

1 JOSHUA A. KREVITT, SBN 208552  
jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com  
2 PAUL E. TORCHIA (*pro hac vice*)  
ptorchia@gibsondunn.com  
3 FLORINA YEZRIL (*pro hac vice*)  
fyezril@gibsondunn.com  
4 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
5 New York, NY 10166-0193  
Telephone: 212.351.4000  
6 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

7 JENNIFER J. RHO, SBN 254312  
jrho@gibsondunn.com  
8 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
9 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
10 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

11 ANDREW ROBB, SBN 291438  
arobb@gibsondunn.com  
12 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1881 Page Mill Road  
13 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211  
Telephone: 650.849.5300  
14 Facsimile: 650.849.5333

15 *Attorneys for Defendant Infor, Inc.*

16  
17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
18 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SOUTHERN DIVISION**

19 UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
20 Plaintiff,  
21 v.  
22 INFOR, INC.,  
23 Defendants.

CASE NO. 8:19-cv-01150-JLS-ADS

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANT INFOR, INC.'S  
MOTION TO DISMISS**

**Hearing**

November 8, 2019  
Time: 10:30 AM  
Courtroom 10A  
Judge: Hon. Josephine L. Staton

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction .....	1
II. Background.....	2
A. The Parties.....	2
B. Uniloc’s Lawsuits Related to the Asserted Patents.....	3
C. The 578 Patent.....	4
1. The Specification and Claims of the 578 Patent.....	4
2. Judge Schroeder’s Ineligibility Decision.....	5
3. The Federal Circuit’s Opinion .....	6
4. Uniloc’s Infringement Allegations Against Infor.....	7
D. The 293 Patent.....	8
1. The Specification and Claims of the 293 Patent.....	8
2. Judge Schroeder’s Ineligibility Decision.....	10
3. The Federal Circuit’s Opinion .....	10
4. Uniloc’s Infringement Allegations Against Infor.....	11
III. Legal Standard.....	12
A. Dismissal is Warranted When a Pleading Demonstrates Non-Infringement .....	13
B. Dismissal is Warranted When a Pleading Omits Elements of the Claims.....	14
IV. Argument .....	15
A. Uniloc Has Failed to Plead Plausible Infringement of the 578 Patent ....	15
1. Uniloc’s Infringement Allegations are Inconsistent with Core Limitations of the Claims as Recognized by the Federal Circuit .....	16
2. Uniloc Ignores Other Requirements of the Asserted Claims .....	19
B. Uniloc has Failed to Plead Plausible Infringement of the 293 Patent .....	20
V. Conclusion .....	21

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

## Cases

1		
2		
3		
4	<i>Anderson v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.</i> ,	
	570 F. App'x 927 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	13, 14, 18, 20
5	<i>Apollo Fin., LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> ,	
6	190 F. Supp. 3d 939 (C.D. Cal. 2016) .....	17
7	<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> ,	
	556 U.S. 662 (2009) .....	13
8	<i>Atlas IP, LLC v. Exelon Corp.</i> ,	
9	189 F. Supp. 3d 768, 775 (N.D. Ill. 2016) .....	16, 21
10	<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> ,	
	550 U.S. 544 (2007) .....	13
11	<i>e.Digital Corp. v. iBaby Labs, Inc.</i> ,	
12	No. 2:15-cv-05790, 2016 WL 4427209 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2016) .....	16
13	<i>Futurewei Techs., Inc. v. Acacia Research Corp.</i> ,	
	No. 8:12-cv-00511, 2012 WL 12905300 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2012) .....	15
14	<i>Horowitz v. Yishun Chen</i> ,	
15	No. 8:17-cv-00432, 2018 WL 6219928 (C.D. Cal. May 14, 2018) .....	16, 18, 21
16	<i>In re Bill of Lading Trans. &amp; Processing Sys. Patent Litig.</i> ,	
	681 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	14, 18, 20
17	<i>Int'l Techs. &amp; Sys. Corp. v. Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd</i> ,	
18	No. 8-17-cv-01748, 2018 WL 4963129 (C.D. Cal. June 22, 2018) .....	15, 18, 21
19	<i>Metricolor LLC v. L'Oreal S.A.</i> ,	
	No. 2:18-cv-00364, 2018 WL 5099496 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2018) .....	15, 17
20	<i>N. Star Innovations Inc. v. Kingston Tech. Co., Inc.</i> ,	
21	No. 17-cv-01833, 2018 WL 3155258 (C.D. Cal. May 7, 2018),	
22	<i>report and recommendation adopted</i> , No. 17-cv-01833,	
	2018 WL 3155708 (C.D. Cal. June 25, 2018) .....	16, 22
23	<i>Ottah v. Fiat Chrysler</i> ,	
	884 F.3d 1135 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	15
24	<i>Proxyconn Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> ,	
25	No. 8:11-cv-01681, 2012 WL 1835680 (C.D. Cal. May 16, 2012) .....	14
26	<i>Starr v. Baca</i> ,	
	652 F.3d 1202 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	17
27	<i>Swartz v. KPMG LLP</i> ,	
28	476 F.3d 756 (9th Cir. 2007) .....	15

1	<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC</i> , 279 F. Supp. 3d 736 (E.D. Tex. 2017) .....	passim
2		
3	<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC</i> , 772 F. App'x 890 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	passim
4	<i>Weisbuch v. Cnty. of L.A.</i> , 119 F.3d 778 (9th Cir. 1997) .....	14
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Document
Infor	Defendant Infor, Inc.
Uniloc	Plaintiff Uniloc 2017, LLC
Complaint	Uniloc's Original Complaint filed before this Court
578 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,324,578
293 patent	U.S. Patent No. 7,069,293
Uniloc App. Br.	Opening Brief of Appellants Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. and Uniloc USA, Inc. filed in <i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC</i> , No. 18-1132, D.I. 53 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 22, 2018)
Uniloc Reply App. Br.	Corrected Reply Brief of Appellants Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. and Uniloc USA, Inc. filed in <i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC</i> , No. 18-1132, D.I. 67 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 30, 2018)
Torchia Decl. <sup>1</sup>	Declaration of Paul E. Torchia in Support of this Motion

---

<sup>1</sup> Citations in the form "Document (Ex. \_)" are citations to documents attached to the declaration of Paul E. Torchia in support of this brief.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.