

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
10 FINJAN, INC.

11
12 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15
16 FINJAN, INC.,
17
18 Plaintiff,
19
20 v.
21 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
22 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINJAN,
INC.'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE**

Date: July 26, 2018
Time: 8:00 a.m.
Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor
Before: Hon. William Alsup

1 Based upon Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Request for Judicial Notice filed with the Court, and good
2 cause appearing for entry thereof, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this Court will take notice of:

- 3 1) U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 Patent, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 4 2) U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 5 3) U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 6 4) U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926, attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 7 5) Excerpted portions of the file history of the '494 Patent, attached hereto as
8 Exhibit 5.
- 9 6) Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement
10 Contentions and Document Production Accompanying Disclosure Pursuant to
11 Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-2 from Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Case No. 14-
12 cv-02998-RS, dated December 4, 2014, attached hereto as Exhibit 6.
- 13 7) Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Preliminary Election of Asserted Claims from Finjan, Inc.
14 v. Symantec Corp., Case No. 14-cv-02998-HSG, dated March 3, 2017, attached
15 hereto as Exhibit 7.
- 16 8) Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Supplemental Responses to Defendant Symantec Corp.'s
17 Interrogatories (No. 1), filed as Exhibit J to the Cassidy Declaration in Support of
18 Symantec's Motion to Amend Its Answer to Add an Inequitable Conduct Defense
19 from Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Case No. 14-cv-02998-HSG, Dkt. 242-11
20 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2017), attached hereto as Exhibit 8.
- 21 9) Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Final Election of Asserted Claims, filed as Exhibit H to the
22 Cassidy Declaration in Support of Symantec's Motion to Amend Its Answer to
23 Add an Inequitable Conduct Defense from Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Case
24 No. 14-cv-02998-HSG, Dkt. 242-9 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2017), attached hereto as
25 Exhibit 9.
- 26 10) Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Supplemental Responses to Defendant Symantec Corp.'s
27 Interrogatories (Nos. 1 and 12), filed as Exhibit I to the Cassidy Declaration in
28

1 Support of Symantec’s Motion to Amend Its Answer to Add an Inequitable
2 Conduct Defense from Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., Case No. 14-cv-02998-
3 HSG, Dkt. 242-10 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2017), attached hereto as Exhibit 10.

- 4 11) U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194, attached hereto as Exhibit 12.
5 12) U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780, attached hereto as Exhibit 13.
6 13) U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086, attached hereto as Exhibit 14.
7 14) U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844, attached hereto as Exhibit 15.
8 15) U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 11/281,839, “Ross,” IPR2016-00151, Ex.
9 1003, attached hereto as Exhibit 16.
10 16) Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s Final Written Decision of the ‘494 Patent in Palo
11 Alto Networks, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc., IPR2016-00159, Paper No. 50 (P.T.A.B. April
12 11, 2017), attached hereto as Exhibit 17.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14
15
16
17 DATED: _____

By: _____
Hon. William H. Alsup
United States District Judge