## **EXHIBIT 14**



Juniper also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, vague and ambiguous, not proportional to the needs of the case, and seeks irrelevant information.

Subject to these specific objections and the general objections incorporated herein, Juniper responds as follows:

Based on Juniper's investigation to date, Juniper first became aware of the existence of the Asserted Patents at or after the time the Complaint in this matter was filed. *See* Dkt. Nos. 1 (Complaint) and 14 (Proof of Service of Summons).

Juniper contends that it does not infringe any of the Asserted Patents and further contends that the Asserted Patents are invalid and unenforceable, as set forth in Juniper's Answer and Counterclaims. *See* Dkt. No. 42.

## FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Juniper incorporates herein by reference all General Objections set forth above.

Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory because Finjan's Interrogatories were improperly served as set forth in the General Objections above. Juniper provides this specific objection and response in an abundance of caution and in order to facilitate discovery, although this Interrogatory is most and no response is required.

Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information or documents that are subject to the attorney-client privilege, that evidence or constitute attorney work product, or that otherwise are not discoverable or are the subject of any other applicable privilege or immunity, whether based upon statute or recognized at common law, specifically including documents protected by the common interest privilege and/or joint defense agreements.

Juniper further specifically objects to the definition of "You" as overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, indefinite, vague and ambiguous. Juniper also objects to this definition to the extent that it purports to impose discovery obligations on persons or entities other than the parties to this action. Juniper will construe the term "You" to mean "Juniper Networks, Inc."



1 2

Juniper also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, vague and ambiguous, not proportional to the needs of the case, and seeks irrelevant information.

Subject to these specific objections and the general objections incorporated herein, Juniper further responds as follows:

Juniper and Finjan engaged in correspondence and discussions throughout 2014 and 2015. During the course of those discussions, Juniper became aware that Finjan claimed to be the owner of a patent portfolio, which included at least some of the Asserted Patents. The only patent that Finjan provided specific infringement allegations for was U.S. Patent No. 6,965,968, which has not been asserted in this case. In response to Finjan's allegations of infringement as to the '968 patent, Juniper provided Finjan with numerous pieces of prior art that Juniper believed invalidated the patent.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 2**:

For each of the Accused Instrumentalities, identify all releases or versions that are or have been made, used, offered for sale, sold in the United States, or imported into the United States by You or on Your behalf from the year 2012 to the present.

## **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

Juniper incorporates herein by reference all General Objections set forth above.

Juniper also specifically objects to this Interrogatory because Finjan's Interrogatories were improperly served as set forth in the General Objections above. Juniper provides this specific objection and response in an abundance of caution and in order to facilitate discovery, although this Interrogatory is most and no response is required.

Juniper further specifically objects to the definition of "You" as overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, indefinite, vague and ambiguous. Juniper also objects to this definition to the extent that it purports to impose discovery obligations on persons or entities other than the parties to this action. Juniper will construe the term "You" to mean "Juniper Networks, Inc."

Juniper also specifically objects to the definition of "Accused Instrumentalities" as including Advanced Threat Protection Appliance and Contrail. Advanced Threat Protection

