Ca	se 2:23-cv-06753-JLS-SSC Document 1 Fi	iled 08/17/23 Page 1 of 7 Page ID #:1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No.: PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR: 1. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; 2. VICARIOUS AND/OR CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT Jury Trial Demanded
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

Plaintiff, Dr. Elliot McGucken ("McGucken"), by and through his undersigned 1 attorneys, hereby prays to this honorable Court for relief based on the following: 2 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 3 This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., § 101 4 1. 5 et seq. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 2. 6 1338 (a)-(b). 7 Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 3. 8 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and 9 omissions giving rise to the claims occurred. 10 11 PARTIES Plaintiff McGucken is an individual residing in Los Angeles, California. 4. 12 McGucken is a renowned nature and landscape photographer. 13 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant 14 Valnet, Inc., which does business as, and owns and operates the website, 15 https://www.thetravel.com/. Valnet, Inc. ("Valnet"), is a Canadian corporation that 16 conducts business in the state of California including within this District. 17 Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are other parties who have 6. 18 infringed McGucken's copyright, have contributed to the infringement of 19 McGucken's copyright, or have engaged in one or more of the wrongful practices 20 alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or otherwise, of 21 Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to McGucken, who 22 therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will seek leave to amend 23 this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been 24 ascertained. 25 26 7. McGucken is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director, 27 and/on amplation of the normaining Defands -1+--

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship
and/or employment; and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and adopted,
or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged, with full knowledge of all the
facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each and
every violation of Plaintiff's rights and the damages proximately caused thereby.

6

CLAIMS RELATED TO MCGUCKEN'S PHOTOGRAPH

8. McGucken is an acclaimed photographer who created and owns the
original photographs depicted in Exhibit A attached hereto ("Subject Photographs.")

9 9. McGucken has registered the Subject Photographs and was granted U.S.
10 copyright registrations as set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto.

11 10. Prior to the acts complained of herein, McGucken published and widely
12 publicly displayed and disseminated the Subject Photographs including without
13 limitation on McGucken's website at *www.mcgucken.com*.

Following McGucken's dissemination and display of the Subject 11. 14 15 Photographs, Defendants, and each of them displayed, distributed, created derivative works from, and/or otherwise exploited the Subject Photographs without license, 16 authorization, or consent, including by displaying the Subject Photographs in articles 17 on their website ("Infringing Uses"), which articles were created, published, and 18 distributed by Valnet. The Infringing Uses were made widely and publicly available 19 at *https://www.thetravel.com/*, which is owned and operated by Valnet. True and 20 correct screen captures of the Infringing Uses, and its accompanying URL, are 21 included in Exhibit A attached hereto. 22

23 12. At a minimum, Defendants', and each of their, display of the Subject
24 Photographs to the public on their commercial website violated McGucken's
25 exclusive display right under 17 U.S.C. § 106 *et seq*.

26 13. On information and belief, it is alleged that Valnet created the derivative
27 articles at issue and incorporated McGucken's photography and name into those
28 articles without his concent

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

McGucken has not in any way authorized Defendants, or any of them, to
 copy, reproduce, display, distribute, create derivative works of, or otherwise use the
 Subject Photographs.

4 15. On January 3, 2023, McGucken, through his undersigned attorneys,
5 served Defendants a letter demanding that Defendants cease and desist all infringing
6 uses of McGucken's copyrighted work and reasonably resolve the action. The parties
7 were unable to resolve the matter, necessitating this action.

8

9

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Copyright Infringement – Against all Defendants, and Each)

10 16. McGucken repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference as
11 though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this
12 Complaint.

17. On information and belief, McGucken alleges that Defendants, and each
of them, had access to the Subject Photographs, including, without limitation,
through viewing the Subject Photographs on McGucken's website and social media
accounts. Access is further evidenced by the Subject Photographs' exact
reproductions in the Infringing Uses.

18 18. On information and belief, McGucken alleges that Defendants, and each
of them, copied, reproduced, displayed, and/or distributed the Subject Photographs,
including without limitation as seen in Infringing Uses attached hereto.

21 19. On information and belief, McGucken alleges that Defendants, and each
22 of them, infringed McGucken's copyrights by creating infringing derivative works
23 from the Subject Photographs and publishing same to the public.

24 20. Due to Defendants', and each of their, acts of infringement, McGucken
25 has suffered general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

26 21. Due to Defendants', and each of their, acts of copyright infringement as 27 alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect 28 profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

McGucken's rights in the Subject Photographs. As such, McGucken is entitled to
 disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to
 Defendants' infringement of McGucken's rights in the Subject Photographs in an
 amount to be established at trial.

5 22. On information and belief, McGucken alleges that Defendants, and each 6 of them, have committed acts of copyright infringement, as alleged above, which 7 were willful, intentional and malicious, which further subjects Defendants, and each 8 of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright 9 Act in the sum of up to \$150,000.00 per infringement and/or a preclusion from 10 asserting certain equitable and other defenses.

11

12

13

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Vicarious and/or Contributory Copyright Infringement – Against all Defendants, and Each)

14 23. McGucken repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference as
15 though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this
16 Complaint.

24. On information and belief, McGucken alleges that Defendants knowingly
induced, participated in, aided and abetted in and profited from the illegal
reproduction and distribution of the Subject Photographs as alleged hereinabove.
Such conduct included, without limitation, displaying photographs that Defendants
knew, or should have known, were not authorized to be published by Defendants.

22 25. On information and belief, McGucken alleges that Defendants, and each
23 of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged herein because they had
24 the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and because they had a direct
25 financial interest in the infringing conduct. Specifically, Defendants, and each of
26 them, profited in connection with the Infringing Uses, and were able to supervise the
27 distribution, broadcast, and publication of the Infringing Uses.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.