

1 JOSHUA A. KREVITT, SBN 208552
jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com
2 PAUL E. TORCHIA (*pro hac vice*)
ptorchia@gibsondunn.com
3 FLORINA YEZRIL (*pro hac vice*)
fyezril@gibsondunn.com
4 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
5 New York, NY 10166-0193
Telephone: 212.351.4000
6 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

JENNIFER J. RHO, SBN 254312
jrho@gibsondunn.com
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Telephone: 213.229.7000
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

11 ANDREW ROBB, SBN 291438
12 arobb@gibsondunn.com
13 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
14 1881 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211
Telephone: 650.849.5300
Facsimile: 650.849.5333

15 || Attorneys for Defendant Infor, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

19 UNILOC 2017 LLC,

Plaintiff,

21 || v.

Defendants.

CASE NO. 8:19-cv-01150-JLS-ADS

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANT INFOR, INC.'S
RENEWED MOTION TO DISMISS**

Hearing

January 10, 2020

Time: 10:30

Courtroom 10A

Judge: Hon. Josephine L. Staton

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Background.....	3
A. The Parties.....	3
B. Uniloc's Prior Lawsuits Against Infor.....	3
C. The Patents In Suit	4
1. The 578 Patent	4
2. The 293 Patent	6
D. Uniloc's Original Complaint in This Action	8
E. Infor's First Motion to Dismiss.....	9
F. Uniloc's First Amended Complaint	10
1. The 578 Patent	11
2. The 293 Patent	13
III. Legal Standards	14
A. Dismissal is Warranted When a Pleading Demonstrates Non-Infringement.....	15
B. Dismissal is Warranted When a Pleading Omits Elements of the Claims.....	16
C. Dismissal is Warranted for Failure to Plead Compliance with § 287(a)	17
IV. Argument	19
A. Uniloc Cannot Plead a Claim for Relief for the 578 Patent.....	19
1. Uniloc Cannot Plead Compliance With 35 U.S.C. § 287(a)	19
2. Uniloc Cannot Plead a Plausible Claim of Infringement	22
B. Uniloc Cannot Plead a Claim of Infringement of the 293 Patent	24
V. Conclusion	25

1 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Am. Axle & Mfg. Co. v. Neapco Holdings,</i> 939 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	23
<i>Amsted Indus. Inc., v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.,</i> 24 F.3d 178 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	18, 20
<i>Anderson v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.,</i> 570 F. App'x 927 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	14, 15
<i>Apollo Fin., LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.,</i> 190 F. Supp. 3d 939 (C.D. Cal. 2016).....	17, 24
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.,</i> 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	17, 18
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal,</i> 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	14, 19, 22
<i>Atlas IP, LLC v. Exelon Corp.,</i> 189 F. Supp. 3d 768 (N.D. Ill. 2016).....	16
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,</i> 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	14, 19, 22
<i>In re Bill of Lading Trans. & Processing Sys. Patent Litig.,</i> 681 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15, 23, 25
<i>Coca-Cola Co. v. Pepsico, Inc.,</i> No. CIVA 102-CV-2887, 2004 WL 4910334 (N.D. Ga. Sept. 29, 2004)	21
<i>e-Watch Inc. v. Avigilon Corp,</i> No. CA H-13-0347, 2013 WL 5231521 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 16, 2013).....	18, 19
<i>e.Digital Corp. v. iBaby Labs, Inc.,</i> No. 2:15-cv-05790, 2016 WL 4427209 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2016)	16
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Liquid Web, LLC,</i> No. 1:18-cv-01177, 2019 WL 1596999 (D. Del. Apr. 15, 2019).....	19

1	<i>Funai Elec. Co., Ltd. v. Daewoo Electronics Corp.,</i> 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	21
3	<i>Futurewei Techs., Inc. v. Acacia Research Corp.,</i> No. 8:12-cv-00511, 2012 WL 12905300 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2012).....	16
5	<i>Gart v. Logitech, Inc.,</i> 254 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	20
7	<i>Horowitz v. Yishun Chen,</i> No. 8:17-cv-00432, 2018 WL 6219928 (C.D. Cal. May 14, 2018)	16, 23, 25
9	<i>Int'l Techs. & Sys. Corp. v. Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd,</i> No. 8-17-cv-01748, 2018 WL 4963129 (C.D. Cal. June 22, 2018).....	16, 23, 24, 25
10	<i>K-TEC, Inc. v. Vita-Mix Corp.,</i> 696 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	21
12	<i>Lans v. Digital Equip. Corp.,</i> 252 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	18, 20
14	<i>Metricolor LLC v. L'Oreal S.A.,</i> No. 2:18-cv-00364, 2018 WL 5099496 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2018).....	15, 16, 17, 25
16	<i>N. Star Innovations Inc. v. Kingston Tech. Co., Inc.,</i> No. 17-cv-01833, 2018 WL 3155258 (C.D. Cal. May 7, 2018)	17, 25
18	<i>Ottah v. Fiat Chrysler,</i> 884 F.3d 1135 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	16
20	<i>Proxyconn Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.,</i> No. 8:11-cv-01681, 2012 WL 1835680 (C.D. Cal. May 16, 2012)	15
21	<i>SIMO Holdings Inc. v. Hong Kong uCloudlink Network Tech. Ltd.,</i> 376 F.Supp.3d 369 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)	20
23	<i>Starr v. Baca,</i> 652 F.3d 1202 (9th Cir. 2011)	17, 24, 25
25	<i>Swartz v. KPMG LLP,</i> 476 F.3d 756 (9th Cir. 2007)	16
27	<i>Toshiba Corp. v. Imation Corp.,</i> 990 F. Supp. 2d 882 (W.D. Wis. 2013).....	20

1	<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC,</i> 279 F. Supp. 3d 736 (E.D. Tex. 2017).....	3, 15
3	<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC,</i> 772 F. App'x 890 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	3, 5, 6, 8, 15
5	<i>Weisbuch v. Cnty. of L.A.,</i> 119 F.3d 778 (9th Cir. 1997)	15
7	Statutes	
8	35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(2).....	11
9	35 U.S.C. § 287(a)	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.