

1 COOLEY LLP
 HEIDI L. KEEFE (178960)
 2 (hkeefe@cooley.com)
 MARK R. WEINSTEIN (193043)
 3 (mweinstein@cooley.com)
 PRIYA VISWANATH (238089)
 4 (pviswanath@cooley.com)
 LAM K. NGUYEN (265285)
 5 (lnguyen@cooley.com)
 3175 Hanover Street
 6 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
 Telephone: (650) 843-5000
 7 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 9 HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12
 13
 14 HTC CORPORATION, HTC
 AMERICA, INC.,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 ACACIA RESEARCH
 18 CORPORATION, SAINT
 LAWRENCE COMMUNICATIONS
 19 LLC,

20 Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
 JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT
 OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,795,805,
 6,807,524, 7,151,802, 7,260,521 AND
 7,191,123**

21
 22 Plaintiffs HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (collectively “HTC”)
 23 seek a declaration that they do not infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 6,795,805, 6,807,524,
 24 7,151,802, 7,260,521, and 7,191,123 (collectively “Patents-in-Suit”):

25 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

26 1. This is an action for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement
 27 arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States
 28 Code. The alleged owner of the Patents-in-Suit, defendant Acacia Research

1 Corporation (through its alter ego patent holding company, defendant Saint
2 Lawrence Communications LLC), has placed HTC in reasonable apprehension of
3 being sued for alleged infringement based on the sale, offer for sale and/or
4 importation of HTC products. Although HTC does not infringe the Patents-in-Suit,
5 Acacia's lingering allegations against HTC create a cloud over HTC's products and
6 threaten its business and relationships with its customers and partners. A
7 substantial controversy exists between Acacia and HTC, of sufficient immediacy
8 and reality, to warrant a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

9 **THE PARTIES**

10 2. Plaintiff HTC Corporation is a corporation organized under the laws of
11 Taiwan with its corporate headquarters located at Xindian District, Zhongxing
12 Road, Section 3, No. 88, New Taipei City 231, Taiwan, R.O.C.

13 3. Plaintiff HTC America is a Washington corporation with offices at
14 13920 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 200, Bellevue, WA 98005. HTC America is a
15 wholly-owned, indirect subsidiary of HTC Corporation.

16 4. On information and belief, defendant Acacia Research Corporation
17 ("Acacia Research") is a Delaware corporation headquartered at 520 Newport
18 Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660. Acacia Research also publically claims
19 to have an office at 2400 Dallas Parkway, Suite 200, Plano, TX 75093.

20 5. On information and belief, defendant Saint Lawrence Communications
21 LLC ("St. Lawrence") is a Texas limited liability company with an address at 2400
22 Dallas Parkway, Suite 200, Plano, TX 75093 (the same as Acacia's office in Plano,
23 Texas). On information and belief, St. Lawrence is a wholly-owned indirect
24 subsidiary of Acacia Research.

25 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

26 6. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §
27 2201 and under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.* This
28 Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331,

1 1338(a), and 2201(a).

2 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Acacia Research because it
3 maintains its headquarters in Newport Beach, California, which is within this
4 judicial district. Acacia has also directed its licensing and enforcement activities
5 against individuals and entities located in California and purposefully availed itself
6 of the privileges and protections of doing business in California. Venue is proper in
7 this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)-(d), and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

8 8. Personal jurisdiction and venue are also proper against St. Lawrence in
9 this judicial district because, on information and belief, St. Lawrence is completely
10 controlled by and an alter ego of Acacia Research. On information and belief, St.
11 Lawrence has no employees, officers, facilities, operations or assets that are not
12 shared with Acacia Research and/or its other subsidiaries. For example, according
13 to records from the Texas Secretary of State, St. Lawrence was formed on
14 December 6, 2013 listing “Acacia Research Group, LLC” (a wholly owned
15 subsidiary of Acacia Research) as its sole managing member. St. Lawrence shares
16 the same business address as Acacia Research’s office in Plano, Texas. The
17 Patents-in-Suit were assigned to St. Lawrence approximately two weeks after its
18 formation. The patent assignment records of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
19 identify Ms. Jennifer Graff as St. Lawrence’s correspondent for the Patents-in-Suit.
20 On information and belief, Ms. Graff is a paralegal working at Acacia Research at
21 its headquarters in Newport Beach.¹

22 9. St. Lawrence has identified Mr. Marvin Key (CEO of St. Lawrence) as
23 responsible for license negotiations with respect to the Patents-in-Suit. Mr. Key
24 also serves as CEO of Acacia Research Group LLC, the sole managing member of
25 St. Lawrence, and as a Senior Vice President of defendant Acacia Research in
26

27 ¹ (See LinkedIn page for Jennifer Graff <<https://www.linkedin.com/pub/jennifer-graff/15/a74/bb7>> (last visited March 6, 2015).)
28

1 Newport Beach. Acacia Research identifies Mr. Key as “responsible for public
2 policy implementation, Acacia’s public communication strategy, and contribut[ing]
3 to the firm’s merger and acquisition activity.”²

4 10. St. Lawrence has a website at <<http://web.saintlawrencegmbh.com>>
5 that provides information about the Patents-in-Suit, their alleged applicability to the
6 AMR-WB standard (discussed below), and licensing information including
7 proposed royalty rates. The website domain was registered by Acacia Research
8 with “Wild West Domains” in June 2014, and lists the address of Acacia
9 Research’s headquarters in Newport Beach as the sole registrant and administrator.

10 11. Based on all of these facts, Acacia Research exercises complete
11 control over St. Lawrence, which is a mere shell company and alter ego solely used
12 to hold the Patents-in-Suit for the benefit of Acacia Research. Allowing Acacia
13 Research to avoid jurisdiction and venue through an alter ego shell company would
14 be unjust. Venue and personal jurisdiction are therefore proper with respect to St.
15 Lawrence for the same reasons as Acacia Research.

16
17 **ACACIA’S PATENT LITIGATION CAMPAIGN AGAINST HTC AND**
18 **PRODUCTS THAT USE THE AMR-WB STANDARD**

19 12. Acacia Research holds itself out as a leading company in the business
20 of asserting patents in litigation. Acacia Research is a prolific patent assertion
21 entity that is estimated to have orchestrated the filing of more than 650 patent
22 infringement suits in courts throughout the United States.³ On information and

23
24 ² (See Acacia Research website <<http://acaciaresearch.com/about-us/#people>> (last
visited March 6, 2015).)

25 ³ (See T. Cushing, *Know Your Troll: Innovative Display Technologies Targeting*
26 *Any Company That Creates A Product With An LCD Screen*, available at
27 <[https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20140629/12410727724/know-your-troll-](https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20140629/12410727724/know-your-troll-innovative-display-technologies-targeting-any-company-that-creates-product-with-lcd-screen.shtml)
28 [innovative-display-technologies-targeting-any-company-that-creates-product-with-](https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20140629/12410727724/know-your-troll-innovative-display-technologies-targeting-any-company-that-creates-product-with-lcd-screen.shtml)
[lcd-screen.shtml](https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20140629/12410727724/know-your-troll-innovative-display-technologies-targeting-any-company-that-creates-product-with-lcd-screen.shtml)> (last visited March 6, 2015).)

1 belief, Acacia Research does not manufacture, develop or sell any products or
2 technologies of its own.

3 13. Like many other companies in the technology industry, HTC has been
4 a frequent target of patent lawsuits from Acacia Research. Acacia Research and/or
5 entities owned or controlled by Acacia Research have brought at least fifteen
6 different lawsuits against HTC in the past five years.

7 14. St. Lawrence claims to own the Patents-in-Suit through assignment
8 from VoiceAge Corporation in December 2013. Acacia Research and its alter ego
9 St. Lawrence have publically claimed that the Patents-in-Suit cover mobile handsets
10 that implement the Adaptive Multi-Rate-Wideband (“AMR-WB”) speech
11 compression standard.

12 15. For example, in July 2014, St. Lawrence Communications GmbH (“St.
13 Lawrence GmbH”), the German subsidiary of defendant St. Lawrence, initiated
14 patent infringement suits in Germany against Vodafone GmbH and Telekom
15 Deutschland GmbH (“German Litigations”) based on German counterparts to the
16 Patents-in-Suit. Acacia Research claims that the defendants in the German
17 Litigations infringe by offering HTC handsets to their customers that practice the
18 AMR-WB standard. HTC has been served with third party notices in the German
19 Litigations and has been forced to intervene at least in the suit against Telekom
20 Deutschland GmbH based on Acacia Research’s allegations against HTC handsets.

21 16. On December 9, 2014, HTC wrote a letter to St. Lawrence GmbH,
22 requesting that the parties enter into negotiations for a possible patent license on
23 FRAND (fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory) terms. By letter dated
24 December 22, 2014, St. Lawrence GmbH responded by stating that “we are glad to
25 note that HTC is finally contemplating to enter into negotiations for a FRAND
26 license concerning our AMR-WB patent portfolio and that HTC has already taken
27 note of our license rates published on our website.”
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.