

1 J. DAVID HADDEN (CSB No. 176148)  
dhadden@fenwick.com  
2 SAINA S. SHAMILOV (CSB No. 215636)  
sshamilov@fenwick.com  
3 CAROLYN CHANG (CSB No. 217933)  
cchang@fenwick.com  
4 RAVI R. RANGANATH (CSB No. 272981)  
rranganath@fenwick.com  
5 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
Silicon Valley Center, 801 California Street  
6 Mountain View, CA 94041  
Telephone: 650.988.8500  
7 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
AMAZON.COM, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 AMAZON.COM, INC.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.,

17 Defendant.

Case No. 14-4561

**DECLARATORY JUDGMENT  
COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

19 Plaintiff Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon”) brings this action against Defendant Straight Path  
20 IP Group, Inc. (“Straight Path”) and alleges as follows:

21 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

22 1. This is a civil action seeking a declaratory judgment of non-infringement under 28  
23 U.S. C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

24 **PARTIES**

25 2. Plaintiff Amazon is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of  
26 Delaware, with a principal place of business at 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington  
27 98109-5210.  
28

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 3. On information and belief, Straight Path is a Delaware corporation with its principal  
2 place of business at 5300 Hickory Park Drive, Suite 218, Glen Allen, Virginia 23059.

3 **JURISDICTION**

4 4. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United  
5 States Code, Sections 101 *et seq.*, and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201  
6 and 2202. An actual, substantial, and continuing justiciable controversy exists between Amazon  
7 and Straight Path. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and  
8 1338.

9 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Straight Path by virtue of, *inter alia*, its fil-  
10 ing and pursuit of currently-pending patent litigation in this district.

11 **VENUE**

12 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Straight Path is  
13 subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

14 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

15 7. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c), divisional assignment is unnecessary because this is an  
16 intellectual property action.

17 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 8. Straight Path purports to be the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,009,469 (the “’469 pa-  
19 tent”), entitled “Graphical User Interface for Internet Telephony Application,” a copy of which is  
20 attached as **Exhibit A**.

21 9. Straight Path purports to be the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,108,704 (the “’704 pa-  
22 tent”), entitled “Point-to-Point Protocol,” a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit B**.

23 10. Straight Path purports to be the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,131,121 (the “’121 pa-  
24 tent”), entitled “Point-to-Point Computer Network Communication Utility Utilizing Dynamically  
25 Assigned Network Protocol Addresses,” a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit C**.

26 11. Straight Path has initiated several patent infringement actions alleging that numerous  
27 entities are making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing products that allegedly in-  
28 fringe one or more claims of the ’469, ’704, and ’121 patents.

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1           12. Straight Path has initiated several patent infringement actions in this district, includ-  
2 ing an action against Apple Inc. (Case No. 3:14-cv-4302) alleging infringement of the '704 and  
3 '121 patents; an action against Avaya Inc. (Case No. 3:14-cv-4309) alleging infringement of the  
4 '469, '704, and '121 patents; and an action against Cisco Systems, Inc. (Case No. 3:14-cv-4312)  
5 alleging infringement of the '469, '704, and '121 patents.

6           13. Straight Path has also initiated several patent infringement actions in the Eastern Dis-  
7 trict of Virginia, including Case No. 1:13-cv-934 AJT/IDD, which includes allegations that LG  
8 Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics USA, Inc., LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. (collec-  
9 tively "LGE"), Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Information Sys-  
10 tems, Inc. (collectively "Toshiba"), and VIZIO, Inc. ("VIZIO") infringe one or more claims of the  
11 '469, '704, and '121 patents.

12           14. Straight Path has subpoenaed Amazon in Case No. 1:12-cv-934 AJT/IDD in the East-  
13 ern District of Virginia, seeking documents relating to Amazon's relationship with LGE, VIZIO,  
14 and Toshiba and the inclusion of the Amazon Instant Video software application in devices pro-  
15 vided by these entities.

16           15. On information and belief, Straight Path asserts that LGE directly and/or indirectly  
17 infringes one or more claims of the '469, '704, and '121 patents by making, using, selling, offer-  
18 ing for sale, or importing devices that include the Amazon Instant Video software application.

19           16. Pursuant to a written agreement between Amazon and LGE, LGE has requested that  
20 Amazon defend, indemnify, and hold harmless LGE against Straight Path's claims of infringe-  
21 ment relating to the Amazon Instant Video service and software application.

22           17. On information and belief, Straight Path asserts or intends to assert that VIZIO direct-  
23 ly and/or indirectly infringes one or more claims of the '469, '704, and '121 patents by making,  
24 using, selling, offering for sale, or importing devices that include the Amazon Instant Video soft-  
25 ware application.

26           18. Pursuant to written agreements between Amazon and VIZIO, VIZIO has requested  
27 that Amazon defend and indemnify VIZIO against Straight Path's claims of infringement relating  
28 to the Amazon Instant Video service and software application.

1 19. On information and belief, Straight Path intends to assert that Toshiba directly and/or  
2 indirectly infringes or infringed one or more claims of the '469, '704, and '121 patents by mak-  
3 ing, using, selling, offering for sale, or importing devices that include the Amazon Instant Video  
4 software application.

5 20. Straight Path has also initiated several patent infringement actions in the Eastern Dis-  
6 trict of Texas, including Case No. 13-cv-606 (now consolidated with lead case 13-cv-604), which  
7 includes allegations that Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and  
8 Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively "Samsung") infringe one or more  
9 claims of the '469, '704, and '121 patents.

10 21. Samsung includes the Amazon Instant Video software application in certain devices  
11 made, used, sold, offered for sale, sold, or imported by Samsung.

12 22. Amazon has a direct and substantial interest in defeating any claims relating to the  
13 Amazon Instant Video service and software application and the alleged infringement of the '469,  
14 '704, and '121 patents.

15 23. Amazon denies that any of its technology, including the Amazon Instant Video ser-  
16 vice and software application, infringes any claim of the '469, '704, and '121 patents.

17 24. There is an actual, substantial, and continuing justiciable controversy between Ama-  
18 zon and Straight Path. Amazon and Straight Path have adverse legal interests of sufficient imme-  
19 diacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment regarding non-infringement of  
20 the '469, '704, and '121 patents.

21 **FIRST CLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT ('469 PATENT)**

22 25. Amazon restates and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in  
23 paragraphs 1 through 24 above.

24 26. Straight Path has alleged that certain devices that include the Amazon Instant Video  
25 software application infringe one or more claims of the '469 patent.

26 27. Amazon has not and does not make, use, sell, offer for sale, or import any product or  
27 technology, including its Amazon Instant Video service and software application, that infringes or  
28 contributes to any infringement of any claim of the '469 patent either literally or under the doc-

1 trine of equivalents. Amazon further has not and does not induce any infringement of any claim  
2 of the '469 patent.

3 28. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Amazon and Straight Path as to  
4 Amazon's non-infringement of the '469 patent.

5 29. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, *et seq.*, Ama-  
6 zon seeks a declaration that it does not infringe any claim of the '469 patent.

7 **SECOND CLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT ('704 PATENT)**

8 30. Amazon restates and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in  
9 paragraphs 1 through 24 above.

10 31. Straight Path has alleged that certain devices that include the Amazon Instant Video  
11 software application infringe one or more claims of the '704 patent.

12 32. Amazon has not and does not make, use, sell, offer for sale, or import any product or  
13 technology, including its Amazon Instant Video service and software application, that infringes or  
14 contributes to any infringement of any claim of the '704 patent either literally or under the doc-  
15 trine of equivalents. Amazon further has not and does not induce any infringement of any claim  
16 of the '704 patent.

17 33. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Amazon and Straight Path as to  
18 Amazon's non-infringement of the '704 patent.

19 34. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, *et seq.*, Ama-  
20 zon seeks a declaration that it does not infringe any claim of the '704 patent.

21 **THIRD CLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT ('121 PATENT)**

22 35. Amazon restates and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in  
23 paragraphs 1 through 24above.

24 36. Straight Path has alleged that certain devices that include the Amazon Instant Video  
25 software application infringe one or more claims of the '121 patent.

26 37. Amazon has not and does not make, use, sell, offer for sale, or import any product or  
27 technology, including its Amazon Instant Video service and software application, that infringes or  
28 contributes to any infringement of any claim of the '121 patent either literally or under the doc-

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.