William Alsup
	United States District Court Judge



