

# Exhibit E

Paper No. 12

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SK hynix Inc., SK hynix America Inc., SK hynix memory solutions Inc., and  
Hynix Semiconductor Manufacturing America Inc.,  
Petitioners,

v.

DSS Technology Management, Inc.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00192  
Patent 6,784,552 B2

---

PATENT OWNER AND PETITIONERS'  
JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(a)-(b), Patent Owner DSS Technology Management, Inc. (“DSS” or “Patent Owner”) and Petitioners SK hynix Inc., SK hynix America Inc., SK hynix memory solutions Inc., and Hynix Semiconductor Manufacturing America Inc., (“Hynix” or “Petitioners”) hereby jointly move the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) to terminate this *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 B2 (Case No. IPR2016-00192).

**I. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE**

Generally, the Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement. *See, e.g.*, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The Board authorized filing this motion by way of an Order authorizing the filing of a motion to terminate. *See* Paper No. 11 (Aug. 25, 2016) (“the Order”). Guidance as to the content of a motion to terminate is provided in the Order. The Board indicated that the joint motion to terminate should (a) update the Board concerning the status of any litigation or proceeding, including, but not limited to proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, involving the subject patent; (b) advise the Board whether any litigation or proceeding involving the subject patent is contemplated in the foreseeable future; and (c) include a statement certifying that there are no collateral agreements or understandings made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the *inter partes* review. Paper No. 11 at 2-3. This motion satisfies each of the

above requirements and is accompanied by the Parties' settlement agreement (Ex. 1012), as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 35 C.F.R. § 42.74 (b)-(c).

#### **A. Termination is Appropriate**

Termination is appropriate under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) because oral argument has not been held, the Board has not decided the merits of the proceeding, and a final written decision has not been issued. Petitioners filed its petition for *inter partes* review on November 12, 2015, and trial was instituted on May 11, 2016.

Petitioners and Patent Owner have resolved their dispute and have entered into a written settlement agreement to, *inter alia*, jointly request termination of this *inter partes* review. A true and correct copy of the settlement agreement is being filed herewith as Exhibit 1012, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). The underlying district court litigation between DSS and Hynix is pending dismissal.

Thus, termination of the proceeding satisfies the Congressional goal of establishing a more efficient and streamlined patent system that, *inter alia*, limits unnecessary and counterproductive litigation costs. *See* "Changes to Implement Inter Partes Review Proceedings, Post-Grant Review Proceedings, and Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents," Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg., No. 157, p. 48680 (Tuesday, August 14, 2012). By permitting termination of review proceedings as to all Parties, upon settlement of their disputes, the USPTO

provides a measure of certainty as to the outcome of such proceedings. Such certainty helps foster an environment that promotes settlements, creating a timely, cost-effective alternative to litigation. Further, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), no estoppel shall attach to Petitioners or their privies.

## **B. Status of Related Litigations**

U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 B2 is at issue in the following pending litigations:

- *DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc., v. Intel Corp., et al.*, No. 6:15-cv-130, (E.D. Tex.) (“*Intel*”);
- *DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc., v. Qualcomm Inc.*, No. 6:15-cv-692, (E.D. Tex.) (“*Qualcomm*”);
- *DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc., v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., et al.*, No. 6:15-cv-690, (E.D. Tex.) (“*Samsung*”);
- *DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc., v. SK hynix Inc., et al.*, No. 6:15-cv-691, (E.D. Tex.) (“*Hynix*”)<sup>1</sup>;

In *Intel*, on March 18, 2016, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas entered an Order staying the case pending a final written decision from the

---

<sup>1</sup> On October 28, 2015, Judge Gilstrap entered an order consolidating the *Qualcomm*, *Samsung*, and *Hynix* cases.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.