

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NANTWORKS, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company, and
NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BANK OF AMERICA
CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation, and BANK OF
AMERICA, N.A., a national banking
association,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 20-7872-GW-PVCx

Hon. George H. Wu

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
UNOPPOSED APPLICATION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL
REGARDING DEFENDANTS' REPLY
IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO
STRIKE CERTAIN INFRINGEMENT
OPINIONS OF DAN SCHONFELD,
PH.D.**

1 Upon consideration of the Defendants BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION
2 and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'s (collectively, "Defendants") Unopposed
3 Application to File Documents Under Seal regarding Defendants' Reply in Support of
4 its Motion to Strike Certain Opinions Regarding Infringement in the Opening Report of
5 Dan Schonfeld, Ph.D. (the "Reply"), and for good cause shown, the Court hereby rules
6 as follows:

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Application to File Under Seal
8 Regarding Defendants' Reply is GRANTED, and the following documents and portions
9 of documents may be filed under seal.

10 //

11 //

12 //

13 //

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| Document Description | Nature of Information to be Sealed |
|---|--|
| Exhibit 28 to the Declaration of Danielle Williams in Support of the Defendants’ Unopposed Application to File Documents Under Seal Regarding the Reply: Declaration of Joseph Netikosol in Support of the Reply. | Contains or references information designated by Defendants as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL– ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” under the parties’ stipulated protective order (Dkt. No. 210). Plaintiffs do not oppose sealing this exhibit in its entirety. |
| The Reply. | Contains or references information designated by Defendants and Mitek as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” and “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” under the parties’ stipulated protective order (Dkt. No. 210). Plaintiffs and Mitek do not oppose filing the Reply under seal. |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 28, 2024

By 

HON. GEORGE H. WU,
United States District Judge