

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)  
pandre@kramerlevin.com  
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)  
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com  
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)  
jhannah@kramerlevin.com  
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)  
kkastens@kramerlevin.com  
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
6 990 Marsh Road  
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700  
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
10 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 FINJAN, INC.,  
15  
16 Plaintiff,  
17 v.  
18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,  
19 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**FINJAN, INC.’S NOTICE OF MOTION  
AND MOTION TO DISMISS  
DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS,  
INC.’S THIRD, FOURTH, FIFTH, AND  
SIXTH COUNTERCLAIMS AND TO  
STRIKE JUNIPER’S TENTH,  
ELEVENTH, TWELFTH, AND  
FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE  
DEFENSES; MEMORANDUM OF  
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Date: July 26, 2018  
Time: 8:00 a.m.  
Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor  
Before: Hon. William Alsup

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
STATEMENT OF ISSUES.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
FACTUAL BACKGROUND .....	3
I. Prosecution of the ‘494 Patent.....	3
A. Touboul Declaration.....	3
II. FINJAN’S DISCOVERY RESPONSES IN THE SYMANTEC ACTION .....	4
ARGUMENT .....	6
III. JUNIPER’S PROSECUTION LACHES ALLEGATIONS ARE FACIALLY IMPLAUSIBLE.....	7
IV. JUNIPER’S INEQUITABLE CONDUCT ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE ‘494 AND ‘154 PATENTS ARE FACIALLY IMPLAUSIBLE .....	10
A. The ‘494 Patent .....	11
1. Juniper Mischaracterizes the File History and Prior Litigation Documents. ....	11
2. Mr. Touboul’s Declaration is Accurate and Contains No Misrepresentations.....	12
3. Juniper Alleges No Facts Supporting Materiality of the Alleged Misrepresentation. ....	14
4. Juniper Alleges No Facts Supporting Finjan’s Specific Intent to Deceive the PTO. ....	15
B. The ‘154 Patent .....	16
1. Juniper’s Inequitable Conduct Counterclaim Does Not Sufficiently Plead a Misrepresentation, Let Alone a Material Misrepresentation.....	16
2. Juniper’s Inequitable Conduct Counterclaim Does Not Sufficiently Plead Intent.....	18
V. JUNIPER’S UNCLEAN HANDS ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT FACIALLY PLAUSIBLE.....	18

1 VI. JUNIPER’S TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE FOR ENSNAREMENT FAILS  
2 TO GIVE FINJAN FAIR NOTICE.....20

3 CONCLUSION .....21

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

1  
2  
3  
4 *Ist Media, LLC v. Elec. Arts, Inc.*,  
5 694 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 15  
6 *Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Norwood*,  
7 No. C 10-03564 SI, 2011 WL 2650945 (N.D. Cal. July 6, 2011) ..... 7  
8 *AirWair Int’l Ltd. v. Schultz*,  
9 84 F. Supp. 3d 943 (N.D. Cal. 2015) ..... 7, 11  
10 *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*,  
11 556 U.S. 662 (2009)..... 7, 11  
12 *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*,  
13 550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... 7  
14 *BlackBerry Ltd. v. Typo Prods. LLC*,  
15 No. 14-cv-00023-WHO, 2014 WL 1867009 (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2014)..... 10, 16  
16 *Cancer Research Tech. Ltd. v. Barr Labs., Inc.*,  
17 625 F.3d 724 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 8  
18 *Catch a Wave, Inc. v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.*,  
19 No. C 12-05791 WHA, 2013 WL 1996134 (N.D. Cal. May 13, 2013)..... 7, 21  
20 *DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.*,  
21 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 20  
22 *Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,  
23 575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 10, 12  
24 *Feit Elec. Co. v. Beacon Point Capital, LLC*,  
25 No. 13-CV-09339, 2015 WL 557262 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 9, 2015) ..... 10  
26 *Fiscars, Inc. v. Hunt Mfg. Co.*,  
27 221 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 20  
28 *Gilead Sciences, Inc. v. Merck & Co.*,  
Nos. 2016-2302, 2016-2615, 2018 WL 1936686 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 25, 2018) ..... 18  
29 *In re Gilead Scis. Sec. Litig.*,  
536 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008) ..... 7

1 *Jarrow Formulas, Inc. v. Nutrition Now, Inc.*,  
 304 F.3d 829 (9th Cir. 2002) ..... 19

2 *Keystone Driller Co. v. Gen. Excavator Co.*,  
 3 290 U.S. 240 (1933)..... 18

4 *Lee v. City of Los Angeles*,  
 5 250 F.3d 668 (9th Cir. 2001) ..... 7, 11

6 *Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.*,  
 543 F.3d 710 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... 13

7 *Mendondo v. Centinela Hosp. Med. Ctr.*,  
 8 521 F.3d 1097 (9th Cir. 2008) ..... 6

9 *Nomadix, Inc. v. Hosp. Core Servs. LLC*,  
 10 No. CV 14-08256 DDP, 2015 WL 3948804 (C.D. Cal. June 29, 2015) ..... 8, 9

11 *Oracle Corp. v. DrugLogic, Inc.*,  
 807 F. Supp. 2d 885 (N.D. Cal. 2011) ..... 14

12 *Powertech Tech., Inc. v. Tessara, Inc.*,  
 13 10-0945, 2012 WL 1746848 (N.D. Cal. May 16, 2012)..... 21

14 *Qarbon.com Inc. v. eHelp Corp.*,  
 15 315 F. Supp. 2d 1046 (N.D. Cal. 2004) ..... 20

16 *Solis v. Zenith Capital, LLC*,  
 No. C 08-4854 PJH, 2009 WL 1324051 (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2009) ..... 6, 12

17 *Sonos, Inc. v. D&M Holdings Inc.*,  
 18 No. CV 14-1330-RGA-MPT, 2016 WL 4249493 (D. Del. Aug. 10, 2016) ..... 10

19 *Symbol Techs, Inc. v. Lemelson Med., Educ. & Research Found.*,  
 20 422 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 8

21 *Takeda Pharm. Co. v. TWi Pharms., Inc.*,  
 87 F. Supp. 3d 1263 (N.D. Cal. 2015) ..... 15, 18

22 *Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.*,  
 23 649 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 10, 12, 16

24 *U.S. Water Servs., Inc. v. Novozymes A/S*,  
 843 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 15, 18

25 *X One, Inc. v. Uber Techs., Inc.*,  
 26 239 F. Supp. 3d 1174 (N.D. Cal. 2017) ..... 8

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.