

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
10 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.’S OPPOSITION
TO DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS,
INC.’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Date: July 26, 2018
Time: 8:00 a.m.
Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor
Before: Hon. William Alsup

22
23
24
25 **REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED**
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES TO BE DECIDED

- 1. Should the Court deny Juniper’s motion for summary judgment because Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (the “‘780 Patent”)¹ is patent eligible?
- 2. Due to Juniper’s infringement of Claim 1 of the ‘780 Patent, are there issues of material fact that require Juniper’s Motion for Summary Judgment be denied?
- 3. Are there undisputed facts that require the Court to deny Juniper’s Motion for Summary Judgment seeking to improperly limit damages for infringement of Claim 1 of the ‘780 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 287?

¹ Dkt. No. 96-5, Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Rebecca Carson in Support of Juniper’s Motion for Summary Judgment (“Carson Decl.”).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES TO BE DECIDED..... i

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 1

 A. Overview of the ‘780 Patent and The Elements of Claim 1 1

 B. Benefits of the ‘780 Patent..... 2

 C. Cases ‘780 Patent Found Infringed..... 4

 D. Overview of Juniper’s Accused Products 4

 1. SRX Gateways 4

 2. Sky ATP 5

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION..... 6

 A. Software Components Required to be Executed by the Downloadable 7

 B. Fetching at least One Software Component Identified by the One or More
References..... 9

 C. Performing a Hashing Function on the Downloadable and the Fetched Software
Components to Generate a Downloadable ID 12

IV. The SRX Gateways with Sky ATP Infringe Claim 1 of the ‘780 Patent..... 14

 A. Preamble – “A computer-based method for generating a Downloadable ID to
identify a Downloadable, comprising:” 15

 B. Element 1(a) – “obtaining a Downloadable that includes one or more references
to software components required to be executed by the Downloadable” 16

 C. Element 1(b) – “fetching at least one software component identified by the one
or more references” 17

 1. Doctrine of Equivalents 19

 D. Element 1(c) – “performing a hashing function on the Downloadable and the
fetched software components to generate a Downloadable ID” 20

 1. Juniper’s Non-Infringement Arguments 21

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

V. Claim 1 Is Eligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 23

 A. Claim 1 Is Directed to a Non-Abstract Idea..... 24

 1. The '780 Patent Is Directed To Improvements In Computer
 Functionality. 24

 a. Claim 1 Recites Specific, Concrete Steps. 26

 b. Juniper’s Arguments Mischaracterize the Invention of Claim 1. 27

 B. Claim 1 Contains Inventive Concepts..... 31

 C. Questions of Material Fact Preclude Juniper’s Section 101 Challenge of Claim 1 32

VI. Finjan Complied with 35 U.S.C. § 287 and Is Entitled to Pre-Suit Damages 33

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****Federal Cases**

1		
2		
3	Federal Cases	
4	<i>24/7 Customer, Inc. v. LivePerson, Inc.</i> ,	
5	No. 15-CV-02897-JST, 2017 WL 2311272 (N.D. Cal. May 25, 2017)	27
6	<i>Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc.</i> ,	
7	882 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	32
8	<i>Accent Packaging, Inc. v. Leggett & Platt, Inc.</i> ,	
9	707 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	8, 10
10	<i>Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> ,	
11	134 S.Ct. 2347 (2014).....	23, 24, 26, 27
12	<i>Amdocs (Israel) Ltd. v. Openet Telecom, Inc.</i> ,	
13	841 F.3d 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	31
14	<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> ,	
15	477 U.S. 242 (1986).....	15
16	<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> ,	
17	876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	38, 39
18	<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> ,	
19	Case No. 15-cv-1047-RSP, Dkt. No. 275 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 29, 2016).....	36
20	<i>Bascom Global Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> ,	
21	827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	23, 31, 32
22	<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> ,	
23	881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	23, 32, 33
24	<i>Blitzsafe Texas, LLC v. Honda Motor Co., Ltd.</i> ,	
25	No. 2:15-cv-1274-JRG-RSP, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 58358	
26	(E.D. Tex. Jan. 26, 2017)	39, 40
27	<i>Bradford Co. v. Jefferson Smurfit Corp.</i> ,	
28	No. 2000-1511, 2001 WL 35738792 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2001).....	33, 34
	<i>Brocade Commc 'n Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.</i> ,	
	873 F. Supp. 2d 1192 (N.D. Cal. 2012)	15
	<i>Content Extraction Transmission LLC v Wells Fargo Bank, Nat'l Ass'n</i> ,	
	776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.