## Case 3:16-cv-06001-WHO Document 26 Filed 10/24/16 Page 1 of 4

1			
2	= ===		
3	drutowski@orrick.com CATHY C. SHYONG (SBN 288537)		
4	cshyong@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLF		
5	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025		
6	Telephone: +1-650-614-7400 Facsimile: +1-650-614-7401		
7	NATHAN SHAFFER (SBN 282015)		
8	nshaffer@orrick.com 405 Howard St.		
9	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: +1-415-773-5700 Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759		
10	Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
12	Apple Inc.		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN FRANCISCO		
16			
17	APPLE INC.,	Case No. 3:16-cv-06001-WHO	
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO SHORTEN TIME ON APPLE'S MOTION FOR	
19	v.	EXPEDITED DISCOVERY	
20	MOBILE STAR LLC, a New York Limited	Dept: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor	
21	Liability Company, and Does 1-50	Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick	
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			



STIP TO SHORTEN TIME ON EXPED

3

6

11

9

14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a), Plaintiff Apple Inc. and Defendant Mobile Star LLC, by and through counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Apple has filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction calendared in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Local Rules for hearing on December 7, 2016.
- 2. Apple has filed a Motion for Expedited Discovery calendared in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Local Rules on November 30, 2016.
- 3. Apple's Motion for Expedited Discovery seeks an order from the Court granting limited discovery on an expedited basis in advance of the December 7, 2016 Preliminary Injunction.
- 4. Due to the pending Motion for Preliminary Injunction, the parties agree that the Motion for Expedited Discovery should be heard on shortened time so that discovery, if ordered by the Court, can be completed in advance of the Preliminary Injunction hearing.
- 5. The parties have stipulated to the following briefing schedule for Apple's Motion for Expedited Discovery:

October 28, 2016	Mobile Star's Opposition Brief Due
November 2, 2016	Apple's Reply Brief Due
November 9, 2016	Hearing on Apple's Expedited Discovery Motion

- 6. The Court has not made any previous changes to the schedule in this case.
- 7. If this stipulation is approved, it will not have an effect on the schedule of this case.

///

///

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Dated: October 20, 2016 Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	
7		
8	By: <u>/s/ Thomas H. Zellerbach</u> THOMAS H. ZELLERBACH	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Apple Inc.	
10		
11	Dated: October 20, 2016 Tucker Ellis LLP	
12		
13	By: /s/ Brian K Brookey Brian K. Brookey	
14	Attorneys for Defendant Mobile Star LLC	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE	
20	I, Thomas H. Zellerbach, as the ECF user and filer of this document, attest that	
21	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the above signatories	
22		
23	Dated: October 20, 2016 By: /s/ Thomas H. Zellerbach	
24	Dated: October 20, 2016  By: /s/Thomas H. Zellerbach  Thomas H. Zellerbach	
25		
26		
27		
20		



## **ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Apple Inc.'s Motion for Expedited Discovery shall be briefed and heard pursuant to the following schedule:

October 28, 2016	Mobile Star's Opposition Brief Due
November 2, 2016	Apple's Reply Brief Due
November 9, 2016	Hearing on Apple's Expedited Discovery Motion

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2016



