Gregory Dovel (SBN 135387)

email: greg@dovel.com

Richard Lyon (SBN 229288)

email: rick@dovel.com DOVEL & LUNER, LLP

201 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 600

Santa Monica, CA 90401

Tel: 310-656-7066

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Network-1 Technologies, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LOS ANGELES

NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HIKVISION USA, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-08050

Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (U.S. Patent Nos. 6,218,930).

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Network-1 Technologies, Inc. ("Network-1") sues Hikvision USA

Inc. ("Hikvision") and, on information and belief, alleges as follows:



INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Network-1 owns the invention described and claimed in United States Patent No. 6,218,930 entitled "Apparatus and method for remotely powering access equipment over a 10/100 switched ethernet network" (the "930 Patent").
 - 2. Defendant, without Plaintiff's permission,
 - (a) used Plaintiff's patented technology in connection with products that it made, used, sold, and offered to sell which distributed or used power transferred through Ethernet cables ("Power over Ethernet" or "PoE"), including Power Sourcing Equipment ("PSEs") and Powered Devices ("PDs") that are compliant with the IEEE 802.3af and 802.3at standards, and
 - (b) contributed to or induced others, including Defendant's customers who purchase Power over Ethernet products from Defendant, to infringe the method claims of the '930 Patent.

Plaintiff Network-1 seeks damages for patent infringements of the method claims of the '930 Patent.

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Network-1 Technologies, Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in New Canaan, Connecticut.



4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hikvision USA Inc. is a California corporation organized and existing with its principal place of business in City of Industry, California.

JURISDITION AND VENUE

- 5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281, *et seq*.
- 6. The Court has original jurisdiction over this patent infringement action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated under the laws of the State of California, Defendant does business in this District in California, Defendant is responsible for acts of infringement in this District in California, and Defendant delivered or caused to be delivered products that infringed in this District in California.

THE '930 PATENT

- 8. The United States Patent and Trademark Office issued the '930 Patent on April 17, 2001. A copy of the '930 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.
- 9. Through assignment, Plaintiff Network-1 is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '930 Patent, including all rights for damages for past infringements.



- 10. The validity of the '930 Patent has been confirmed in multiple proceedings in multiple forums.
- 11. Five parties accused of infringing the '930 Patent (all of them have since licensed the '930 Patent) filed five *Inter Partes* Reviews and one Covered Business Method Review challenging the validity of the '930 Patent. The Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued a final written decision, holding that none of the challenged claims of the '930 Patent were unpatentable. The Federal Circuit affirmed the PTAB's final written decision holding that none of the challenged claims of the '930 Patent were unpatentable. *Avaya Inc. v. Network-1 Techs., Inc.*, 612 F. App'x 613, 614 (Fed. Cir. 2015).
 - 12. The '930 Patent was also reexamined twice before the Patent Office.
- 13. In the first reexamination, the Patent Office issued a reexamination certification confirming the patentability of all challenged claims and adding fourteen new claims. Exhibit 2.
- 14. In the second reexamination, the Patent Office issued a reexamination certificate confirming the patentability of all challenged claims. <u>Exhibit 3</u>.
- 15. The '930 Patent has been extensively licensed. Prior to the expiration of the '930 Patent, twenty-eight companies that made, used, and sold PoE products that comply with the IEEE 802.3af and 802.3at standards have licensed the '930 Patent. Licensees of the '930 Patent include Cisco Systems, Inc., Alcatel-Lucent



USA, Sony Corporation, Shoretel Inc., Microsemi Corporation, Motorola Solutions, Inc., NEC Corporation, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and other companies that made or sold PoE networking products. Network-1 licensed its '930 Patent both in the context of litigation and outside of litigation.

- 16. To date, licensees have paid Network-1 more than \$187,000,000 to license the '930 Patent. ¹
- 17. Although not required under any RAND or FRAND obligation, Network-1 has been, and continues to be, willing to license its '930 Patent on reasonable and non-discriminatory terms.
- 18. The claims of the '930 Patent are directed to patent-eligible subject matter. Generally speaking, the '930 Patent claims an electronic detection circuit that (a) determines whether a remote access device connected to an Ethernet data cable (e.g., a VoIP telephone) is capable of accepting power over the Ethernet cable ("remote power"), and (b) delivers operating power to remote devices that can accept remote power.
- 19. The '930 Patent addresses the problem of detecting whether a device attached to an Ethernet data cable can accept remote power before delivering

¹ See https://ir.network-1.com/press-releases/detail/208/ ("Network-1's Remote Power Patent generated licensing revenue in excess of \$187,000,000.")



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

