1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Todd M. Briggs (Bar No. 209282) toddbriggs@quinnemanuel.com Brice C. Lynch (Bar No. 288567) bricelynch@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Eric Huang (pro hac vice) erichuang@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP James R. Asperger (Bar No. 83188) imasperger@quinnemanuel.com Rachael McCracken rachaelmccracken@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs NANTWORKS, LLC and NANT HOLD	INGS IP, LLC
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	NANTWORKS, LLC, a Delaware	CASE NO. 2:20-cv-7872-GW-PVC
18	limited liability company, and NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	DECLARATION OF ERIC HUANG IN SUPPORT OF JOINT
19	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
20	VS.	AND TRIAL DATE
21	BANK OF AMERICA	
22	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national banking	
23	association,	
24	Defendants.	
25]
26		
27		



I, Eric H. Huang, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of New York and appeared before this Court pro hac vice in the above-captioned matter. Dkt. 44. I am an attorney at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs NantWorks LLC and Nant Holding IP, LLC ("NantWorks"). I make this Declaration in support of the Joint Stipulation to Continue the Pretrial Conference and Trial Date filed by NantWorks and Defendants Bank of America Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America," together with NantWorks, the "Parties"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those facts.
- On May 20, 2024, the Court reset trial in this matter for September 17, 2. 2024 and pretrial conference for September 5, 2024. Thereafter, the parties have worked diligently to clear all conflicts with the September 17, 2024 trial date.
- NantWorks has identified one conflict that cannot be resolved. Its sole technical expert Dr. Dan Schonfeld, who will address infringement, validity and technical issues relation to damages, has two conflicting trials in September, which were scheduled before the Court reset the trial date here. He is committed to testify at trial for Meridian v. PAM in Houston before a Texas state court, which is set for trial September 10 through 20, 2024. He is also scheduled to testify before the U.S. International Trade Commission (the "ITC") in an investigation hearing scheduled for September 9 through 13, in a proceeding where Nokia filed a complaint against Hewlett Packard. These two cases are unrelated and involve different counsel and courts. Dr. Schonfeld has already received accommodation allowing him to testify during the second week of the Houston trial (September 16-20), so that he can testify in the ITC hearing the week before. Because of these conflicts, Dr. Schonfeld is unable to participate in a trial in this case starting September 17, 2024.
- 4. The Parties continue to meet and confer but as of today, are not able to 28 | identify mutually available time before May 2025 for a new trial date. The Parties

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3

4 5

7 8

6

10

11

9

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

will continue to work on clearing their conflicts to find potential trial date before May 2025.

- 5. No similar requests for continuance of pretrial conference or trial date has been previously made in this case. The Parties have previously filed the below stipulations or requests to modify the case schedule:
 - a) On October 27, 2020, the Court granted the Parties' stipulated request for an extension of the briefing schedule for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 33);
 - b) On September 7, 2021, the Court granted the Parties' stipulated request to extend the deadline to file demonstratives or presentation materials for use at the September 23, 2021 claim construction hearing (Dkt. 118);
 - c) On November 12, 2021, the Court granted the Parties' stipulated request to continue the hearing date of Defendants' Motion for Clarification of the Claim Construction Order (Dkt. 148) from December 2, 2021 to December 13, 2021 (Dkt. 150);
 - d) On March 22, 2022, the Court granted Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Extend Time for Filing Motion to Stay and extended the deadline for filing a Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes Review* from March 21, 2022 to March 28, 2022 (Dkt. 173);
 - e) On May 22, 2023, the Court granted the Parties' stipulated request extending the date to submit an Amended Protective Order from May 19, 2023 to May 25, 2023 (Dkt. 208);
 - f) On September 18, 2023, the Court granted the Parties' Joint Motion to Amend Case Schedule involving discovery, summary judgment and Daubert motions, as well as hearings on such motions (Dkt. 259);
 - g) On November 22, 2023, the Court granted the Parties' Joint Stipulation to Extend Case Schedule involving discovery, summary judgment and Daubert motions, as well as hearings on such motions (Dkt. 287);



