1 2	George C. Lombardi (<i>pro hac vice</i>) glombardi@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	Dustin J. Edwards (<i>pro hac vice</i>) dedwards@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
3	35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 Telephone: (312) 558-5600 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700	800 Capitol St., Suite 2400 Houston, TX 77002-2925	
4		Telephone: (713) 651-2600 Facsimile: (713) 651-2700	
5	E. Danielle T. Williams (pro hac vice)	Diana Hughes Leiden (SBN: 267606)	
6	dwilliams@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	dhleiden@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
7	300 South Tryon Street, 16th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202 Telephone: (704) 350-7700 Facsimile: (704) 350-7800	333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750	
8			
9	Michael S. Elkin (pro hac vice) melkin@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166		
10			
11			
12	Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700		
13	Attorneys for Defendants BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.		
14			
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18	NantWorks, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and NANT	Case No. 2:20-CV-7872-GW-PVC DEFENDANTS' CORRECTED UNOPPOSED APPLICATION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL	
19	Plaintiffs, vs. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national banking association,		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			



TO THE COURT, PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2.(b), Defendants, Bank of America Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. (collectively, "Defendants" or "Bank of America"), hereby request that this Court enter an order permitting them to file under seal the materials described below that are filed in connection with Defendants' Motion to Exclude the Expert Report of Cathy C. Glassman (the "Motion to Exclude"):

Document Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as
Fox in Support of the Motion to	"CONFIDENTIAL AND HIGHLY
Exclude: Expert Report of Cathy C.	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
Glassman.	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
Grassman.	stipulated protective order (Dkt. Nos
	210).
Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as "HIGHLY
Fox in Support of the Motion to	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
Exclude: Excerpts of Transcript of the	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
April 5, 2024 Deposition of Cathy C.	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. No.
Glassman.	210).
Exhibit 3 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as "HIGHLY
Fox in Support of the Motion to	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY EYES
Exclude: Plaintiffs' Corrected Third	ONLY" under the parties' stipulated
Supplemental Objections and Responses	protective orders (Dkt. No. 210).
to Defendants' Third Set of	
Interrogatories (21).	
Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as "CONTAINS



Document Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
Fox in Support of the Motion to	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE
Exclude: Excerpts of Expert Report of	CODE – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY'
Dan Schonfeld, Ph.D., Regarding the	under the parties' stipulated protective
Infringement of the Asserted Claims,	orders (Dkt. No. 210).
attached as Exhibit 2 to the April 10,	
2024 Deposition of Dan Schonfeld,	
Ph.D.	
Exhibit 6 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as
Fox in Support of the Motion to	"CONFIDENTIAL" under the parties'
Exclude: Excerpts of Rebuttal Expert	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. No.
Report of Dan Schonfeld, Ph.D.,	210).
Regarding the Validity of and Non-	
Infringing Alternatives to the Asserted	
Claims	
Exhibit 8 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as
Fox in Support of the Motion to	"CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY
Exclude: Excerpts of Expert Report of	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
Patrick F. Kennedy, Ph.D., attached as	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. No.
Exhibit 1 to the April 5, 2024 Deposition	210).
of Patrick Kennedy, Ph.D.	
Exhibit 9 to the Declaration of William	Designated by Plaintiffs as
Fox in Support of the Motion to	"CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY
Exclude: Excerpts of Rebuttal Expert	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
Report of Patrick F. Kennedy, Ph.D.	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. No.
	210).



Document Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
Memorandum in Support of the Motion	Designated by Defendants in its entirety
to Exclude	as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
	Plaintiffs do not oppose sealing this
	exhibit in its entirety.

I. Background

As set forth in the Corrected Declaration of Danielle T. Williams in Support of Plaintiffs' Application to File Under Seal submitted herewith, Defendants make this application because the foregoing documents are marked and designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" and/or "Highly Confidential – Source Code" as follows pursuant to the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. No. 210). *See* Corrected Declaration of Danielle Williams (the "Williams Decl."), ¶¶ 2–12. Defendants make this application because Exhibits 1–3, 5–6, 8–9 and the Memorandum contain information that is "Confidential," "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only," and/or "Highly Confidential – Source Code" of Bank of America. As required by Local Rule 79-5.2.2(b), counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants conferred about Defendants' filing these materials to limit, if not entirely avoid, the necessity of this Application. *Id.*, ¶ 2. Plaintiffs' counsel does not oppose filing the entirety of these materials under seal.

The Corrected Williams Declaration sets forth the information Defendants seek to file under seal, the basis for the Application, and good cause to seal Bank of America confidential information. Id., ¶¶ 1–12. Due to the sensitive nature of the information in the foregoing materials, good cause exists to approve Bank of America's application to file these materials under seal pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2(a), and, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2(b)(i).



II. Good Cause Exists to File Materials Under Seal

The decision to seal records is left to the discretion of the District Court. Hagestad v. Tragesser, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 599 (1978)). Rule 26(c)(1)(G) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows parties, upon a showing of "good cause," to file under seal documents containing "confidential . . . commercial information." See also IMAX Corp. v. Cinematech, Inc., 152 F.3d 1161, 1168 n.9 (9th Cir. 1998) (noting that confidential and proprietary business information is "to be filed under seal."); Sun Microsystems Inc. v. Network Appliance, No. C-08-01641 EDL, 2009 WL 5125817, at *9 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 2009) (granting sealing requests because the documents "contain confidential [business] information, much of which has been designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the parties' stipulated protective order, that could cause competitive harm if disclosed."); In re Adobe Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation Master File, 141 F.R.D. 155, 161-162 (N.D. Cal. 1992) ("Protective orders and filings under seal are the primary means by which the courts ensure full disclosure of relevant information, while still preserving the parties' (and third parties') legitimate expectation that confidential business information, proprietary technology and trade secrets will not be publicly disseminated.").

Bank of America respectfully requests that the Court grant its application to file under seal the foregoing materials on the grounds that the Exhibits 1–3 and 5 and the Memorandum contain Bank of America's confidential commercial information, specifically, non-public, proprietary details about the design and functionality of Bank of America's mobile check deposit, which includes excerpts and/or references to source code of Bank of America and its vendors designated "Highly Confidential – Source Code." Williams Decl., ¶ 12. Accordingly, Bank of America has an important interest in maintaining the confidentiality of this information, and any public interest in its disclosure is rebutted. *See, e.g., Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (differentiating dispositive motions by explaining that, for such

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

