

1 George C. Lombardi (*pro hac vice*)
glombardi@winston.com
2 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
3 Chicago, IL 60601-9703
4 Telephone: (312) 558-5600
Facsimile: (312) 558-5700

5 E. Danielle T. Williams (*pro hac vice*)
dwilliams@winston.com
6 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
300 South Tryon Street, 16th Floor
7 Charlotte, NC 28202
8 Telephone: (704) 350-7700
Facsimile: (704) 350-7800

Dustin J. Edwards (*pro hac vice*)
dedwards@winston.com
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
800 Capitol St., Suite 2400
Houston, TX 77002-2925
Telephone: (713) 651-2600
Facsimile: (713) 651-2700

Diana Hughes Leiden (SBN: 267606)
dhleiden@winston.com
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543
Telephone: (213) 615-1700
Facsimile: (213) 615-1750

Attorneys for Defendants
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION
and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 NANTWORKS, LLC, a Delaware
15 limited liability company, and NANT
HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a Delaware
16 limited liability company,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 BANK OF AMERICA
CORPORATION, a Delaware
20 corporation, and BANK OF
AMERICA, N.A., a national banking
21 association,

22 Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-07872-GW-PVC

**DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT
OF THEIR MOTION TO EXCLUDE
CERTAIN OPINIONS OF DAN
SCHONFELD, PH. D.**

Date: June 6, 2024
Time: 8:30 am
Courtroom: 9D

Judge: Honorable George H. Wu

23
24 **PUBLIC/REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT PROPOSED TO BE FILED**
25 **UNDER SEAL IN ITS ENTIRETY**
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. ARGUMENT..... 1

 A. Dr. Schonfeld’s Narrative is improper expert testimony and would not be helpful to the jury..... 1

 B. Dr. Schonfeld’s Opinions Contradict This Court’s Claim Construction. 4

 1. The Characteristics Terms are Limited to Non-Symbolic Visual Features. 6

 2. Bank of America Applies This Court’s Claim Construction as Ordered. 8

 3. Dr. Schonfeld Does Not Apply This Court’s Claim Constructions. 11

 C. Dr. Schonfeld’s Technical Comparability and Secondary Considerations Opinions Are Unsupported and Conclusory..... 12

 D. Dr. Schonfeld Offers No Support for His Opinion that the Versions of the Accused Products that He Analyzed Were Representative of Any Other Versions. 14

 E. Dr. Schonfeld Offered New and Conclusory Opinions Regarding the “Recognize” Limitations in His Deposition. 18

III. CONCLUSION 20

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

1
2
3
4
5 *Alacritech Inc. v. CenturyLink, Inc.*,
6 2017 WL 3007464 (E.D. Tex. July 14, 2017)..... 13, 15
7
8 *Application of Nomiya*,
9 509 F.2d 566 (C.C.P.A. 1975).....5
10
11 *Complete Ent. Res. LLC v. Live Nation Ent. Inc.*,
12 2017 WL 11632203 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2017) 12
13
14 *Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,
15 575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009)5
16
17 *Fontem Ventures, B.V. v. NJOY, Inc.*,
18 2015 WL 12743861 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2015)2
19
20 *Guangzhou Yucheng Trading Co. v. Dbest Prods., Inc.*,
21 644 F. Supp. 3d 637 (C.D. Cal. 2022).....4
22
23 *Harrington v. Dugar*,
24 2024 WL 590682 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 2, 2024).....3
25
26 *Herrera v. Eli Lilly & Co.*,
27 2015 WL 12743696 (C.D. Cal. July 31, 2015)3,4
28
29 *ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.*,
30 2007 WL 8081360 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 22, 2007).....4
31
32 *Koger v. Costco Wholesale Corp.*,
33 2023 WL 8188842 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 2023).....2
34
35 *MediaTek Inc. v. Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.*,
36 2014 WL 2859280 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2014) 19
37
38 *Multimedia Pat. Tr. v. Apple Inc.*,
39 2012 WL 6863471 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 9, 2012)..... 15
40
41 *Openwave Sys., Inc. v. Apple Inc.*,
42 808 F.3d 509 (Fed. Cir. 2015)5