Exhibit 8

Cassidy Ex. J

Case 4:117-61/025558-14444 December 12412113 Filed 06/45/46 Page 3 of 20

1	PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)	
2	pandre@kramerlevin.com	
	LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404) <u>lkobialka@kramerlevin.com</u> JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978) <u>jhannah@kramerlevin.com</u> KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025	
3		
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800	
7	1 desimile. (050) 752-1000	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff FINJAN, INC.	
9		
10		
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	OAKLAND DIVISION	
14		
15	FINJAN, INC.,	Case No.: 4:14-cv-02998-HSG
	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
16	v.	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO
17	SYMANTEC CORP.,	DEFENDANT SYMANTEC CORP.'S INTERROGATORIES (NO. 1)
18	Defendent	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
- '		



Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and 33, Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan") responds to Defendant Symantec Corporation's ("Symantec" or "Defendant") Interrogatories ("Interrogatories"). Finjan makes these objections and supplemental response herein (collectively "Responses") based solely on its current knowledge, understanding, and belief as to the facts and information reasonably available to it as of the date of the Responses.

Additional discovery and investigation may lead to additions to, changes in, or modifications of these Responses. The Responses, therefore, are given without prejudice to Finjan's right to supplement these Responses pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e), or to provide subsequently discovered information and to introduce such subsequently discovered information at the time of any trial or proceeding in this action.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Finjan incorporates by reference its general objections set forth in its Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories, dated December 4, 2014; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 3), dated December 19, 2014; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 3 and 4), dated February 11, 2015; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 5-11), dated April 13, 2015; Responses to Symantec's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-16), dated April 27, 2015; Responses to Symantec's Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 17-19), dated November 28, 2016; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1), dated December 2, 2016; Responses to Symantec's Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 20-21), dated June 2, 2017; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 3, 5-18), dated June 7, 2017; Responses to Symantec's Fifth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 22-25), dated June 9, 2017; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 18, 23-24), dated July 7, 2017; and Supplemental Responses to Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 1 and 12), dated July 26, 2017.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS

1. Finjan incorporates by reference its objections to Definitions set forth in its Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories, dated December 4, 2014; Supplemental Responses to



Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 3), dated December 19, 2014; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 3 and 4), dated February 11, 2015; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 5-11), dated April 13, 2015; Responses to Symantec's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-16), dated April 27, 2015; Responses to Symantec's Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 17-19), dated November 28, 2016; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1), dated December 2, 16; Responses to Symantec's Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 20-21), dated June 2, 2017; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 3, 5-18), dated June 7, 2017; Responses to Symantec's Fifth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 22-25), dated June 9, 2017; Supplemental Responses to Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 18, 23-24), dated July 7, 2017; and Supplemental Responses to Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 1 and 12), dated July 26, 2017.

INTERROGATORY RESPONSES

Subject to and without waiving its general objections and objections to Definitions set forth above, each of which is specifically incorporated into the specific Responses contained below, Finjan hereby responds to Symantec's Interrogatories as follows:

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

State the date and location of the first conception and reduction to practice, whether actual or constructive, of each asserted claim of the Asserted Patents and identify all factual and legal support therefor, including all evidence relating to diligence between the date of conception and reduction to practice and description of each person's role and participation in the conception and reduction to practice; all persons having knowledge of such conception, diligence, and reduction to practice; all documents supporting your response (identified by the Bates numbers and including sufficient detail to verify the date, source, and all recipients of the information); and if this information was communicated in an oral or other unrecorded form, provide a complete statement of the communication, the date and time of the communication, and identify all witnesses of this communication.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

