

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
11 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.
21

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents under Seal was brought
 2 before this Court. Upon consideration of this administrative motion and the supporting Declaration of
 3 Austin Manes (“Manes Decl.”), the Court finds there to be compelling reasons for granting the motion.

4 Compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

5 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to
 6 certain portions of following documents identified below:

Documents sought to be sealed	Portions sought to be sealed	Designating party
Plaintiff Finjan Inc.’s Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Infringement of Claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (“Finjan’s Reply”)	Highlighted portions at Table of Contents, ll. 17-19; page 2, ll. 14-16; page 6, ll. 21, 23-24; page 7, ll. 1-6, 8-9, 11, 20; page 9, ll. 1-9, 17-28; page 10, ll. 1, 7-23; page 10, ll. 1-6, 8-28; page 11, ll. 1-7, 13-19; page 14, ll. 14-16.	Juniper
Declaration of Kristopher Kastens in Support of Finjan’s Reply to Its Motion for Summary Judgment (“Kastens Decl.”)	Page 1, l. 21; page 2, l. 2	Juniper
Exhibits 4-6, 8, 11 and 13 to the Kastens Decl.	Entirety	Juniper

18 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
 19 prejudiced if the record identified above is not sealed;

20 3. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents under
 22 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the portions of the documents set forth above.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
 25
 26
 27
 28

 Honorable William Alsup
 United States District Court Judge