

# Exhibit 10

# Cassidy Ex. I

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)  
pandre@kramerlevin.com  
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)  
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com  
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)  
jhannah@kramerlevin.com  
4 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
5 990 Marsh Road  
6 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
7 Telephone: (650) 752-1700  
8 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800  
9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
10 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
13 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

14 FINJAN, INC.,  
15 Plaintiff,  
16 v.  
17 SYMANTEC CORP.,  
18 Defendant.

Case No.: 4:14-cv-02998-HSG

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S  
SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO  
DEFENDANT SYMANTEC CORP.'S  
INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1 AND 12)**

1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and 33, Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”) responds to Defendant  
2 Symantec Corporation’s (“Symantec” or “Defendant”) Interrogatories (“Interrogatories”). Finjan  
3 makes these objections and supplemental responses herein (collectively “Responses”) based solely on  
4 its current knowledge, understanding, and belief as to the facts and information reasonably available to  
5 it as of the date of the Responses.

6 Additional discovery and investigation may lead to additions to, changes in, or modifications of  
7 these Responses. The Responses, therefore, are given without prejudice to Finjan’s right to  
8 supplement these Responses pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e), or to provide subsequently discovered  
9 information and to introduce such subsequently discovered information at the time of any trial or  
10 proceeding in this action.

### 11 **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

12 1. Finjan incorporates by reference its general objections set forth in its Responses to  
13 Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories, dated December 4, 2014; Supplemental Responses to  
14 Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories (No. 3), dated December 19, 2014; Supplemental Responses to  
15 Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 3 and 4), dated February 11, 2015; Supplemental  
16 Responses to Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 5-11), dated April 13, 2015; Responses  
17 to Symantec’s Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-16), dated April 27, 2015; Responses to  
18 Symantec’s Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 17-19), dated November 28, 2016; Supplemental  
19 Responses to Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1), dated December 2, 2016; Responses to  
20 Symantec’s Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 20-21), dated June 2, 2017; Supplemental Responses to  
21 Symantec’s Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 3, 5-18), dated June 7, 2017; Responses to Symantec’s Fifth Set of  
22 Interrogatories (Nos. 22-25), dated June 9, 2017; and Supplemental Responses to Symantec’s  
23 Interrogatories (Nos. 18, 23-24), dated July 7, 2017.

### 24 **OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS**

25 1. Finjan incorporates by reference its objections to Definitions set forth in its Responses  
26 to Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories, dated December 4, 2014; Supplemental Responses to  
27 Symantec’s First Set of Interrogatories (No. 3), dated December 19, 2014; Supplemental Responses to  
28

1 Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 3 and 4), dated February 11, 2015; Supplemental  
2 Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 5-11), dated April 13, 2015; Responses  
3 to Symantec's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-16), dated April 27, 2015; Responses to  
4 Symantec's Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 17-19), dated November 28, 2016; Supplemental  
5 Responses to Symantec's First Set of Interrogatories (No. 1), dated December 2, 16; Responses to  
6 Symantec's Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 20-21), dated June 2, 2017; Supplemental Responses to  
7 Symantec's Interrogatories (Nos. 1, 3, 5-18), dated June 7, 2017; Responses to Symantec's Fifth Set of  
8 Interrogatories (Nos. 22-25), dated June 9, 2017; and Supplemental Responses to Symantec's  
9 Interrogatories (Nos. 18, 23-24), dated July 7, 2017.

10 **INTERROGATORY RESPONSES**

11 Subject to and without waiving its general objections and objections to Definitions set forth  
12 above, each of which is specifically incorporated into the specific Responses contained below, Finjan  
13 hereby responds to Symantec's Interrogatories as follows:

14 **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

15 State the date and location of the first conception and reduction to practice, whether actual or  
16 constructive, of each asserted claim of the Asserted Patents and identify all factual and legal support  
17 therefor, including all evidence relating to diligence between the date of conception and reduction to  
18 practice and description of each person's role and participation in the conception and reduction to  
19 practice; all persons having knowledge of such conception, diligence, and reduction to practice; all  
20 documents supporting your response (identified by the Bates numbers and including sufficient detail to  
21 verify the date, source, and all recipients of the information); and if this information was  
22 communicated in an oral or other unrecorded form, provide a complete statement of the  
23 communication, the date and time of the communication, and identify all witnesses of this  
24 communication.

25 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

26 Finjan objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive to the  
27 extent it seeks information not relevant to any claim or defense of any party and/or not reasonably  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.