1	John P. Schnurer, Bar No. 185725	
2	JSchnurer@perkinscoie.com Yun (Louise) Lu, Bar No. 253114 LLu@perkinscoie.com	
3	Kyle R. Canavera Bar No. 314664 KCanavera@perkinscoie.com	
4	PERKINS COIE LLP 11452 El Camino Real, Suite 300	
5	San Diego, CA 92130-2080 Telephone: 858.720.5700 Facsimile: 858.720.5799	
6	Facsimile: 858.720.5799	
7	Attorneys for Defendants TCT Mobile (US) Inc., Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co., Ltd., and Shenzhen TCL Creative Cloud Technology Co., Ltd.	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12		
13 14	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No. 8:19-CV-02192-GW-ASx (LEAD CASE)
15	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01252-GW-ASx
16	v.	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
17	TCT MOBILE (US) INC., HUIZHOU TCL MOBILE COMMUNICATION	Judge: Hon. George H. Wu Mag. Judge: Hon. Alka Sagar
18	CO. LTD., and SHENZHEN TCL CREATIVE CLOUD TECHNOLOGY	
19	CO., LTD.,	TCL'S ANSWER, DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS TO SECOND
20	Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Defendants TCT Mobile (US) Inc., Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd., and Shenzhen TCL Creative Cloud Technology Co., Ltd. (collectively "TCL"), by and through their attorneys, hereby answer the Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement filed by Plaintiff Ancora Technologies, Inc. ("Ancora") (Dkt. No. 44). TCL denies the allegations of the Second Amended Complaint to the extent such allegations are not expressly admitted in the following paragraphs.

- 1. TCL admits that Ancora filed the Second Amended Complaint naming TCT Mobile (US) Inc., Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd., and Shenzhen TCL Creative Cloud Technology Co., Ltd. as defendants. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 2. TCL admits that Case Nos. 8:19-cv-02192-GW-ASx and 2:20-cv-01252-GW-ASx were co-pending and have now been consolidated. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

I. THE PARTIES

- 3. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 4. TCL admits that Shenzhen TCL Creative Cloud Technology Co., Ltd. is a company existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China with a place of business at 7F, Block F4, TCL Communication Technology Building, TCL International E-city, Zhong Shan Yuan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. China. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 5. TCL admits that Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China and maintains its principal place of business at No. 86 Hechang Qi Lu Xi, Zhongkai Gaoxin District, Huizhou City, Guandong Province, P.R. China. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.



- 6. TCL admits that TCT Mobile (US) Inc. it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and maintains a principal place of business at 25 Edelman, Suite 200, Irvine, California 92618. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 7. TCL admits that it sells mobile devices in the United States, including mobile devices branded with Alcatel. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 8. TCL admits that it sells mobile devices in the United States, including mobile devices that are branded with Blackberry. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 9. TCL admits that it sells mobile devices in the United States, including mobile devices that are branded with Blackberry or Alcatel. TCL admits that it sells Android mobile devices in the United States. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

II. JURISDICTION

- 10. TCL admits that the Second Amended Complaint purports to assert an action arising under Title 35 of the United States Code. TCL denies that it is liable for patent infringement. TCL does not contest that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Ancora's claim under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 11. TCL does not contest, for purposes of this action only, that the Court has personal jurisdiction over TCL. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 12. TCL does not contest, for purposes of this action only, that venue is proper in this District. TCL admits that Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China. TCL admits that Shenzhen TCL Creative Cloud Technology Co., Ltd. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of



China. TCL denies that it has committed any acts of patent infringement in the State of California or this District. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

13. TCL does not contest, for purposes of this action only, that venue is proper in this District. TCL admits that Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd. it is a company organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China. TCL admits that Shenzhen TCL Creative Cloud Technology Co., Ltd. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the People's Republic of China. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

III. BACKGROUND

- 14. TCL admits that Exhibit A to the Second Amended Complaint appears on its face to be U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 ("the '941 patent"). TCL admits that the '941 patent states on its face that it has a title of "Method of Restricting Software Operation within a License Limitation." TCL admits that the '941 patent states on its face that it was issued on June 25, 2002. TCL admits that Exhibit B to the Second Amended Complaint appears on its face to be a reexamination certificate relating to the '941 patent. TCL admits that Exhibit B states on its face that it was issued on June 1, 2010. TCL admits that Exhibit B states on its face that the patentability of claim 1-19 was confirmed. TCL denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 15. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 16. TCL admits that the '941 patent has been involved in litigation against other defendants. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.



- 17. TCL admits that the '941 patent has been involved in litigation against other defendants. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 18. TCL admits that the '941 patent has been involved in litigation against other defendants. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 19. TCL admits that the '941 patent has been involved in litigation against other defendants. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 20. TCL admits that the '941 patent was involved in a Covered Business Method proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 21. TCL admits that the '941 patent was involved in an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 22. TCL admits that the '941 patent was involved in an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.
- 23. TCL lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and thus denies those allegations.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

