Pages 1 - 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge

FINJAN, INC., a Delaware)
Corporation,)

Plaintiff,) NO. C 17-05659 WHA

vs.

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

) San Francisco, California

Defendant.

Thursday, July 5, 2018

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.:

Kramer Levin Naftalis and Frankel LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 (650) 752-1700 (650) 752-1800 (fax)

BY: KRISTOPHER KASTENS

For Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.:

Irell & Manella LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 277-1010

BY: CASEY MAY CURRAN

Reported by: Lydia Zinn, CSR No. 9223, FCRR, Official Reporter



1 Thursday - July 5, 2018 8:18 a.m. PROCEEDINGS 2 3 ---000---4 THE COURT: Now we go to Finjan. 5 THE CLERK: Calling Civil Action 17-565, Finjan, 6 Inc., versus Juniper Networks, Inc. Counsel, please approach 7 the podium and state your appearances for the Record. MR. KASTENS: Your Honor, Kristopher Kastens, from 8 9 Kramer Levin, for Finjan, Inc. 10 MS. CURRAN: Good morning. Casey Curran, on behalf of Juniper Networks. 11 12 THE COURT: Say your name again. 13 MS. CURRAN: Casey Curran. THE COURT: All right, now. Kristopher Kastens and 14 Okay. How can I help you this morning? 15 Casey Curran. MR. KASTENS: Finjan is seeking to amend its 16 17 Complaint to add an additional patent to the case, based on a deposition that took place in early May; the first technical 18 deposition that took place in this case. 19 2.0 THE COURT: Why shouldn't I grant that? 21 MS. CURRAN: We believe they delayed in seeking leave to amend. We think that the information that came out in the 22 23 depo -- the pertinent information -- was already public as of 24 September 2017 in an administrative guide, and, further, would 25 have been able to be discovered in Juniper's source code, which



they reviewed in March, prior to their first motion for leave to amend, which we did not oppose. 2 THE COURT: What do you say to that? 3 4 MR. KASTENS: I say that -- I mean, it contradicts 5 what they've actually filed. 6 So we attached our Amended Complaint, and we included the 7 material that came out through the deposition. And they submitted a declaration with a motion to seal, 8 saying that that was all confidential information that was not publicly available, and Juniper keeps it as a closely guarded 10 secret. 11 We've also set forth in the papers about how the technical 12 implementations of their cloud systems are not publicly 13 available. So --14 **THE COURT:** I got a different question for you. 15 16 Ms. Curran, have a separate motion that I haven't put on 17 calendar for today, to require notes from the 30(b)(6) designee. I want to hear how you could possibly, on the Finjan 18 19 side, not produce those. 2.0 MR. KASTENS: I know the work-product doctrine. We've submitted a --21 THE COURT: That's going to be overruled. He used 22 23 them to refresh his memory. MR. KASTENS: Your Honor, if -- we would like the 24 25 opportunity to submit other portions of the declaration, which



maybe clarifies that. THE COURT: No, no. Hold off. I'm going to let you 2 3 have that opportunity, but on the main motion -- I'm not going 4 to rule on that until I see --5 If you're playing games with work product, you're not going to get this amendment. 6 7 MR. KASTENS: Okay. THE COURT: You're going to do equity to get equity 8 in this Court. So we're going to wait and see on 30(b)(6). 9 I've only read their side, but if their side is correct, I 10 don't like it. That's not the way it works. 11 Somebody -- it could be privileged all day long. And if 12 you use it to refresh your memory, it is waived. Do you 13 understand that? 14 MR. KASTENS: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: And then you know what else I'm going to 17 When the jury is here I'm going to tell them, Mr. Kastens 18 wrongfully withheld this document, because it is wrongful all 19 day long. No. 2.0 So what day? Have we set a hearing date for this yet? 21 MS. CURRAN: No, Your Honor. We just submitted the letter brief. 22 23 THE COURT: I'm going to set a date now. I won't be



24

25

works: July 18.

here next week. We'll say July 18. Look and see if that

1 THE CLERK: You're here July 18. THE COURT: We'll be here. At 8:00 a.m., please meet 2 3 and confer. At 11:00 a.m. we will have the hearing. 4 I could tell you how the hearing is going to come out, 5 unless you have something very good in your papers that I've 6 never heard of before; but from about the time that I was a 7 third-year lawyer, I knew that if somebody reads a document to refresh their memory, it is waived. No privilege thereafter 8 exists. MR. KASTENS: And, Your Honor --10 THE COURT: So you'd better be -- if you're just 11 stalling, think about the jury over there, hearing how you 12 13 stalled on this. I'm going to also wait on the pending motion before I --14 I'm going to wait and see how this -- whether or not you do 15 16 equity to get equity in this Court. 17 MR. KASTENS: Can I just say two things really 18 quickly about that, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead. 19 MR. KASTENS: I think there are further statements 2.0 21 within this deposition that show that he did not use it to refresh his recollection. 22 23 THE COURT: What? 24 MR. KASTENS: There are statements where he clarifies 25 that he did not use it to refresh his recollection, in the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

