

# Exhibit N

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

DSS Technology Management, Inc.,  Plaintiff,  v.  Qualcomm Incorporated,  Defendant.	Civil Action 6:15-cv-692 (LEAD CASE)  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
DSS Technology Management, Inc.,  Plaintiff,  v.  Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al,  Defendants.	Civil Action 6:15-cv-690  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
DSS Technology Management, Inc.,  Plaintiff,  v.  SK hynix Inc. et al,  Defendants.	Civil Action 6:15-cv-691  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO STAY  
PENDING INTER PARTES REVIEW**

Before the Court is the Unopposed Motion of Defendants Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm”); Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., and Samsung Austin Semiconductor LLC (collectively, “Samsung”); and SK hynix Inc., SK hynix America Inc., SK hynix memory solutions Inc., and Hynix

Semiconductor Manufacturing America Inc. (collectively, "Hynix") (collectively, "Defendants") to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review. (Dkt. No. 59.)


After consideration of same, the Court finds that said motion should be **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Civil Action Nos. 6:15-cv-690, 5:15-cv-691, and 6:15-cv-692 are hereby **STAYED** pending final written decisions from the Patent Trial and Appeal Board on Intel Corporation's IPR petitions, namely, IPR2016-00287, IPR2016-00288, IPR2016-00289, and IPR2016-00290.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within fourteen days of the latest final written decision on the Intel IPR petitions, the parties shall file a joint status report in Civil Action Nos. 6:15-cv-690, 6:15-cv-691, and 6:15-cv-692. The joint status report shall address the status of the Intel IPR petitions, the status of the claims of the '552 and '924 patents, and whether the stay should be continued in light of the Intel IPR petitions and the final written decisions thereon, the Samsung IPR petition and/or the Hynix IPR petition.

Also before the Court is the Parties' Joint Motion for Amending the Second Amended Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 58.) Having reviewed the Motion, the Court finds that the Motion should be and is hereby **DENIED AS MOOT** in view of the stay of this case, as granted above.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 5th day of April, 2016.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE