Case 2:20-cv-07872-GW-PVC	Document 479	Filed 05/28/24	Page 1 of 3	Page ID #:59587

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9		ES DISTRICT COURT		
10		DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	NANTWORKS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	Case No. CV 20-7872-GW-PVCx		
12	Delaware limited liability company,	Hon. George H. Wu		
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED APPLICATION TO FILE		
14	VS.	DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL REGARDING DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO		
15	BANK OF AMERICA	STRIKE CERTAIN INFRINGEMENT OPINIONS OF DAN SCHONFELD,		
16	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national banking	PH.D.		
17	association,			
18	Defendants.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		1		
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

Upon consideration of the Defendants BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'s (collectively, "Defendants") Unopposed Application to File Documents Under Seal regarding Defendants' Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Certain Opinions Regarding Infringement in the Opening Report of Dan Schonfeld, Ph.D. (the "Reply"), and for good cause shown, the Court hereby rules as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Application to File Under Seal Regarding Defendants' Reply is GRANTED, and the following documents and portions of documents may be filed under seal.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:20-cv-07872-GW-PVC Document 479 Filed 05/28/24 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:59589

$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$	Ocument Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
E	Exhibit 28 to the Declaration of Danielle	Contains or references information
V	Villiams in Support of the Defendants'	designated by Defendants as "HIGHLY
U	Inopposed Application to File	CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS'
D	Occuments Under Seal Regarding the	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
R	Reply: Declaration of Joseph Netikosol	stipulated protective order (Dkt. No.
ir	n Support of the Reply.	210). Plaintiffs do not oppose sealing
		this exhibit in its entirety.
T	The Reply.	Contains or references information
		designated by Defendants and Mitek as
		"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
		ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" and
		"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
		SOURCE CODE" under the parties'
		stipulated protective order (Dkt. No.
		210). Plaintiffs and Mitek do not oppose
		filing the Reply under seal.
	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
		Minge H. Win
D	ATED: May 28, 2024 By	proge to: "
	He Ui	ON. GEORGE H. WU, nited States District Judge
		3
K	ET	

CKEI LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Α