George C. Lombardi (pro hac vice) glombardi@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 Telephone: (312) 558-5600 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700	Dustin J. Edwards (pro hac vice) dedwards@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 800 Capitol St., Suite 2400 Houston, TX 77002-2925 Telephone: (713) 651-2600 Facsimile: (713) 651-2700
E. Danielle T. Williams (pro hac vice) dwilliams@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 300 South Tryon Street, 16th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202 Telephone: (704) 350-7700 Facsimile: (704) 350-7800	Diana Hughes Leiden (SBN: 267606) dhleiden@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750
Michael S. Elkin (pro hac vice) melkin@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 Attorneys for Defendants BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE CENTRAL DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
NantWorks, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Plaintiffs, vs. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national banking association, Defendants.	Case No. 2:20-CV-7872-GW-PVC DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED APPLICATION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN CONNECTION WITH DEFENDANTS' OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT FOR CERTAIN ASSERTED PATENTS. Local Rule 79-5.2.2(b) [Filed concurrently with Declaration of Danielle Williams and Proposed Order]
	glombardi@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703 Telephone: (312) 558-5600 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700 E. Danielle T. Williams (pro hac vice) dwilliams@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 300 South Tryon Street, 16th Floor Charlotte, NC 28202 Telephone: (704) 350-7700 Facsimile: (704) 350-7800 Michael S. Elkin (pro hac vice) melkin@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 Attorneys for Defendants BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DIS NantWorks, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Plaintiffs, vs. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national banking association,



TO THE COURT, PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2.(b), Defendants Bank of America Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. (collectively, "Defendants" or "Bank of America"), hereby request that this Court enter an order permitting them to file under seal the materials described below that are filed in connection with Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-infringement of Certain Asserted Patents:

Document Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Plaintiffs in its entirety as
Williams in Support of the Motion for	"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" and
Infringement for Certain Asserted	"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
Patents	SOURCE CODE," and contains excerpts
	of documents designated by Bank of
	America and third-party Mitek as
	"Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes
	Only." Plaintiffs and Mitek do not
	oppose sealing this exhibit in its entirety.
Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Defendants as "HIGHLY
Williams in Support of the Motion for	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
Infringement for Certain Asserted	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. Nos.
Patents	102, 210).
Exhibit 3 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Defendants as "HIGHLY
Williams in Support of the Motion for	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	EYES ONLY" under the parties'

Document Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
Infringement for Certain Asserted	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. Nos.
Patents	102, 210).
Exhibit 4 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Defendants' in its entiret
Williams in Support of the Motion for	as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" and
Infringement for Certain Asserted	"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
Patents	SOURCE CODE," and contains excerp
	of documents designated by Bank of
	America and third-party Mitek as
	"Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes
	Only." Plaintiffs and Mitek do not
	oppose sealing this exhibit in its entiret
Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Defendants as "HIGHL"
Williams in Support of the Motion for	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
Infringement for Certain Asserted	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. Nos.
Patents	102, 210).
Exhibit 6 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Defendants as "HIGHL"
Williams in Support of the Motion for	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	EYES ONLY" under the parties'
Infringement for Certain Asserted	stipulated protective orders (Dkt. Nos.
Patents	102, 210).
Exhibit 7 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by third-party Mitek as
Williams in Support of the Motion for	"Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eye
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	Only." Plaintiffs and Mitek do not
Infringement for Certain Asserted	oppose sealing this exhibit in its entiret



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Document Description	Nature of Information to be Sealed
Patents	
Exhibit 8 to the Declaration of Danielle	Designated by Defendants in its entirety
Williams in Support of the Motion for	as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
Partial Summary Judgment of Non-	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
Infringement for Certain Asserted	Plaintiffs do not oppose sealing this
Patents	exhibit in its entirety.
Memorandum in Support of the	Designated by Defendants in its entirety
Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary	as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
Judgment of Non-infringement of the	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
Certain Asserted Patents	Plaintiffs do not oppose sealing this
	exhibit in its entirety.

I. Background

As set forth in the Declaration of Danielle Williams in Support of Defendants' Application to File Under Seal submitted herewith, Defendants make this application because the foregoing documents are marked and designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" and/or "Highly Confidential – Source Code" as follows pursuant to the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (Dkt. No. 210). *See* Declaration of Danielle Williams (the "Williams Decl."), ¶¶ 2–9. Defendants make this application because (i) materials nos. 2–7, 9 contain information that is "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" and/or "Highly Confidential – Source Code" of Bank of America; (ii) materials nos. 2–4 and 8 contain information that is "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" and/or "Highly Confidential – Source Code" of Mitek Systems, Inc. ("Mitek"). *Id.*, ¶¶ 2–9. As required by Local Rule 79-5.2.2(b), counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants conferred about Defendants' filing these materials to limit, if not entirely avoid, the necessity of this Application. *Id.*, ¶ 10. Plaintiffs' counsel does not oppose filing the

27

entirety of these materials under seal. Counsel for Defendants also conferred with counsel for Mitek in a similar manner, and Mitek's counsel requested that the entirety of these documents be filed under seal. Id., ¶ 11.

The Williams declaration sets forth the information Defendants seek to file under seal, the basis for the Application, and good cause to seal Bank of America confidential information. Id., ¶¶ 1–12. Due to the sensitive nature of the information in the foregoing materials, good cause exists to approve Bank of America's application to file these materials under seal pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2(a), and, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.2.2(b)(i), Mitek shall separately demonstrate such good cause.

II. Good Cause Exists to File Materials Under Seal

The decision to seal records is left to the discretion of the District Court. Hagestad v. Tragesser, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 599 (1978)). Rule 26(c)(1)(G) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows parties, upon a showing of "good cause," to file under seal documents containing "confidential . . . commercial information." See also IMAX Corp. v. Cinematech, Inc., 152 F.3d 1161, 1168 n.9 (9th Cir. 1998) (noting that confidential and proprietary business information is "to be filed under seal."); Sun Microsystems Inc. v. Network Appliance, No. C-08-01641 EDL, 2009 WL 5125817, at *9 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 2009) (granting sealing requests because the documents "contain confidential [business] information, much of which has been designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the parties' stipulated protective order, that could cause competitive harm if disclosed."); In re Adobe Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation Master File, 141 F.R.D. 155, 161-162 (N.D. Cal. 1992) ("Protective orders and filings under seal are the primary means by which the courts ensure full disclosure of relevant information, while still preserving the parties' (and third parties') legitimate expectation that confidential business information, proprietary technology and trade secrets will not be publicly disseminated.").

Bank of America respectfully requests that the Court grant its application to file

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

