## EXHIBIT 3



| APPLICATION NO. | ISSUE DATE | PATENT NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 097,121$ | $04 / 19 / 2016$ | 9314192 | 2005P02656WOUS |  |
| 24737 | 7590 | $03 / 30 / 2016$ |  |  |

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY \& STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

## ISSUE NOTIFICATION

The projected patent number and issue date are specified above.

## Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)
The Patent Term Adjustment is 1352 day(s). Any patent to issue from the above-identified application will include an indication of the adjustment on the front page.

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Application Assistance Unit (AAU) of the Office of Data Management (ODM) at (571)-272-4200.

APPLICANT(s) (Please see PAIR WEB site http://pair.uspto.gov for additional applicants):
Annelies Goris, Eindhoven, NETHERLANDS; Maarten Peter Bodlaender, Eindhoven, NETHERLANDS;

The United States represents the largest, most dynamic marketplace in the world and is an unparalleled location for business investment, innovation, and commercialization of new technologies. The USA offers tremendous resources and advantages for those who invest and manufacture goods here. Through SelectUSA, our nation works to encourage and facilitate business investment. To learn more about why the USA is the best country in the world to develop technology, manufacture products, and grow your business, visit SelectUSA.gov.

Complete and send this form, fogether with apphicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE<br>Commissioner for Patents<br>P.O. Bex 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450<br>or Eax (571)-273-2885

NSTRUCTIONS: This Iom should be used for transmiting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (ff required) Blocks 1 though 5 should be completed where appoprate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance oders and notification of maintenance fees will be malled to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; andor (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications.

Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Feets) Transmittal. This cextificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own cettificate of mailing or transmission.

## Certificate of Maning or Trankmission

I hereby cerify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE PEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571)273-2885, on the date indicated below.

|  | (Depositor's nawe) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Sigratue) |  |


| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TITLE OF INVENTION: Detection and Compensation Method for Monitoning the Place of Activity on the Body


## 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO DE PRNTED ON THE PATENT (prine or type)

PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identifed below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment.
(A) NAME OF ASSICNEE
(B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY)

## Koninklijke Philips N.V.

## Eindhoven, the Netherlands



| 4a. The following fee(s) are submitted: | 4b. Payment of Fecis): (Please first reappy any previousiy paid issuc fee shown above) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\square$ A check is enclosed. |
| Oublication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) | Wd Pament by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. |
| Advance Order - \# of Copies | xil The director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credits any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 14-1270 (enclose an extra copy of this form). |

5. Change in Entity 5tatus (from status indicated above)

Applicant cextifying micro entity status. See 37 CRR 1.29
Tol Applicant asserting small entity status. See 37 CER 1.27
NOTE: Absent a valid certification of Micro Entity Status (see forms PTO/SB/15A and 15B), issue fee payment in the micro entity amount will not be accepted at the risk of application abandonment. NOTE, If the application was previously meder micro entity status, checking this box will be taken to be a notification of loss of entillement to micro entity status.
Applicant changing to regular undiscousted fee stams.
NOTE: Checking this box will be taken to be a notification of loss of entitlement to small or mico entity staus, as applicable.
NOTP: This form must be signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.31 and 133 . See 37 CFR 1.4 for signature reguirements and certifications.
/Kyle I. Trout/ March 9,2016

Authorized Signature
Date
March 9, 2016
Typed or printed name Kyle J. Trout
Registration No
68791

Page 2 of 3

# Electronic Patent Application Fee Transmittal 




| EFS ID: | 25146567 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Application Number: | 12097121 |
| International Application Number: |  |
| Confirmation Number: | 8272 |
| Title of Invention: | Detection and Compensation Method for Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body |
| First Named Inventor/Applicant Name: | Annelies Goris |
| Customer Number: | 24737 |
| Filer: | Kyle J. Trout/Jacqueline Andreu |
| Filer Authorized By: | Kyle J. Trout |
| Attorney Docket Number: | 2005P02656WOUS |
| Receipt Date: | 09-MAR-2016 |
| Filing Date: | 12-JUN-2008 |
| Time Stamp: | 13:58:53 |
| Application Type: | U.S. National Stage under 35 USC 371 |

## Payment information:

| Submitted with Payment | yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Payment Type | Deposit Account |
| Payment was successfully received in RAM | $\$ 960$ |
| RAM confirmation Number | 208 |
| Deposit Account | 141270 |
| Authorized User | TROUT, KYLE J. |
| The Director of the USPTO is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayment as follows: <br> Charge any Additional Fees required under 37 CFR 1.492 (National application filing, search, and examination fees) <br> Charge any Additional Fees required under 37 CFR 1.17 (Patent application and reexamination processing fees) |  |


| Casemaj |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Charge any Additional Fees required under 37 CFR 1.20 (Post Issuance fees) |  |
| Charge any Additional Fees required under 37 CFR 1.21 (Miscellaneous fees and charges) |  |
| Charge any Additional Fees required under 37 CFR 1.492(a) (basic national fee only) |  |

## File Listing:

| Document Number | Document Description | File Name | File Size(Bytes)/ Message Digest | Multi Part /.zip | Pages (if appl.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Issue Fee Payment (PTO-85B) | 2005P02656WOUSIssueFee.pdf | 175435 | no | 1 |
|  |  |  | dbo65ala465c3boffe 13966c2040a1 144410 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Warnings: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Fee Worksheet (SB06) | fee-info.pdf | 30818 | no | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Warnings: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Files Size (in bytes) : |  |  | 206253 |  |  |
| This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503. |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111 |  |  |  |  |  |
| If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for a filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371 |  |  |  |  |  |
| If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of $\mathbf{3 5}$ U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course. |  |  |  |  |  |
| New International Application Filed with the USPTO as a Receiving Office |  |  |  |  |  |
| If a new international application is being filed and the international application includes the necessary components for an international filing date (see PCT Article 11 and MPEP 1810), a Notification of the International Application Number and of the International Filing Date (Form PCT/RO/105) will be issued in due course, subject to prescriptions concerning national security, and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the international filing date of the application. |  |  |  |  |  |

# NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE 

24737 7590 12/17/2015<br>PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY \& STANDARDS<br>P.O. BOX 3001<br>BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510



DATE MAILED: 12/17/2015

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 097,121$ | $06 / 12 / 2008$ | Annelies Goris | 2005P02656WOUS |  |

TITLE OF INVENTION: Detection and Compensation Method for Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body

| APPLN. TYPE | ENTITY STATUS | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE | TOTAL FEE(S) DUE | DATE DUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nonprovisional | UNDISCOUNTED | $\$ 960$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 960$ | $03 / 17 / 2016$ |

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

## HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the ENTITY STATUS shown above. If the ENTITY STATUS is shown as SMALL or MICRO, verify whether entitlement to that entity status still applies.
If the ENTITY STATUS is the same as shown above, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.
If the ENTITY STATUS is changed from that shown above, on PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, complete section number 5 titled "Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above)".
For purposes of this notice, small entity fees are $1 / 2$ the amount of undiscounted fees, and micro entity fees are $1 / 2$ the amount of small entity fees.
II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section " $4 \mathrm{~b} "$ of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.
III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

## Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE <br> Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 <br> Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 <br> or Fax (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications.

CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address)
${ }_{24737}^{7590} \stackrel{\text { 12/17/2015 }}{7}$
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY \& STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission
I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below.

|  | (Depositor's name) |
| ---: | ---: |
| (Signature) |  |
| (Date) |  |


| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 097,121$ | $06 / 12 / 2008$ | Annelies Goris | 2005P02656WOUS |  |

TITLE OF INVENTION: Detection and Compensation Method for Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body

| APPLN. TYPE | ENTITY STATUS | ISSUE FEE DUE | PUBLICATION FEE DUE | PREV. PADD ISSUE FEE | TOTAL FEE(S) DUE | DATE DUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nonprovisional | UNDISCOUNTED | \$960 | \$0 | \$0 | \$960 | 03/17/2016 |
|  | NER | ART UNIT | CLASS-SUBCLASS |  |  |  |
| LLOY | MILY M | 3739 | 600-595000 |  |  |  |
| 1. Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363). <br> Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form $\mathrm{PTO} / \mathrm{SB} / 122$ ) attached. "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. |  |  | 2. For printing on the patent front page, list <br> (1) The names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively, |  |  1 <br> a 2 <br> to  <br> is 3 |  |

## 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type)

PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment.
(A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE
(B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY)

Please check the appropriate assignee category or categories (will not be printed on the patent): $\quad \square$ Individual $\square$ Corporation or other private group entity $\square$ Government

| 4a. The following fee(s) are submitted: | 4b. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue Fee | A check is enclosed. <br> $\square$ Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) |
| $\square$ | Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. <br> Advance Order - $\#$ of Copies |

5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above)
$\square$ Applicant certifying micro entity status. See 37 CFR 1.29
$\square$ Applicant asserting small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27
NOTE: Absent a valid certification of Micro Entity Status (see forms PTO/SB/15A and 15B), issue fee payment in the micro entity amount will not be accepted at the risk of application abandonment.
NOTE: If the application was previously under micro entity status, checking this box will be taken to be a notification of loss of entitlement to micro entity status.
NOTE: Checking this box will be taken to be a notification of loss of entitlement to small or micro entity status, as applicable.
NOTE: This form must be signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.31 and 1.33. See 37 CFR 1.4 for signature requirements and certifications.

| Authorized Signature | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Typed or printed name | Registration No. |


| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/097,121 06/12/2008 |  | Annelies Goris | 2005P02656WOUS | 8272 |
| 24737 | 12015 |  | EXAMINER |  |
| PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY \& STANDARDS |  |  | LLOYD, EMILYM |  |
| P.O. BOX 3001 |  |  |  |  |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 |  |  | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|  |  |  | 3739 |  |
|  |  |  | DATE MAILED: 12/17/201 |  |

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)
(Applications filed on or after May 29, 2000)
The Office has discontinued providing a Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) calculation with the Notice of Allowance.
Section 1(h)(2) of the AIA Technical Corrections Act amended 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3)(B)(i) to eliminate the requirement that the Office provide a patent term adjustment determination with the notice of allowance. See Revisions to Patent Term Adjustment, 78 Fed. Reg. 19416, 19417 (Apr. 1, 2013). Therefore, the Office is no longer providing an initial patent term adjustment determination with the notice of allowance. The Office will continue to provide a patent term adjustment determination with the Issue Notification Letter that is mailed to applicant approximately three weeks prior to the issue date of the patent, and will include the patent term adjustment on the patent. Any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment determination (or reinstatement of patent term adjustment) should follow the process outlined in 37 CFR 1.705.

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

## OMB Clearance and PRA Burden Statement for PTOL-85 Part B

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to obtain Office of Management and Budget approval before requesting most types of information from the public. When OMB approves an agency request to collect information from the public, OMB (i) provides a valid OMB Control Number and expiration date for the agency to display on the instrument that will be used to collect the information and (ii) requires the agency to inform the public about the OMB Control Number's legal significance in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b).

The information collected by PTOL-85 Part B is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. $552 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{m})$.
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14 , as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

| Notice of A/Iowability | Application No. <br> $12 / 097,121$ | Applicant(s) <br> GORIS ET AL. |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Examiner | Art Unit <br> 3739 | AlA (First Inventor to <br> File) <br> Status <br> No |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--
All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to Applicant's 29 October 2015 amendment.A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on $\qquad$
2.An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on $\qquad$ ; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
2. $\boxtimes$ The allowed claim(s) is/are $1-8,10-19,21$ and 22 . As a result of the allowed claim(s), you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see hto:/www. usptogov/patents/init events/poh/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PpHfeedback@usptogov.
3. $\boxtimes$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Certified copies:
a) $\boxtimes$ All
b) Some
*c) $\square$ None of the:

1. $\boxtimes$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. $\qquad$ .
3.Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: $\qquad$ .

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

## THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. $\square$ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
$\square$ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of
Paper No./Mail Date $\qquad$ -.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6.DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

## Attachment(s)

1. $\square$ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. $\boxtimes$ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
3. $\boxtimes$ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date
3.Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
4. Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date $\qquad$ .

## /EMILY LLOYD/

Examiner, Art Unit 3739

## EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Michael Marcin on 10 December 2015.

The application has been amended as follows:
Claims 2, 8, 17 and 21 are amended as follows:
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the sensor is arranged to be attached at the plurality of positions are the following that include: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head.
8. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on a body of a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent,
wherein said processor is further arranged[[,]]:
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to derive a subject-related value from the estimated measured value.
17. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:
a storage medium for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner, and wherein said processor is further arranged:
to establish that the subject is performing the predetermined activity, to determine how at least one of the plurality of measured values compares to the stored pattern, and
to provide feedback in dependence on the comparison.
21. (Currently Amended) A method of deriving a value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
attaching a sensor to any one of a plurality of positions on the subject;
obtaining, in a processor, at least one measured value from the sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject;
determining, using the processor, the one of the pluality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent; and
deriving, using the processor, a subject-related value from the measured value in dependence on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject.

The above amendment is being made to render the claims definite in the sense of 35 U.S.C. 112 .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)2722951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.
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| :---: |


| S52 | 0 | ("7107180").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\int_{17: 04}^{2010 / 10 / 31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S53 | 1 | ("6560903").PN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| S54 | $\sqrt{7}$ | "942802".ap. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2010 / 10 / 31}$ |
| S55 | 21 | ("6560903").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2010 / 10 / 31} 18: 25$ |
| S65 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S66 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S67 | 61 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S68 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { EPO; JPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S69 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S70 | 153 | S65 or S66 or S67 or S68 or S69 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S71 | 184 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| S72 | 1592 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | /2011/04/23 |


| Case 2 | -cv- | -06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 F $\#: 2874$ | Filed 07/31 <br> 3 USPAT |  |  | - | 0 Page $22: 42$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S73 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | 2014/06/16 |
| S74 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S75 | 73 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\sqrt{2014 / 06 / 16}$ |
| S76 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S77 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $12014 / 06 / 16$ |
| S78 | 165 | S73 or $\mathrm{S74}$ or $\mathrm{S75}$ or $\mathrm{S76}$ or S 77 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S79 | 301 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $2014 / 06 / 16$ |
| 580 | -106 | S79 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $12014 / 06 / 16$ |
| 581 | 2337 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 51 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| S82 | $\sqrt{1245}$ | S81 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 51 \end{aligned}$ |
| 583 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 02: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S84 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 02: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S85 | 73 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 02: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S86 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 02: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S87 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & \sqrt[2014 / 06 / 16]{02: 47} \end{aligned}$ |
| S88 | 165 | S83 or 884 or 885 or 886 or $\mathrm{S87}$ | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 02: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S89 | 10 | S88 and ((location located locating | US-PGPUB; | OR |  | ON | [2014/06/16 |
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|  |  | locate place placement placed pHatiz875 compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human). .clm. | USPAT |  |  | 02:47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 590 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| S91 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $=18: 42$ |
| 592 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $=18: 42$ |
| 593 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| 594 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| 595 | 168 | 590 or 591 or 592 or 593 or $\$ 94$ | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| 596 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $18$ |
| 597 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| 598 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| 599 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S100 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S101 | [168 | S96 or S97 or S98 or S 99 or S 100 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S102 | 11 | S101 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |

                    various numerous many multiple) with
                    (body user subject wearer person
                    human)).clm.
                    \(\frac{5103}{1341} \sqrt{341}\)
                    702/160.ccls. US-PGPUB;
                        \(5: 106\)
                    S103 and @ad< " 20061205 "..................................................................
                    S105 2555
    600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; |
| :--- |
| USPAT |


S105 and @ad< "20061205" ${ }^{\text {US-PGPUB; }}$ USPAT

 |  |
| :--- |
|  |
| $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| $18: 45$ |

(wrist watch arm forearm waist belt legchest neck head forehead sweatband)same (calorie energy) same (activitywalk walking run running jog joggingstandard standardized)
S107 and (compensat\$ or transfer or
adjust\$ or (location with (wear worn
wearing)) )
US-PGPUB;
USPAT
S107 and ((compensat\$ or transfer or
18:57

| S110 | 7 | S109 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S111 | 2 | (("8795137") or ("20040102931")).PN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ |
| S112 | 688 | A61B5/065.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT |
| S113 | 1566 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT |



$\stackrel{118: 57}$$$
2
$$$$



| S114 | 541 | A61B5/1123.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S115 | 1316 | A61B5/681.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ |
| S116 | 719 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ |


| S117 | 200 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S118 | 2196 | A61B5/065.cpc. |  | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S119 | 3931 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S120 | 1343 | A61B5/1123.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |


| Case $2:$ | :19-cv- | 06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 F $\begin{array}{r}\text { F:287 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Filed 07/31/ } \\ & \text { /flBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ |  | age |  | 0 Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S121 | 3854 | A61B5/681.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S122 | 1665 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S123 | 440 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| S124 | 73 | S123 and @ad< "20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { DERWNT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 48 \end{aligned}$ |
| S125 | 357 | S122 and (S119 S120) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB | OR |  | ON | ת |
| 5126 | 82 | S125 and @ad<<20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 52 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5127 | 10 | S126 not S125 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 53 \end{aligned}$ |
| S128 | 39 | S126 not S124 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 53 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5129 | 10 | S118 and (S119 S120) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S130 | 10 | S129 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
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| S153 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in\#:288@ | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S154 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\}_{15: 12}^{2015 / 07 / 24}$ |
| S155 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $32015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S156 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S157 | 168 | S152 or S153 or S154 or S 155 or S 156 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S158 | 11 | S157 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)). clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S159 | 362 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2015 / 07 / 24}$ |
| S160 | 106 | S159 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| S161 | 2678 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| S162 | 1249 | S161 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| S163 | 16672 | (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt leg chest neck head forehead sweatband) same (calorie energy) same (activity walk walking run running jog jogging standard standardized) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S164 | 8 | S163 and ((compensat\$ or transfer or adjust $\$$ ) with (location with (wear worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $315: 14$ |
| S165 | 7 | S164 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2015 / 07 / 24}$ |
| S166 | 569 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | 2015/07/24 |
| S167 | 75 | S166 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; <br> USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; <br> JPO; <br> DERWENT; <br> IBM TDB | OR | ON | $3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 Filed 07/31/20 Page 43 of 540 Page ID

| S178 | 0 | S177 and @ad<"20061205" | $\#: 2882$ | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S179 | 734 | S176 and @ad<"20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON |  |
| S180 | 4447 | A61B5/681.cpc. |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\frac{2015 / 07 / 24}{15: 19}$ |
| S181 | 821 | S180 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\frac{2015 / 07 / 24}{15: 19}$ |
| S182 | 109 | S181 and @ad<"20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S183 | 85 | S182 not (S171 S173) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON |  |
| S184 | 3040 | A61B2560/0223.cpc. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { JPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ 15: 20 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S185 | 63 | S184 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S186 | 13 | S185 and @ad<"20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S187 | 13 | S186 not (S171 S173 S182) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| S188 | 6509 | A6182562/0219.cpc. \#:2883 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S189 | 1922 | S188 and (S168 S169) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S190 | 599 | S189 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT: FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S191 | 488 | S190 not (S171 S173 S182 S186) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USPGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { IERENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S192 | 5775 | (S168 S169) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S193 | 5106 | S192 not (S171 S173 S182 S186 S190) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\}_{15: 21}^{2015 / 07 / 24}$ |
| S194 | 855 | S193 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | 15:21 |
| S195 | 1 | ("7450002").PN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | OFF | $315124$ |
| S196 | 4 | "36684901".FM\| D. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS | OR | ON | $321: 58$ |

## EAST Search History (Interference)

| Ref <br> \# | Hits | Search Query | DBs | Default Operator | Plurals | Time Stamp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L50 | 2752 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB USPAT | OR | ON | $1 \begin{aligned} & 2015 / 12 / 07 \\ & 13: 04 \end{aligned}$ |
| L51 | 366 | 702/160.ccls. | USPPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| L52 | 841 | A61 B5/065.cpc. | US- | OR | ON | 2015/12/07, |
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| Case | $19-c \mathrm{c}$ | -06301-AB-KS Document $87-4$ Filed \#:2885 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d 07/31/2 } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { PGPUB; } \\ \text { USPAT } \\ \text { *No } \\ \text { UPAD } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | Page |  | 40 Page 16:02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S62 | 5 | S61 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)). clm. | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { USG- } \\ \text { PSUBP } \\ \text { USPAT } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { UPAD } \end{array}$ | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S63 | 2 | S61 and (wrist arm leg shank ankle shoe chest neck head waist belt back). clm. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & \text { PSPUB } \\ & \text { USPAT } \\ & \text { NPAD } \end{aligned}$ | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 05 \end{aligned}$ |
| S64 | 1 | ("2008/0281234").URPN. | USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 05 \end{aligned}$ |

## 12/7/2015 1:10:12 PM

C:\ Users\ elloyd1\Documents\EAST\Workspaces\12097121.wsp

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant(s) | $:$ | Goris et al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Serial No. | $:$ | $12 / 097,121$ |
| Filed | $:$ | June 12, 2008 |
| For | $:$ | Detection and Compensation Method for <br> Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body |
| Group Art Unit | $:$ | 3739 |
| Examiner | $:$ | 8272 |

Mail Stop: Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed July 29, 2015, in the above-identified application, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on the-a body of a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to:
determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent, and
\#-derive a subject-related value from the measured value, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject.
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions are the following includes or more of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head.
3. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the derived subject-related value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of a body part associated with the one of the plurality of positions on the subject where the sensor is attached.
6. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the measured
subject-related value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration.
7. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processor is further arranged to select a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is configured to generate a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on the-a body of a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent,
wherein said processor is further arranged to convert,
to convert the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a
reference position on the subject, and to derive a subject-related value from the estimated measured value.
9. (Cancelled)
10. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim [[9]] 1 , wherein the meastring system-processor is further arranged to obtain, from the sensor, the measured value or a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the processor, in determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attachet, is further arranged to determine any one of the plurality of positions on the subject perform the identification in dependence on the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10 , wherein the processor;
in determining one of the plurality of pesitions on the subject to which the sensor is attached, is arranged to determine any one of the plurality of positions on the subject further perform the identificationon the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval.
12. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10 , wherein the processorin determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached, is arranged to determine any one of the plurality of positions on the subject using further perform the identifieationa pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim [[9]] 10 , wherein the processor is further arranged to:
determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity:[[, $]$ ] and
Wherein the processor, determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the senser is attached, is arranged to use-determine any one of the plurality of positions on the subject using at least one of the plurality of measured values obtained from the sensor, the at least one of the plurality of measured values relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
14. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the processorin determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, is arranged to perform the determinationdetermine any one of the plurality of plurality of positions on the subject in dependence on the at least one of the plurality of measured values during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
15. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 13, wherein said measuring system further comprises-further comprising:
a user interface for receiving input from the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said meastriny system further comprises further comprising:
a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject.
17. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim $[[1]] \underline{10}$, wherein said measuring system further comprises further comprising:
a storage means-medium for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
and wherein said processor is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing the predetermined activity,
to determine how at least one of the plurality of measured values compares to the stored pattern, and
to provide feedback in dependence on the comparison.
18. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 17, wherein the processor; in establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity, is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (Currently Amended) A measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuriny system further comprises further comprising:
a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject:[[,]] and
wherein the processor, in deriving the subject-related value, is arranged to derive the measured value, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on is further arranged to derive the subject-related value from the further measured value.
20. (Cancelled)
21. (Currently Amended) A method of deriving a value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
attaching a sensor to any one of a plurality of positions on the subject;
obtaining, in a processor, at least one measured value from the sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject;
determining, using the processor, any one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are positiondependent; and
deriving, using the processor, a subject-related value from the measured value in dependence on the position any one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject.
22. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration.

## REMARKS

## I. INTRODUCTION

Claims $1,2,3,6,8,10-19$, and 21 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Claim 9 has been cancelled and claim 20 was previously cancelled. Claims 1-8, 10-19, and 2122 remain pending in the present application. Applicants thank the Examiner for noting that claims 1-8, 10-19, and 21-22 contain allowable subject matter. In light of the above amendments and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance.

## II. THE 35 U.S.C. \& 112(f) INTERPRETATION SHOULD NOT APPLY

The Examiner interpreted the limitation in claim 17 of a "storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner," as invoking 35 U.S.C. § $112(\mathrm{f})$ because it uses "a generic placeholder 'means' coupled with functional language. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 4). Claim elements that do not use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed not to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112(f) except as otherwise indicated in an Office action. (See Id.). Applicants have amended claim 17 to recite "medium" in place of "means." Applicants respectfully submit that claim 17 does not invoke 35 U.S.C. § 112(f) and request it be interpreted accordingly.

## III. THE CLAIM OBJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 3, 8, 15-17 and 19 stand objected to for informalities. In view of the amendments to these claims, the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## IV. THE 35 U.S.C. \& 112 REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) as failing to comply with the written description requirement. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 6). Specifically, the Examiner asserts that the "Applicant's disclosure, as originally filed, did not enable one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use both 'a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject' (claim 16 lines 2-3) and to also 'determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the
sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent' (claim 1 lines 5-8)." (See Id., pp. 6-7). Applicants respectfully disagree.

The specification as originally filed states that determining the position of the sensor on the subject in dependence on the measured value, "allows the sensor to be attached at different positions on the subject, without any additional user interaction to indicate the actual position of the device." (See Original Specification, page 5 lines 28-32). The specification further states that the "user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject... allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor." (See Original Specification, page 6 lines 30-32). Thus, the specification makes it clear that if the user chooses forgo the convenience of having the processor guess the position of the sensor, and instead elects the more economical option of inputting the position themselves, then their inputted value overrides the processor's guess of the position. This is not required to be further described in the specification because a person of ordinary skill in the art is able to take two inputs and have one of them override the other. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the subject matter of claim 16 was sufficiently described to convey that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejection of claim 6.

Claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the inventor regards as the invention. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 7).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, the Examiner asserts the "the body of the subject" lacks antecedent basis. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 7). The claims have been amended to recite, "a body of the subject." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 (b) rejections of claim 1 its dependent claims 2-7, 9-19, and 22 and claim 8.

Regarding claim 1, the Examiner asserts it is unclear if "to derive a subject-related value" is intended to further limit the processor. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 7). Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the processor is arranged to "derive a subject-related value."

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejections of claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7, 9-19, and 22.

Regarding claims 9-14, the Examiner asserts "determining..." is drawn to a step within a system claim. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Claim 9 has been cancelled. Claims 10-14 have been amended to remove the word "determining," and the Applicants submit the claims are clearly not drawn to a step within a system. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejections of claims 9-14.

Regarding claims 9-13, the Examiner asserts that it is unclear if "one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached" is drawn to any of the plurality of positions, an undetermined position, the determined position, or another interpretation. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Claim 9 has been cancelled. Claims 10-13 have been amended to include the word "any," thus, it is clear that "one of the plurality of positions" is drawn to "any one of the plurality of positions." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejections of claims 9-13.

Regarding claim 10, the Examiner asserts that it is unclear if "wherein the measuring system if further arranged to..." is intended to claim that the system as a whole is arranged to perform the claimed function, that a specific portion of the system is arranged to perform the claimed function, or if it is drawn to another interpretation. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Claim 10 has been amended to specify that the "processor" performs the claimed function. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claim 10 .

Further regarding claim 10, the Examiner asserts that "the identification" lacks antecedent basis. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8). In the claim as amended, "the identification" has been removed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 (b) rejection of claim 10.

Regarding claim 13 and 14, the Examiner asserts that is unclear if "at least one measured value" is the same or different from the "measured value" of claims 1 and 9. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8 and 9). Applicants have amended the claims and submit that it is clear that "at least one of the plurality of measured values" is drawn to the "plurality of measured values" in claim 10. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. $\S$ 112(b) rejections of claims 13 and 14.

Regarding claim 14, the Examiner asserts it is unclear what "the determination" is referring to. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Claim 14, as amended, no longer contains "the determination." It has been replaced by "to determine," and it is clear what it "to determine" refers to. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claim 14.

Regarding claim 17, the Examiner asserts that it is unclear if Applicant intended to claim comparing a single value ("the measured value") to a plurality of values over time ("the stored pattern"). (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Applicants have amended "the measured value" to "at least one of the plurality of measured values." Applicants submit claim 17 makes it clear what the "plurality of measured values" is drawn to. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claim 17.

Regarding claim 18, the Examiner asserts that the claim is unclear since "establishing" is drawn to a method step within a system claim. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 9). Claim 18 has been amended to remove the clause containing the term "establishing" and it is clear that claim 18 is drawn to a system claim. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claim 18.

Regarding claim 19, the Examiner asserts that the claim is unclear since "deriving" is drawn to a method step within a system claim. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 9). Claim 19 has been amended to remove the clause containing the term "deriving" and it is clear that claim 19 is drawn to a system claim. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 (b) rejection of claim 19.

Further regarding claim 19, the Examiner asserts it is unclear if lines 4-6 are intended to further limit claim 1 or if they duplicate the functionality of claim 1. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 9). Applicants respectfully submit, that the amended claim 19 is clear that the amended lines 4-6 further limit claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claim 19.

Regarding claim 21, the Examiner asserts it is unclear if "one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached" is drawn to any one of the plurality of positions, an undetermined position, "the position of the sensor on subject," or another interpretation. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 9). Applicants have amended claim 21 to recite "any one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached." Applicants respectfully submit that it clear which element "any one of the plurality of positions" is drawn to. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claim 21.

Regarding the Examiners comment that " $[t]$ he claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice." (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 9). Applicants have amended the claims and respectfully submit that the claims, as amended, conform with current U.S. practice and are in condition for allowance.

Claims 2-4, 6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(d) as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 10). Specifically, the Examiner asserts the claims are purely drawn to functional language without any association to structural elements; such as, the claims appear to be drawn to functions that the system is able to do without further limiting the structural elements. (See Id.).

Claims 2-4, 6 and 22 all depend from independent claim 1 which recites, "[a] measuring system comprising: a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on a body of a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to:
determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent, and derive a subjectrelated value from the measured value, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject."

Claim 2, as amended, recites, " $[t]$ he measuring system as claimed in claim 1 , wherein the plurality of positions are the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head."

Applicants submit that claim 2 limits the subject matter of claim 1. Specifically, claim 2 limits the arrangement of the sensor and the processor in claim 1. For example, the sensor in claim 1 is limited by claim 2 to only sensors arranged to be attached to any one of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head. Thus, a sensor that is only arranged to be attached to position on the body that is not listed would infringe the sensor element of claim 1 without infringing the more limited sensor in claim 2.

Regarding the Examiner's assertion that the claims are purely drawn to functional language, MPEP $2173.05(\mathrm{~g})$ states:

A claim term is functional when it recites a feature "by what it does rather than by what it is" (e.g., as evidenced by its specific structure or specific ingredients). In reSwinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 212, 169 USPQ 226, 229 (CCPA 1971). There is nothing inherently wrong with defining some part of an invention in functional terms. Functional language does not, in and of itself, render a claim improper. Id. In fact, 35 U.S.C. 112(f) and pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, expressly authorize a form of functional claiming (means- (or step-) plus- function claim limitations discussed in MPEP § 2181 et seq.). Functional language may also be employed to limit the claims without using the means-plus-function format. See, e.g., K-2 Corp. v. Salomon S.A., 191 F.3d 1356, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Unlike means-plus-function claim language that applies only to purely functional limitations, Phillips v. AWH Corp, 415 F.3d 1303, 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) ("Means-plus-function claiming applies only to purely functional limitations that do not provide the structure that performs the recited function."), functional claiming often involves the recitation of some structure followed by its function. For example, in In re Schreiber, the claims were directed to a conical spout (the structure) that "allow[ed] several kernels of popped popcorn to pass through at the same
time" (the function). In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1478 (Fed. Cir. 1997). As noted by the court in Schreiber, "[a] patent applicant is free to recite features of an apparatus either structurally or functionally." Id.


#### Abstract

A functional limitation must be evaluated and considered, just like any other limitation of the claim, for what it fairly conveys to a person of ordinary skill in the pertinent art in the context in which it is used. A functional limitation is often used in association with an element, ingredient, or step of a process to define a particular capability or purpose that is served by the recited element, ingredient or step. In Innova/Pure Water Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys. Inc., 381 F.3d 1111, 1117-20, 72 USPQ2d 1001, 1006-08 (Fed. Cir. 2004), the court noted that the claim term "operatively connected" is "a general descriptive claim term frequently used in patent drafting to reflect a functional relationship between claimed components," that is, the term "means the claimed components must be connected in a way to perform a designated function." "In the absence of modifiers, general descriptive terms are typically construed as having their full meaning." Id. at 1118,72 USPQ2d at 1006. In the patent claim at issue, "subject to any clear and unmistakable disavowal of claim scope, the term 'operatively connected' takes the full breath of its ordinary meaning, i.e., 'said tube [is] operatively connected to said cap' when the tube and cap are arranged in a manner capable of performing the function of filtering." Id. at 1120, 72 USPQ2d at 1008 . (Emphasis added.)


In claim 2, applicants submit that a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize how a sensor would be limited when it must be arranged to be attached to any one of the following positions: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 2 further limits claim 1 and request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(d) rejection of claim 2.

Regarding the rejection to claim 3, claim 3 recites, " $[t]$ he measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the subject-related value comprises an activity parameter of the subject." Since the subject related value must comprise an activity parameter, the claim is further limited in comparison to independent claim 1. For example, a system that infringes all the elements of claim 1 and derives a subject-related value that only comprises a non-activity parameter of the subject would only infringe claim 1 and not claim 2 . Applicants note that there is no requirement to include an analysis of the significance of activity parameters. Applicant's respectfully remind
the Examiner that " $[a]$ dependent claim does not lack compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112(d) or preAIA 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph, simply because there is a question as to the significance of the further limitation added by the dependent claim." (See MPEP 608.01(n)). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 3 further limits claim 1 and request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 (d) rejection of claim 3.

Regarding the rejection to claim 4 , claim 4 recites, " $[t]$ he measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure." For the same reasoning discussed above, and because the activity parameter in claim 4 must comprise energy expenditure, claim 4 is further limited in comparison to claim 3. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(d) rejection of claim 4.

Regarding the rejection to claim 6, claim 6 recites, " $[t]$ he measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the subject-related value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration." For the same reasoning discussed above, and because the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject must comprise at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, claim 6 is further limited in comparison to claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(d) rejection of claim 6.

Regarding the rejection to claim 22, claim 22 recites, " $[t]$ he measuring system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration." For the same reasoning discussed previously, and because the acceleration is limited to tri-axial acceleration, claim 22 is further limited in comparison to claim 6. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 (d) rejection of claim 22.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § $112(\mathrm{~d})$ as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, pp. 10-11). Specifically, the Examiner asserts the claim is drawn to functional language without any association to structural elements; such as, the claims appear to be drawn
to functions that the system is able to do without further limiting the structural elements. (See Id.).

Claim 5 recites, "[ [] he measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of a body part associated with the one of the plurality of positions on the subject where the sensor is attached." For the same reasoning discussed above, and because the activity parameter in claim 5 must represent a degree of activity of a body part associated with the one of the plurality of positions on the subject where the sensor is attached, claim 5 is further limited in comparison to claim 3. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(d) rejection of claim 5.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § $112(\mathrm{~d})$ as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends. (See 07/29/2015 Office Action, p. 11). Claim 9 has been cancelled. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(d) rejection of claim 9.

## CONCLUSION

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.
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## Application Papers

10) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
11) $\square$ The drawing(s) filed on $\qquad$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 (d).

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § $119(\mathrm{a})$-(d) or (f).

## Certified copies:

a) $\square$ All
b) $\square$ Some** c) $\square$ None of the:

1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. $\qquad$ -
3. $\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

1) $\square$ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) $\square$ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SB/08b) Paper No(s)/Mail Date $\qquad$
3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date $\qquad$
4) $\square$ Other:
$\qquad$

## DETAILED ACTION

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 April 2015 and 15 May 2015 has been entered.

The Office acknowledges Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 2, 5, 7-14, 16-19 and 21. Currently, claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are pending.

The Office notes that Applicant's 10 April 2015 amendment lists claim 16 as "Previously presented" but that the claim contains amendments.

The Office further notes that Applicant indicated that "two" (in "two or more" lines $2-3$ ) in claim 2 was added, but that "two" was already in the claim as part of "at least two."

## Claim Interpretation - 35 USC § 112, Sixth Paragraph

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(f):
(f) Element in Claim for a Combination. - An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph:

An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

Use of the word "means" (or "step for") in a claim with functional language creates a rebuttable presumption that the claim element is to be treated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 112(f) (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph). The presumption that 35 U.S.C. 112(f) (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph) is invoked is rebutted when the function is recited with sufficient structure, material, or acts within the claim itself to entirely perform the recited function.

Absence of the word "means" (or "step for") in a claim creates a rebuttable presumption that the claim element is not to be treated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph). The presumption that 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph) is not invoked is rebutted when the claim element recites function but fails to recite sufficiently definite structure, material or acts to perform that function.
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Claim elements in this application that use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112(f) except as otherwise indicated in an Office action. Similarly, claim elements that do not use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed not to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112(f) except as otherwise indicated in an Office action.

Claim limitation "storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner" has/have been interpreted under 35 U.S.C. 112 (f) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because it uses/they use a generic placeholder "means" coupled with functional language "for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner" without reciting sufficient structure to achieve the function. Furthermore, the generic placeholder is not preceded by a structural modifier.

Since the claim limitation(s) invokes 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph, claim(s) 17 and 18 has/have been interpreted to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification that achieves the claimed function, and equivalents thereof.

A review of the specification shows that the following appears to be the corresponding structure described in the specification for the 35 U.S.C. 112(f) or preAIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph limitation: "a storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk" ([0074] of Applicant's specification as published).

If applicant wishes to provide further explanation or dispute the examiner's interpretation of the corresponding structure, applicant must identify the corresponding structure with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters in response to this Office action.

If applicant does not intend to have the claim limitation(s) treated under 35 U.S.C. $112(f)$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph, applicant may amend the claim(s) so that it/they will clearly not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112 (f) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph, or present a sufficient showing that the claim recites/recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function to preclude application of 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph.

For more information, see MPEP § 2173 et seq. and Supplementary Examination Guidelines for Determining Compliance With 35 U.S.C. 112 and for Treatment of Related Issues in Patent Applications, 76 FR 7162, 7167 (Feb. 9, 2011).

## Claim Objections

Claims 3, 8, 15-17 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 3 line 1 "the derived value" should be "the subject-related value"; claim 8 line 9 "arranged to convert," should be "arranged:" and line 11 "the measured value" should be "to convert the measured value"; claims 15-17 and 19 "wherein said measuring system further comprises" (claim 15 lines 1-2, claim 16 lines 1-2, claim 17 lines 1-2, claim 19 line 1-2) should be "further comprising:". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112(a):
(a) IN GENERAL.-The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or joint inventor of carrying out the invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112:


#### Abstract

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.


Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant's disclosure, as originally filed, did not enable one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use both "a user
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interface for receiving input from the subject related to the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject" (claim 16 lines 2-3) and to also "determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent" (claim 1 lines 5-8).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(b):
(b) CONCLUSION.-The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (b) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1 line 3 and claim 8 line 3, "the body of a subject" lacks antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 1 line 10, it is unclear if "to derive a subject-related value..." (line 10 ) is intended to further limit the processor (from "said processor being arranged to..." in line 5), or if this is intended to claim that the system is able to perform this function or step.

Claims 2-7, 9-19 and 22 are rejected as ultimately depending on claim 1 and any rejected intervening claims.

Regarding claims 9-14, "determining..." (claim 9 line 2, claim 10 line 4, claim 11 line 2, claim 12 line 2, claim 13 line 4, claim 14 line 2) is drawn to a step within a system claim.

Regarding claims 9-13, it is unclear if "one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached" (claim 9 lines 2-3, claim 10 lines 4-5, claim 11 lines 2-3, claim 12 lines 2-3, claim 13 lines 4-5) is drawn to any one of the plurality of positions, an undetermined position, the determined position (claim 1 lines 5-8 as described in claim 21 line 11 as "the position of the sensor on the subject"), or another interpretation.

Regarding claim 10, it is unclear if "wherein the measuring system is further arranged to..." (claim 10 lines 1-2) is intended to claim that the system as a whole is arranged to perform the claimed function (e.g. the sensor, processor and/or other structures), that a specific portion of the system (e.g. the processor) is arranged to perform the claimed function, or if this is drawn to another interpretation.

Regarding claim 10, "the identification" (line 6) lacks antecedent basis.
Regarding claim 13 line 6, it is unclear if "at least one measured value" is the same as or different from the "measured value" of claims 1 and 9.

Regarding claim 14, it is unclear if "the determination" (line 3 ) is referring to the determination of claim 13 lines 2-3 and claim 14 lines 2-3, or if this is referring to the determination of claim 1 lines 5-6 and claim 13 line 4.
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Regarding claim 14 lines 3-4, it is unclear if "at least one measured value" is intended to be drawn to the same "at least one measured value" of claim 13 line 6 , or if this is an additional "at least one measured value."

Regarding claim 17 line 7, it is unclear if Applicant intended to claim comparing a single value ("the measured value") to a plurality of values over time ("the stored pattern").

Claim 18 line 2 is unclear as "establishing" is drawn to a method step within a system claim.

Claim 19 line 4 is unclear as "deriving" is drawn to a method step within a system claim.

Regarding claim 19, it is unclear if lines 4-6 are intended to further limit claim 1, or if they duplicate the functionality of claim 1.

Regarding claim 21, it is unclear if "one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached" (claim 21 lines 6-7) is drawn to any one of the plurality of positions, an undetermined position, "the position of the sensor on the subject" (per claim 21 line 11), or another interpretation.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(d):
(d) REFERENCE IN DEPENDENT FORMS.-Subject to subsection (e), a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further
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> limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), fourth paragraph:

Subject to the [fifth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA)], a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

Claims 2-4, 6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (d) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. Claims 2-4, 6 and 22 are purely drawn to functional language without any association to structural elements; as such, the claims appear to be drawn to functions that the system is able to do without further limiting the structural elements. Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements. Amending these claims to clearly link the appropriate structural elements to the claimed functions will overcome the rejections of this paragraph.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (d) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. Claim 5 is drawn to functional language without an association to structural elements for performing the functional language; as such, the
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claims appear to be drawn to functions that the system is able to do without further limiting the structural elements. Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements. Amending these claims to clearly link the appropriate structural elements to the claimed functions will overcome the rejections of this paragraph.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(d) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. Claim 9 does not further limit claim 1. See lines 7-8 of claim 1 with respect to the processor being configured to perform the determination. Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 8 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112(b) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The Office notes that the claims are also rejected as unclear for appearing to be a foreign translation.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: as noted on page 10 of Applicant's 10 April 2015 arguments, the processor being arranged to determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are positiondependent is not disclosed or fairly taught in the prior art of record.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 11, filed 10 April 2015, with respect to the prior art rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art rejections of claims 1-19, 21 and 22 have been withdrawn.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)2722951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily M Lloyd<br>Examiner<br>Art Unit 3739

/EMILY LLOYD/
Examiner, Art Unit 3739
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| 544 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S45 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON |  |
| S46 | ! 145 | S41 or S42 or S43 or S44 or S45 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| 547 | 5 | S46 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON |  |
| S48 | 167 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ 16: 09 \end{array}\right.$ |
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| S49 | 1502 | 600/595.ccls. \#:2923 | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S50 | 135 | S48 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple different) with (body user subject wearer person human)) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| S51 | 1 | (10/986303).APP. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 17: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S52 | 0 | ("7107180").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ 17: 04 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 553 | 1 | ("6560903").PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $\sqrt{2010 / 10 / 31}$ |
| S54 | 7 | "942802".ap. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| S55 | 21 | ("6560903").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| S65 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S66 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S67 | 61 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S68 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S69 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S70 | 153 | S65 or S66 or S67 or S68 or S69 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S71 | 184 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ 22: 30 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S72 | 1592 | 600/595.ccls. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| S73 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ 01: 45 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S74 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S75 | 73 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; | $\longdiv { O R }$ | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 06 / 16 \\ & 01: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
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| S91 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in\#:2924 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 592 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| 593 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| 594 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| 595 | 168 | 590 or 591 or 592 or S 93 or S 94 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $18$ |
| 596 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| 597 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| 598 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $18$ |
| 599 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $18: 44$ |
| S100 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S101 | 168 | S96 or $\mathrm{S97}$ or S 98 or $\mathrm{S99}$ or S 100 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S102 | 11 | S101 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)). dIm . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $18$ |
| S103 | 341 | 702/160.ccls. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5104 | 106 | S103 and @ad<"20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $1 \begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S105 | 2555 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\square$ | , |  |  |  |  |  |
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| S106 | 1248 | S105 and @ad<"20061205" \#:2925 | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S107 | 15922 | (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt leg chest neck head forehead sweatband) same (calorie energy) same (activity walk walking run running jog jogging standard standardized) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S108 | 13028 | S107 and (compensat\$ or transter or adjust\$ or (location with (wear worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 56 \end{aligned}$ |
| S109 | 8 | S107 and ((compensat\$ or transfer or adjust\$) with (location with (wear worn wearing))) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 57 \end{aligned}$ |
| S110 | 7 | S109 and @ad< "20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | 2015/02/07 |
| S111 | 2 | (("8795137") or ("20040102931")).PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 38 \end{aligned}$ |
| S112 | 688 | A61B5/065.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S113 | 1566 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S114 | 541 | A61B5/1123.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| S115 | 1316 | A61B5/681.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ 19: 45 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S116 | 719 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S117 | 200 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S118 | 2196 | A61B5/065.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; JPO; <br> DERWENT; <br> IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S119 | 3931 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { IERENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S120 | 1343 | A61B5/1123.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S121 | 3854 | A61B5/681.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S122 | 1665 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | 19:47 |
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| S136 | 5945 | A61B2562/0219.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| S137 | 54 | S135 and (S119 S120) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPOR } \\ & \text { IBMENT; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S138 | 13 | S137 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| S139 | 13 | S138 not (S124 S126 S133) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| S140 | 1729 | S136 and (S119 S120) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| S141 | 591 | S140 and @ad< "20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 31 \end{aligned}$ |
| S142 | 481 | S141 not (S124 S126 S133 S138) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 31 \end{aligned}$ |
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| US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { JPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON |


| S146 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S147 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. |


| US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON |

$2015 / 02 / 07$
$20: 43$

| S148 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S149 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2015 / 07 / 24} 15: 11$ |
| S150 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| S151 | 168 | S146 or S147 or S148 or S149 or S150 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| S152 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S153 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S154 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { EPO; JPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S155 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |


| Case 2 | $: 19-c \mathrm{cv}$ | 06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 F | Filed 07/31/ d DERWENT |  |  |  | 0 Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S156 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S157 | 168 | S152 or S153 or S154 or S 155 or S 156 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S158 | 11 | S157 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S159 | 362 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ 15: 12 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S160 | 106 | S159 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| S161 | 2678 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| S162 | 1249 | S161 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| S163 | 16672 | (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt leg chest neck head forehead sweatband) same (calorie energy) same (activity walk walking run running jog jogging standard standardized) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $3$ |
| S164 | 8 | S163 and ( compensat\$ or transfer or adjust\$) with (location with (wear worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR |  | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S165 | $\sqrt{7}$ | S164 and @ad<"20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR |  | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S166 | 569 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; JPO; <br> DERWENT; <br> IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\{15: 14$ |
| S167 | 75 | [S166 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; JPO; <br> DERWENT; <br> IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ 15: 14 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S168 | 4837 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | US-PGPUB USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ 15: 16 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S169 | $1646$ | A61B5/1123.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
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| S170 | 2711 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | \#:293बī | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S171 | 75 | S166 and @ad< "20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| S172 | 463 | S170 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| S173 | 83 | S172 and @ad< "20061205" |  | USPGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| S174 | 38 | S173 not S171 |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| S175 | 83 | S172 and @ad< "20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| S176 | 2487 | A61B5/065.cpc. |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S177 | 10 | S176 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| S178 | 0 | S177 and @ad< "20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| S179 | 734 | S176 and @ad<"20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 18 \end{aligned}$ |


| Case 2 | :19-c | 06301-AB-KS Docum | 7-4 | Filed 07/31/20 |  |  | 40 Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S180 | 4447 | A61B5/681.cpc. | \#:29311 | USSPGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 07 / 24$ |
| S181 | 821 | S180 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $=$ |
| S182 | 109 | S181 and @ad<"20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | ${ }_{1}^{2015 / 07 / 24}$ |
| S183 | 85 | S182 not (S171 S173) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\frac{2015 / 07 / 24}{15: 19}$ |
| S184 | 3040 | A6182560/0223.cpc. |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S185 | 63 | S184 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; IERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | ${ }_{15: 20}^{2015 / 07 / 24}$ |
| S186 | 13 | S185 and @ad< "20061205" |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S187 | 13 | S186 not (S171 S173 S182) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S188 | 6509 | A6182562/0219.cpc. |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| S189 | 1922 | S188 and (S168 S169) |  | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 07 / 24 \\ & 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
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## Bibliographic data: JP2003102692 (A) - 2003-04-08

BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION MEASURING INSTRUMENT AND SYSTEM/ METHOD FOR HEALTH MANAGEMENT

| Inventor(s): | OUCHI KAZUNARI; SUZUKI TAKUJI; SUGIYAMA HIROSHI; DOI MIWAKO $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Applicant(s): | TOSHIBA CORP $\pm$ |
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## Abstract of JP2003102692 (A)

PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED: To provide a biological information measuring instrument which can be used in a wide range without limiting the wear site of the body of a user and use and which is good to use. SOLUTION: The biological information measuring instrument which is worn to the body of the user for measuring a plurality of pieces of biological information of the user is provided with a biological information measuring means for measuring the plurality of pieces of biological information, a radio communication means for transmitting the biological information measured by this biological information measuring means to outside by radio, a detection means for detecting the wear site of its own device, and a correcting means for correcting the measured value of each piece of biological information measured by the biological information measuring means according to the detected wear site.


（11）特許出願公開番号
特開2003－102692
（P2003－102692A）
（43）公開日 平成15年4月8日（2003．4．8）

| （51）Int． $\mathrm{Cl}^{7}{ }^{7}$ |  | 識別記号 | F I |  |  | テーマコード（参考） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 61 B | 5／00 | 102 | A 61 B | 5／00 | 102 C |  |
| G06F | 17／60 | 126 | G06F | 17／60 | 126 H |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 126 W |  |

審査請求 有 請求頃の数 8 OL（全 12 頁）最終頁に続く

（54）【発明の名称】 生体情報計測装置および健康管理システムおよび健康管理方法
（57）【要約】
【課題】ユーザの身体の装着部位や用途を限定すること なく利用範囲の広い，使い勝手のよい生体情報計測装置 を提供する。
【解決手段】ユーザの身体に装着されて，該ユーザの複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置であって，前記複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測手段と，この生体情報計測手段で計測をれた生体情報を無線で外部へ送信する無線通信手段と，自装置の装着部位を検出する検出手段と，前記検出された装着部位に応じて，前記生体情報計測手段で計測される各生体情報の計測値を補正 する補正手段とを具備する。


【特許請求の範囲】
【請求項1】ユーザの身体に装着されて，該ユーザの複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置であって，前記複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測手段と， この生体情報計測手段で計測された生体情報を無線で外部へ送信する無線通信手段と，
自装置の装着部位を検出する検出手段と，
前記検出された装着部位に応じて，前記生体情報計測手段で計測される各生体情報の計測値を補正する補正手段 と，
を具備したことを特徴とする生体情報計測装置。
【請求項2】前記検出された装着部位に応じて，その装着部位で計測可能な生体情報を選択する選択手段をさら に具備したことを特徴とする請求項 1 記載の生体情報計測装置。
【請求項3】前記無線通信手段の構成要素であるアンテ ナは，前記生体情報計測装置が身体に装着されたとき身体から離れた位置に配置されていることを特徴とする請求項 1 記載の生体情報計測装置。
【請求項4】前記生体情報計測装置を構成する部品のう ち，比重の重い部品は，前記生体情報計測装置が身体に装着されたときに，その筐体の身体装着面側に配置され ていることを特徴とする請求項 1 記載の生体情報計測装置。
【請求項5】訐測された生体情報を表示するとともに，前記生体情報計測装置の操作を行らための表示操作手段 を具備したことを特徴とする請求項 1 記載の生体情報計測装置。
【請求項6】前記表示操作手段は，前記生体情報計測装置の装着部位や計測する生体情報に対応して交換可能で あることを特徴とする請求項 5 記載の生体情報計測装置。
【請求項7】ユーザの身体に装着されて，該ユーザの複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置と，
ユーザの行動およびユーザを取りまく環境に関する情報 を取得する環境情報取得手段と，
を具備し，少なくとも，前記生体情報計測装置がユーザ の身体に装着されているときは，該生体情報計測装置で計測された生体情報を収集し，前記生体情報計測装置が ユーザの身体に装着されていないときは，前記環境情報取得手段で取得される環境情報を基に，該ユーザの行動 や環境を監視するとともに，該ユーザが定期的に行うべ き所定の行動を促すことを特徴とする健康管理システ山。
【請求項8】ユーザの身体に装着されて該ユーザの複数 の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置と，ユーザの行動およびユーザを取りまく環境に関する情報を取得する環境情報取得装置とを用いて，ユーザの健康を管理する健康管理方法であって，少なくとも，前記生体情報計測装置がユーザの身体に装
）

着されているときは，該生体情報計測装置で計測された生体情報を収集し，前記生体情報計測装置がユーザの身体に装着されていないときは，前記環境情報取得手段で取得される環境情報を基に，該ユーザの行動や環境を監視するとともに，該ユーザが定期的に行うべき所定の行動を促すことを特徴とする健康管理方法。
【発明の詳細な説明】
【0001】
【発明の属する技術分野】本発明はユーザの生体情報を
10 計測する装置に関し，特に，身体に装着して生体情報を計測し，その計測結果を無線で外部へ送信する装置に関 する。
【0002】
【従来の技術】近年におけるモバイル端末の小型化•普及に伴い，常に装着した生体センサで生体情報を計測し続け，日常生活の中で健康管理•健康支援を行おうとい う試みがなされている。
【0003】特開平5－240970号公報では，送信手段を設けたセンサからのデータを無線で受信手段を設 けた腕時計へ送信し，腕時計のディスプレイに表示させ る端末が考えられている。これは腕時計上にデータを表示することにより簡単に自分の心拍などをチェックする ことができるというものである。
【0004】特開平8－10232号公報では，体表面 へ張り付けあるいはベルトにより測温部を装着し，被計測者の体温を自動的に計測し収集するというもので，特 に幼児や子供の体温計測を正確に行うという点に主眼が置かれている。
【0005】特開平9－322882号公報では，本体
30 を手首に装着して各種健康情報を記録する端末が考えら れている。これはバイタルデータを記録する時刻をあら かじめ設定しておくと音声にてその時刻と記録方法を教 えてくれるというものである。
【0006】特開2001－112725号公報では，腕時計型に形成された生体情報計測装置を手首に装着し て，心拍や血圧などの生体情報を計測し，被計測者の体調悪化を事前に警告するということが考えられている。
【0007】このように，これまでの装着型の生体情報計測装置はそのほとんどが，計測する生体情報の種類と
40 ユーザの身体に装着する部位が決められているため，特定の生体情報の計測しか行えなかったり，物によっては ユーザの服装を制限してしまったり，常に同じ部位に装着されているために不快感を感じてしまったりすること があった。また，特定の用途向けに特化した操作部•表示部を備えているため，他の用途への応用は難しい。
【0008】
【発明が解決しようとする課題】以上説明したように，従来の生体情報計測装置は，生体情報の種類によって は，正確に測定できる部位が異なるため，計測する生体 50 情報の種類とユーザの身体に装着する部位が予め定めら
（3）
3
れて，特定の用途向けに特化されたものしかなく，使い勝手が悪いといら問題点があった。
【0 0 0 9 】 そこで，本発明は上記問題点に鑑み，ユー ザの身体の装着部位や用途を限定することなく利用範囲 の広い，使い勝手のよい生体情報計測装置を提供するこ とを目的とする。
【0 0 1 0 】また，上記生体情報計測装置を用いて，ユ ーザの日常的な健康管理がユーザに負担をかけずに容易 に行える健康管理システムを提供することを目的とす る。

## 【0 0 111】

【課題を解決するための手段】本発明は，ユーザの身体 に装着されて，該ユーザの複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置であって，前記複数の生体情報を計測す る生体情報計測手段，この生体情報計測手段で計測され た生体情報を無線で外部へ送信する無線通信手段と，自装置の装着部位を検出する検出手段と，前記検出された装着部位に応じて，前記生体情報計測手段で計測される各生体情報の計測值を補正する補正手段とを具備したこ とにより，計測された生体情報の計測値に対し，ユーザ の身体の装着部位に対応した補正が行えるので，ユーザ の身体の装着部位や用途を限定することなく，利用範囲 が広く，使い勝手のよい生体情報計測装置を提供でき る。
【0 0 1 2】また，装着部位によっては，生体情報が計測不能である場合もあるので，前記検出された装着部位 に応じて，その装着部位で計測可能な生体情報を選択す る選択手段をさらに具備していてもよい。
【0 0 1 3】 あた，前記無線通信手段の構成要素である アンテナは，前記生体情報計測装置が身体に装着された とき身体から離れた位置に配置することで，通信特性が向上する。
【0 0 1 4】また，前記生体情報計測装置を構成する部品のうち，比重の重い部品は，前記生体情報計測装置が身体に装着されたときに，その筐体の身体装着面側に配置されていると，生体情報計測装置を安定して装着する ことができる。
【0 0 1 5】 好ましくは，計測された生体情報を表示す るとともに，前記生体情報計測装置の操作を行らための表示操作手段を具備する。しかも，この表示操作手段 は，前記生体情報計測装置の装着部位や計測する生体情報に対応して交換可能であることが望ましい。
【0016】本発明の健康管理システムは，ユーザの身体に装着されて，該ユーザの複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置と，ユーザの行動およびユーザを取り まく環境に関する情報を取得する環境情報取得手段と，少なくとも，前記生体情報計測装置がユーザの身体に装着されているときは，該生体情報計測装置で計測された生体情報を収集し，前記生体情報計測装置がユーザの身体に装着されていないときは，前記環境情報取得手段で
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取得される環境情報を基に該ユーザの行動や環境を監視 するとともに，ユーザが定期的に行うべき所定の行動を促すことにより，特に，ユーザが生体情報計測装置を身体に装着しない間でも，ユーザの日常的な健康管理がユ ーザに負担をかけずに容易に行える。
【0 0 1 7 】 本発明の健康管理方法は，ユーザの身体に装着されて該ユーザの複数の生体情報を計測する生体情報計測装置と，ユーザの行動およびユーザを取りまく環境に関する情報を取得する環境情報取得装置とを用い
10 て，ユーザの健康を管理する健康管理方法であって，少 なくとも，前記生体情報計測装置がコーザの身体に装着 されているときは，該生体情報計測装置で計測された生体情報を収集し，前記生体情報計測装置がユーザの身体 に装着されていないときは，前記環境情報取得手段で取得される環境情報を基に，該ユーザの行動や環境を監視 するとともに，該ユーげが定期的に行うべき所定の行動 を促すことにより，特に，ユーザが生体情報計測装置を身体に装着しない間でも，コーザの日常的な健康管理が ユーザに負担をかけずに容易に行える。
【0 0 1 8】
【発明の実施の形態】以下，本発明の実施形態について図面を参照して説明する。
【0 0 1 9】（第1の実施形態）身体に装着した端末で の計測
図 1 は，第 1 の実施形態に係る生体情報計測装置の構成例を示したもので，大きく分けて，センサ装着用ヘッド 100 と本体101から構成されている。センサ装着用 ヘッド 100 は複数種類の生休情報を計測するための生体情報の種類に応じた複数の計測部を有している。本体 3，計測パラメータ制御部 4 ，I D 検出部5，身体装着部6，表示操作制御部7，表示操作部8から構成され る。
【0020】センサ装着用ヘッド100は，身体に直接装着されて，各種生体情報を計測するためのものであ る。ここで計測される生体情報には，脈波•脈拍，G S R（Galvanic Skin Respons e），皮膚温，血糖値，センサ装着用ヘッド100の装着部位の動作による加速度などがあるが，ここでは，一 40 例として，脈波•脈拍，G S R，皮膚温を計測する場合 について説明する。
【0021】この場合，センサ装着用ヘッド100に設 けられた計測部（センサ）としては，図2に示すよう に，例えば，G S R の計測部と脈波の計測部と皮膚温の計測部などがある。図2において，GSRの計測部とし て2つのGSR計測用電極 12 ，16が設けられてお り，脈波の計測部としてフォトダイオード13とLED 14 か設けられており，皮膚温の計測部として温度セン サ 1 5 が設けられている。

より計測を行い，脈波は青色LED（あるいは赤外線L ED）14とフォトダイオード 1 3 により光電脈波の検出を行う。ただし計測の方法はこれに限らない。このよ らな複数の計測部を持つセンサ装着用ヘッド 100 は，図3に示すように，手の指に巻いて装着したり，あるい は，図4に示すように，耳朵を挟斥込んで装着したりす る。
【0023】上記各計測部で得た（アナログ）信号は，本体101の生体情報処理部1へ送信されるが，その際，信号間の干渉を避けるため，G S R の計測部で得ら れた信号は信号線 17 により生体情報処理部 1 へ送信さ れ，GSR以外の計測部で得られた信号は，信号線18 により生体情報処理部1～送信される。
【0024】生体情報処理部1は，センサ装着用ヘッド 100 の各計測部で得られた信号の増幅を行ラアング及 びフィルタと，アナログ信号からデジタル信号い変換す るAD変換器からなる。生体情報処理部1でデジタル信号に変換された各種生体情報の計測データは制御部3に入力する。
【0025】制御部3は，生体情報処理部 1 から出力さ れた各生体情報の計測データを処理し，無線通信部2を介して外部へデータを送信する。ここで無線とは，Ir DA（Infrared Data Associat ion）やBluetoothなどの無線通信であって もよい。また，制御部3は，各種生体情報の計測データ を基にした生体情報の認裁処理を行った上で無線通信部 2より外部へデータを送信しても良い。制御部3で認識処理までを行うことにより，無線通信部2から送信する データ量を少なくすることが可能となる。さらに，制御部3は，計測パラメータ制御部4から受け取る各種計測 パラメータを基に，生体情報処理部 1 の制御を行う。
【0026】身体装着部6は，本体を身体に装着する際 に，身体と本体とを固定するものである。具体的には，身体装着用のベルトを本体に取り付けるための（接続す るための）メス型のコネクタ9などから構成されてい る。
【0027】図5は，身体装着用ベルトを取り付けてい ない本体101の外観の一例を示したものである。この本体101のコネクタ9に，図6に示すように，例兄ば身体装着用ベルト（ここでは，手首装着用ベルト） 10 を取り付けることにより手首に装着することができる。
【0028】身体装着用ベルト10には，図6に示した ような手首装着用の他，頭部装着用，首にかけてペンダ ント式に身体に装着するペンダント式装着用，胴体装着用など，身体の各部対応のものがある。
【0029】例えば，本体101を手首に装着する場合 には，センサ装着用ヘッド 1 0 0 は，図3に示したよう に指に装着して，指から生体情報を収集する。また，本体101を頭部や首にかけて装着する場合には，センサ装着用ヘッド100は，図4に示したように耳染に装着 50
－
して，耳穼から生体情報を収集する。このように，本体 101を装着する部位を変更することにより，センサ装着用ヘッド100は，身体のいろいろな部位に装着する ことができるようになっている。
【0030】本実施形態では，本体101を身体のどの部位に装着するかは，身体装着用ベルト10で載別する ようになっている。
【0031】身体装着部6を構成するコネクタ（本体側接続部） 9 は，例えば，図7（a）に示すように，5本
10 のピンのメス型コネクタである。
【0 0 3 2】身体装着用ベルト10は，図7（b）に示 すように，ベルト側接続部（オス型のコネクタ）301 と装着ベルト302とから構成されている。どこに装着 するためのものであるかによって，装着べルト302の長さや幅やデザインなどが異なるとともに，身体装着用 ベルト10を本体101に接続するためのベルト側接続部，すなわち，コネクタ301のピン数あるいはピンの配置位置あるいはピン数とピンの配置位置が異なる。
【0033】例えば，図7（b）に示すように，手首装
20 着用であれば，1番ビンと 2 番ビンのみが設けられたコ ネクタ301を有している。また，頭部装着用であれ ば，図7（c）に示すように，1番ピンと 5 番ピンのみ が設けられたコネクタ301を有している。さらに，ペ ンダント式装着用であれば，図7（d）に示すように， 1番ピンと3番ピンのみか設けられたコネクタ301を有している。
【0034】ID検出部5は，身体装着部6，すなわ ち，コネクタ9にどの身体装着用ベルト 10 が接続され たかを検知して，その接続された身体装着用ベルト 10 30 対応の（本体の装着部位対応の）I D（識別子）を計測 パラメータ制御部4へ出力する。
【0 03 5】例えば，手首装着用の身体装着用ベルト1 0が接続されたときには，身体装着部6のコネクタ9の 1番ピンと 2 番ピンが通電するので，それをID検出部 5で検知して，本体101が手首に装着されると認識す る。従って，手首対応のIDを計測パラメータ制御部4 へ出力する。
【0036】本体の装着部位に応じて，センサ装着用へ ッド 100 の装着部位が予め定められている。例兄じ，
40 本体101を手首に装着する場合には，センサ装着用へ ッド 100 は，図3に示したように指に装着されること になっているものとし，本体101を頭部や首にかけて装着する場合には，センサ装着用ヘッド100は，図4 に示したように䎺に装着されることになっているもの とする。
【0037】センサ装着用ヘッド100の装着部位が異 なれば，センサ装着用ヘッド 100 で計測される生体情報の種類によっては，そのままでは正確に計測できない場合（計測結果として得られた信号を補正する必要があ る場合）や，全く計測できない（計測不能）の場合もあ
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場合においても，表示操作制御部 8 は，計測する生体情報に対応した表示や操作を可能にするものであって，そ れは，計測する生体情報に対応して交換可能であること が望ましい。
【0 0 4 7 】 次に，図9を参照して，図1の生体情報計測装置の処理動作について説明する。生体情報として，光電脈波，GSR，皮膚温を計測する場合の例である。【0 0 4 8 】 あず，生体情報計測装置が起動されると， システムの初期化を行ら。この初期化では，I D 検出部
105 は，前述のようにして，身体装着部 6 のコネクタ 9 に，どの部位に装着する身体装着用ベルト 1 0 が接続さ れたかを検知して，その接続された身体装着用ベルト1 0対応のI Dを計測パラメータ制御部4へ出力する。前述のように，このI Dにより，センサ装着用ヘッド（簡単にヘッドと呼ぶこともある）100の装着部位も判断 できる（ステップS 1）。そして，計測パラメータ制御部 4 は，上記 I Dを受け取ると，前述したように，その I Dに対応した補正や信号の選択を行うための計測パラ メータを制御部3へ出力する（ステップS 2）。
20【0049】例えば，ここでは，本体101が手首に装着されたとすると，ヘッド 1 0 1 は指に装着されたこと になる。この場合，ヘッド100で計測される生体情報 （光電脈波，G S R，皮膚温）は，全て計測可能である が，計測結果に対して補正が必要になるので，計測パラ メータとして，上記3つの生体情報を計測する各計測部 からの出力信号に対する増幅度を通知する補正パラメー タが制御部3に出力される。
【0050】また，例えば，本体101 がペンダント式 に装着されたとすると，ヘッド 1 0 1 は耳朶に装着され 30 たことになる。この場合，ヘッド 1 0 0 で計測される生体情報（光電脈波，G S R ，皮膚温）のうち，G S R は計測不能であり，それ以外は計測結果に対して補正が必要になるので，計測パラメータとして，G S R が計測不能である旨を通知する選択パラメータと，それ以外の生体情報を計測する各計測部からの出力信号に対する増幅度を通知する補正パラメータが制御部3に出力される。
【0051】制御部3は，受け取った計測パラメータに より，計測不能の生体情報が存在するときは，表示操作部8にその旨を通知するとともに，当該計測不能の生体情報が計測できるような装着部位を示して正しく装着す るようにユーザに促すようにしてもよい。また，計測可能な生体情報の対応した表示や操作を可能にする表示操作部8へ交換するようユーザに促す表示を行うようにし てもよい。
【0 0 5 2】制御部3は，初期化の際に，表示操作部8 の種類を検知して，表示操作制御部7を表示操作部8の種類に対応した操作の認識，表示データの変換処理に切 り替えるようにしてもよい。
【0 0 5 3 】初期化が終了すると計測開始待ち状態とな 50 り（ステップS 3），ユーザが表示操作部 8 上にて計測

開始の操作を行らか，無線通信部2より外部から計測開始のコマンドが送信されるかなどの，計測開始の合図を きっかけに計測を開始する（ステップS4）。
【0054】計測が開始されると，センサ装着用ヘッド 100 の各操作部では，早速それぞれの生体情報の計測 を行う（ステップS 5～ステップS 7）。
【0055】計測中は，各計測部から出力される信号は随時本体 1 0 1 の生体情報処理部1に入力する。例兄 ば，補正パラメータにより，G S R の計測部から得られ る信号に対する増幅度が通知されているときは，生体情報処理部1で，この通知された増幅度で当該信号を増幅 してから，フィルタリング，AD変換を行ら。また，選択パラメータにより，G S R が計測不能であることが通知されているときは，G S R の計測部から得られる信号 に対しては，その後の処理を行わない。
【0056】各生体情報が計測されて，その計測データ が制御部3に入力すると，認識処理を行うかどうかで処理動作を切り替える（ステップS 8）。認載処理を行う場合は，あらかじめ決められた認識アルゴリズムによっ て計測された生体情報の解析•認識処理を行う（ステッ プS 9）。例えば，脈波から脈拍を計測する処理や，G SRと皮虜温の値の比較からユーザの緊張状態やストレ ス度を算出したりする処理がこれにあたる。このように 1つの計測部からの計測データのみを処理して認識した り，複数の計測部からの計測データを組み合わせて処理 することにより認戴したり，認識の方法はさまざまであ る。
【0057】各計測部からの計測データや認載結果は無線通信部2を介して外部へ無線で送信されるとともに，表示操作部8にも表示される（ステップS 1 0）。
【0 0 5 8】データ送信後はあらかじめ定められたサン プリング間隔だけ待つ（ステップS 1 1）。このフロー チャートでは，すべての計測部のサンプリング間隔を等 しいことにしているが，サンプリング間隔をそれぞれの計測部に最適なものとし，各計測部に，それぞれ異なる サンプリング間隔を設定してもよい。また，サンプリン グ間隔分だけ待っている間は，光電脈波検出用LED 1 4 や，温度センサ 15 は通電している必要がないため， その間はそれらへの供給電源をオフにしてしまい，計測開始する際にオンにすることで，計測部付近での消費電力を大幅に節約することができる。ただし，この場合は通電してからLED 14 が十分に発光するまでの時間， または，温度センサ 15 が立ち上がるまでの時間がある ため，計測開始前にその分だけ早く通電する必要があ る。
【0059】サンプリング間隔分だけ待った後は，ユー ザによる計測終了の操作あるいは外部から計測終了のコ マンドの入力が無い場合は，再度計測を行ら（ステップ S 12，ステップS 4）。計測終了の場合は，終了す る。
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【0 0 6 0 】 このようにして，生体情報計測装置を用い て生体情報の計測を行らことができる。ここまでの説明 では，各生体情報は計測•認識されると即座に外部い送信されていたが，生休情報計測内にメモリを具備させる ことにより，ある程度蓄積してからまとめて外部へ送信 するということも可能である。
【0 0 6 1】次に，図1の生体情報計測装置の部品配置 について説明する。
【0062】図10は，生体情報計測装置の内部を横か
10 ら見た際の部品配置を模式的に示したものである。ここ に示した生体情報計測装置の主な部品としては，図1の生体情報処理部1と制御部3と計測パラメータ制御部 4 とID検出部5と表示操作制御部7の各機能を実現する ためのIC部品などが実装された計測制御基板22と，図1の無線通信部2の機能を実現するためのIC部品な どが実装された無線通信基盤23と，無線通信用アンテ ナ24とバッテリー25である。
【0063】図10に示したように，他の部品よりも重量のあるバッテリー（リチウムイオンバッテリーなど）
2025 は，装着した際の安定を得るために，生体情報計測装置が身体に装着されたときに，その筐体21の身体装着面側（図 1 0 の下側）に配置されている。また，ま た，無線通信基板23上に実装される無線通信用アンテ ナ 24 は，バッテリー 25 の上に配置することによりユ ーザの身体から離れて配置することができるので，ユー ザの身体に密着して装着された場合に比べて通信特性が向上する。
【0064】アンテナの実装位置は，図10の場合に限 らない。筐体21が電波を通しにくい材質で構成されて 30 いる場合に通信特性を向上させるため，例えば，図 11 に示すように，無線通信用アンテナ 24 を筐体 21 外部 に出るように実装するようにしてもよい。
【0065】生体情報計測装置が例えばポケット内など の衣服の中など，ユーザが視覚的に操作表示部8を確認 しづらい位置に装着した場合，図12に示しように，操作表示部8に振動により情報を提示できる振動素子（バ イブレータなど）27を持たせることで，操作表示部8 を見ることなく，ある程度の情報を得ることができる。振動素子 27 は振動をユーザへ効率的に伝えられるよう 0 に，筐体21のユーザの身体と接触する面に配置されて いる。
【0066】尚，生体情報計測装置本体101には，生体情報を計測するセンサ装着用ヘッド 1000 みなら ず，CCD，CMOSなどのカメラやマイクロクォンを接続して，生体情報と同期した静止画や動画，あるいは音声なども記録するようにしてもよい。また，ユーザの動きに関する加速度を検知する加速度センサを接続し て，ユーザの運動量を計測するようにしてもよい。この加速度センサで計測されたデータも生体情報の1つとし
50 て，前述同椂に取り扱うようにしてもよい。
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【0075】統括制御部33は，生体情報データベース部34に格納されるデータを基に，ユーザの異常を検知 したり，ユーザが所定時間おきに所定の薬剤を服用した り血圧の測定を行う必要があるときには，投薬の時刻や血圧の測定時刻を監視して，その時刻には，情報提示部 38 で，ユーザに服用すべき薬剤や投薬の時間であるこ とを通知したり，血圧を測定する時間であることを通知 したりするための処理を行らようになっている。
【0076】通信部39は，主に，生体情報データベー
10 ス部 34 に蓄積されたデータを，必要に応じて（一定周期毎，異常が検出されたときなどに），ユーザの主治医 の端末や，その他の管理用サーバなどに所定のネットリ ーク（例えばインターネットなど）を介して送信するた めのものである。
【0077】情報提示部38は，生体情報計測装置31 を装着していないユーザへ様々な情報の提示を行らため のものである。情報提示部 38 は，管理装置 202 に装備されているディスプレイ装置に，各種情報を提示する ようにしてもよいが，ユーザ宅にもともと設置されてい
20 るテレビで各種情報を提示させるようにしてもよい。【0078】クレードル203は，例えば，ユーザが自宅に戻り，身体から生体情報計測装置31を取りはずし たときの生体情報計測装置31の置き台として使用され るクレードル部35と，このクレードル部35に生体情報計測装置31が置かれたことを検知して，生体情報計測装置31内に装備されているバッテリーの充電を行っ たり，管理装置202に環境情報の取得動作を開始させ るためのコマンドを送信したりなどの制御を行う制御部 36 から構成されている。
30 【0079】図14は，図13に示した構成の生体情報計測装置の処理動作を説明するためのフローチャート で，生体情報計測装置 3 1 が，クレードル 2 0 3 に置か れた後の健康管理システムの処理動作について説明する ためのものである。
【0 0 8 0 】図14のフローチャートを参照して，図1 3に示した健康管理システムの処理動作について説明す る。
【0 0 8 1】健康管理システムの生体情報計測装置31 は，図9に示したように，各種生体情報を計測する。そ の際，図9のステップS 1 0 で，生体情報計測装置31 から管理装置202へ，計測データや計測データに基づ く認識結果などが無線で送られてくるので，それを無線通信部32を介して受信し，例えば図15のような所定 のデータ形式に変換して生体情報データベース部34へ保存する。
【0 0 8 2】生体情報計測装置31 がクレードル203 に置かれると（ステップS21），クレードル2030制御部36は生体情報計測装置31がクレードル部35 に置かれたことを検出し，クレードル203は生体情報 50 計測装置31 アバッテリーの充電等を開始するととも
（8）

に，管理装置202の統括制御部33へ環境情報の取得動作を開始させるためのコマンドを送信する。
【0 0 8 3 】このコマンドにより統括制御部3 3 は，環境情報取得部201により環境情報の取得動作を開始す る（ステップ S 2 2 ）。
【0 0 8 4 】統括制御部3 3 では，環境情報取得部20 1 で定期的に取得されるサーモグラフィなどによる熱画像や音声などを解析して，ユーザの動きに異常はないか （例えば，ユーザに動きがない，階段から転倒した，な ど）を検出する。例えば，熱画像に写されたユーザの領域から動きの変化を抽出する処理や，予め登録した各種異常時の音声パターンと類似するパターンの音声が収集 されたときには，その異常を検出するなどの処理を行 う。
【0 0 8 5 】ユーザの動きに異常が検出されたときに は，例えば，通信部39を通じて，所定の連絡先に，そ の旨を通知する（ステップS 2 4）。
【0086】統括制御部33は，環境情報取得部201 としての時計を参照して，例えば，血圧の測定時刻や所定の薬剤の服用時刻を計測している。
【0087】例えば，予め設定された血圧の測定時刻を検知したら，情報提示部38で，血圧の測定時刻である旨をユーザに通知するためのメッセージやアラームなど を表示する（ステップS 2 5，ステップS 2 6）。ま
た，服薬時刻を検知したときには，例えば生体情報デー タベース部34に予め登録してある当該ユーザが服用す べき薬剤の種類や量に関する情報を読み出して，それを情報提示部38で提示するとともに，服薬時刻である旨 をユーザに通知するためのメッセージやアラームを表示 する（ステップS 2 7，ステップS 2 8）。
【0 0 8 8 】また，医療機器として，生体情報の計測機器が接続されていてもよい。そして，統括制御部33 は，予め定められた時間に，情報提示部38で生体情報 の測定を促すメッセージやアラームを表示するようにし てもよい。
【0 0 8 9 】このように，統括制御部 3 3 は，ユーザが的的に行うべき所定の行動を促すメッセージやアラーム を情報提示部38から表示させるための処理を行う。
【0090】以上ステップS 2 3～ステップS 2 8 の処理を，ユーザがクレードル203から生体情報計測装置 31 を取り外したことが検知されるまで繰り返す（ステ ップS29）。
【0091】ユーザがクレードル203から生体情報計測装置31を取り外したことが，クレードル2030制御部36で検知されると，制御部36から統括制御部3 3 へ環境情報の取得動作を終了させるためのコマンドが送信される。
【0 0 9 2 】このコマンドにより統括制御部3 3 は，環境情報取得部201により環境情報の取得動作を終了す る（ステップS 30）。
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【0093】以上は，統括制御部33が管理するユーザ は1名であることを前提に説明したが，ユーザが複数い る場合は各ユーザに識別 I D を与え，この識別 I D 毎に上記のような管理を行らようにすればよい。
【0094】また，上記実施形態では，医療機器204 が接続されている場合を説明したが，医療機器に限ら ず，ユーザの病状や用途などに応じて必要とされるもの であるならば何でもよい。
【0 0 9 5 】以上説明したように，上記第 2 の実施形態
10 によれば，生体情報計測装置31 がコーザの身体に装着 されているときは，生体情報計測装置31で計測された生体情報を収集し，生体情報計測装置31 がユーザの身体に装着されていないときは，環境情報取得部201で取得される環境情報を基に，該ユーザの行動や環境を監視するとともに，該ユーザが定期的に行うべき所定の行動を促すことにより，特に，ユーザが生体情報計測装置 を身体に装着しない間でも，ユーザの日常的な健康管理 がコーザに負担をかけずに容易に行える。
【0096】なお，本発明の実施の形態に記載した本発
20 明の手法は，コンピュータに実行させることのできるプ ログラムとして，磁気ディスク（フロッピー（登録商
標）ディスク，ハードディスクなど），光ディスク（C D－R OM，DVDなど），半導体メモリなどの記録媒体に格納して頒布することもできる。
【0097】また，本発明は，上記実施形態に限定され るものではなく，実施段階ではその要旨を逸脱しない範囲で種々に変形することが可能である。さらに，上記実施形態には種々の段階の発明は含まれており，開示され る複数の構成用件における適宜な組み合わせにより，種
30 々の発明が抽出され得る。例えば，実施形態に示される全構成要件から幾つかの構成要件が削除されても，発明 が解決しようとする課題の欄で述べた課題（の少なくと も1つ）が解決でき，発明の効果の欄で述べられている効果（のなくとも1つ）が得られる場合には，この構成要件が削除された構成が発明として抽出され得る。

## 【0098】

【発明の効果】以上説明したように，本発明によれば， ユーザの身体の装着部位や用途を限定することなく利用範囲の広い，使い勝手のよい生体情報計測装置を提供す 40 ることができる。

【0099】また，上記生体情報計測装置を用いて，ユ ーザの日常的な健康管理がユーザに負担をかけずに容易 に行える健康管理システムを提供することができる。
【図面の簡単な説明】
【図1】本発明の第1の実施例に係る生体情報計測装置 の構成を示すブロック図。
【図2】図1のセンサ装着用ヘッドの構成例を示した図。
【図3】図2のセンサ装着用ヘッドを人差し指に装着し 50 た場合を示した図。
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【図4】図2のセンサ装着用ヘッドを耳呆に装着した場合を示した図。
【図5】図1の生体情報計測装置の本体の外観を示した図。
【図6】身体装着用ベルト（手首装着用）を本体に接続 した場合を示した図。
【図7】本体の身体装着部を構成する本体側接続部（コ ネクタ）と，各種身体装用ベルトのベルト側接続部（コ ネクタ）の一例を示した図。
【図8】表示操作部8の一例を示した図。
【図9】図1の生体情報計測装置の処理動作を説明する ためのフローチャート。
【図10】図1の生体情報計測装置の内部を横から見た際の部品配置を模式的に示した図でバッテリーの配置位置を說明するための図。
【図11】図1の生体情報計測装置の内部を横から見た際の部品配置を模式的に示した図で，アンテナの配置位置を説明するための図。
【図12】図1の生体情報計測装置の内部を横から見た際の部品配置を模式的に示した図で，アンテナの配置位置を説明するための図。
【図13】本発明の第2の実施例に係る健康管理システ ムの構成を示すブロック図。
【図14】図13の健康管理システムの処理動作を説明 するためのフローチャート。
【図15】図1の生体情報データベース部34に蓄積さ れているデータの一例を示した図。
【符号の説明】
$1 \cdots$ 生体情報計測部
$2 \cdots$ 無線通信部
$3 \cdots$ ‥⿰⿻⿰㇒⿻二丨冂刂灬御部
4…計測パラメータ制御部
$5 \cdots$ I D検出部
$6 \cdots$ 身体装着部
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＊ $7 \cdots$ 表示操作制御部
$8 \cdots$ 表示操作部
$9 \cdots$ 本体側接続部（コネクタ）
$10 \cdots$ 身体装着用ベルト
$12 \cdots$ G S R 計測用電極
$13 \cdots$ フォトダイオード（光電脈波検出用）
$14 \cdots$ LED（光電脈波検出用）
15‥温度センサ
$16 \cdots$ G S R 計測用電極
$1017 \cdots G$ S R 信号線
$18 \cdots$ G S R以外の信号線
$21 \cdots$ 生体情報計測装置の外部筐体
$22 \cdots$ 計測制御基板
$23 \cdots$ 無線通信基板
$24 \cdots$ 無線通信用アンテナ
$25 \cdots$ バッテリー
$26 \cdots$ 表示操作部の表示操作面
$27 \cdots$ 振動素子
$31 \cdots$ 生体情報計測装置
$2032 \cdots$ 無線通信部
$33 \cdots$ 統括制御部
$34 \cdots$ 生体情報データベース部
$35 \cdots$ クレードル部
$36 \cdots$ 制御部
$38 \cdots$ 環境情報提示部
$39 \cdots$ 通信部
$100 \cdots$ センサ装着用ヘッド
$101 \cdots$ 本体
$201 \cdots$ 環境情報取得部
$30202 \cdots$ 管理装置
$203 \cdots$ クレードル
$204 \cdots$ 医療機器
$301 \cdots$ ベルト側接続部
302 …装着ベルト

【図2】


【図3】


【図4】


【図10】



【図8】


【図13】


【図12】


【図14】


## 【図15】

```
<?xml version="1.0"encoding="Shitt_JIS"?>
<|wm|
    <head>
        <sensor-module>0001</sensor-module>
        <sensor-position>lumbar</sensor-position>
        <data-type>acceleration</data-lype>
        <dimentions>2</dimentions>
        <sampling-rate>0.05&/sampling-rate>
        <date>2001.8.16T20:23:07</date>
        <unit-x>s</Unit-x>
        <unit-y>G</unit-y>
        <label>
            <item name>Acceleration-X</item name>
            <item name>Acceleration-Y</item name>
        </label>
        <heac>
<body>
        sitems>
            <item type="siream">
-0.09521484375 0.07568359375
-0.09521484375 0.07568359375
-0.09521484375 0.07080078125
-0.09521484375 0.0732421875
-0.09521484375 0.0732421875
-0.09521484375 0.068359375
-0.09521484375 0.07080078125
            <items>
        chead>
<iwml>
```
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GORIS ET AL.

| Art Unit <br> 3739 | AIA (First Inventor to File) Status <br> No |
| :--- | :--- |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2015 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED

1. $\boxtimes$ The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance;
(2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\qquad$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) $\triangle$ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
c) $\square$ A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. $\square$ The Notice of Appeal was filed on $\qquad$ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## AMENDMENTS

3. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
a) $\triangle$ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
b) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
c) $\square$ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and $41.33(\mathrm{a})$ ).
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): $\qquad$ —.
6. $\square$ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) $\qquad$ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the nonallowable claim(s).
7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered, or (b) $\square$ will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. $\square$ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on $\qquad$ .
9. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
11. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
12. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
13. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
14. $\square$ Other:

STATUS OF CLAIMS
15. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: 1,2 and 8.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19,21 and 22.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

## Emily M Lloyd

Examiner

[^0]Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least Applicant's amendments to claims 1,8 and 21 to add "determine/determining... one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measued value for features that are position-dependent" (claims 1,8 and 21), and to remove "using a compensation method equation" (claims 1,8 and 21) and "within surface zones" (claims 1 and 8 ), require further search and/or consideration. See also at least Applicant's amendments to dependent claims 2, 5, 7, 9-14 and 16-19.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant(s) | $:$ | Goris et al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Serial No. | $:$ | $12 / 097,121$ |
| Filed | $:$ | June 12, 2008 |
| For | $:$ | Detection and Compensation Method for <br> Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body |
| Group Art Unit | $:$ | 3739 |
| Examiner | $:$ | Emily M. Lloyd |
| Confirmation No. | $:$ | 8272 |

Mail Stop: AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

In response to the Final Office Action mailed February 13, 2015, in the above-identified application, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant(s) | $:$ | Goris et al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Serial No. | $:$ | $12 / 097,121$ |
| Filed | $:$ | June 12, 2008 |
| For | $:$ | Detection and Compensation Method for <br> Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body |
| Group Art Unit | $:$ | 3739 |
| Examiner | $:$ | 8272 |

Mail Stop: AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

In response to the Final Office Action mailed February 13, 2015, in the above-identified application, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within sufface zones on the body of the a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to identify determine the loeation one the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to one of the the plurality of positions on the subject by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent, using a compensation method equation, and
to derive a subject-related value from the measured value, using a compensation method equation, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject.
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions configured for sensor attachment to the body of the subject includes at least two or more of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head.
3. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of a body part associated with the one of the plurality of positions on the subject where the sensor is attached.
6. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration.
7. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processor is further arranged, using a compensation methed equation, to select a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is configured to generate a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising[[,]]:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within strface zones on the body of the a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to identify determine the location one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to one of the the plurality of positions on the subject by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent, using a compensation method equation, wherein said processor is further arranged to convert, using a compensation method equation,
the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
to derive a subject-related value from the estimated measured value,using a eompensation method equation.
9. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processor, in identifying the location determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a empensation methon is arranged for performing the determination in dependence on the measured value.
10. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 9, wherein the measuring system is further arranged to obtain, from the sensor, the measured value or a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the processor, in identifyg the location determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a empensation method equation, is arranged to perform the identification in dependence on the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10, wherein the processor, in identifying the location determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to further perform the identification on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval,-respectively.
12. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10, wherein the processor, in identifying the loation determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to further perform, using a compensation methequation, a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 9 , wherein the processor is further arranged, using a compensation method equation, to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the processor, identifying the lecation determining one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to use at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
14. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the processor, using a compensation methed equation, in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, is arranged to perform the determination in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
15. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 13, wherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject.
17. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuring system further comprises:
storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
and wherein said processor,using acompensation methodequation, is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing the predetermined activity,
to determine how the measured value compares to the stored pattern a similarity measure
relating to a signal representing the derived walue and at least one stored pattern, and
to provide feedback in dependence on the comparison-similarity meastre.
18. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 17, wherein the processor, in establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity, is arranged, using a eompensation method equation, to establish that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (Currently Amended) A measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuring system further comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject,
and wherein the processor, in deriving the subject-related value, is arranged to derive the measured value, using a compensation method equation, where the derivation of the subjectrelated value also depends on the further measured value.
20. (Cancelled)
21. (Currently Amended) A method of deriving a value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
attaching a sensor to any one of a plurality of positions on the subject;
obtaining, in a processor, at least one measured value from the sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject;
identifying-determining, using the processor, one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached the location where the sensor is attached to one of the plurality of positions on the subject by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent, using a compensation method equation; and
deriving, using the processor, a subject-related value from the measured value in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
22. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration.

## REMARKS

## I. INTRODUCTION

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-14, 16-19 and 21 have been amended. These claim amendments are supported by at least p. 11, ll. 6-12, and p. 7, ll. 8-9 of the specification as originally filed. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-19 and 21-22 remain pending in the present application. In light of the above amendments and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance.

## II. THE CLAIM OBJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1,2 and 8 stand objected to for informalities. In view of the amendments to these claims, the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## III. THE 35 U.S.C. § 112 REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-19, 21, and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) for failing to comply with the written description requirement. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.5-7).

Regarding claims 1 and 8 , the Examiner stated that "surface" and "zones" were not used in the Applicants specification as originally filed. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.6). Claim 1 and 8 have been amended to delete "within the surface zones." Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claims 1 and 8.

Regarding claims 1, 7-14, 17-19, and 21, the Examiner stated that "a compensation method equation" was not used in the Applicants specification as originally filed. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, p.6). Claims 1, 7-14, 17-19, and 21 have been amended to either delete "a compensation method equation," or replace it with, "by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent." Support for the amendment can be found at least on p . 11, ll. 10-12 in the Applicants specification as originally filed. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claims 1, 7-14, 17-19, and 21.

Claim 16 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.7-8). Specifically, the Examiner states that "[a]pplicants disclosure, as originally filed, did not enable one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use both 'a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject' ... and to also 'indentify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation."" (See id., p. 8). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. One of ordinary skill in the art would have known at the time the invention how to make a user interface to accept inputs, such as the position of a sensor on a subject. Further, the originally filed application on p. 9, 11. 9-12 provides examples of using a touch screen or buttons to select the desired input. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claim 16.

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) for failing to comply with enablement requirement. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Specifically, the Examiner states that, " $[\mathrm{t}] \mathrm{he}$ disclosure as originally filed does not provide support for 'to determine a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and at least on stored pattern.'" (See id.). Applicants have amended claim 17 to read, "to determine how the measured value compares to the stored pattern, and to provide feedback in dependence on the comparison." Support for this amendment can be found at least on p. 7, 11. 8-9 of specification as originally filed. Applicants submit that the amended claim 17 is fully enabled and respectfully requests the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claim 17.

Claims 2-7, 9-19 and 22 stand rejected as being dependent on claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a). (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, p. 8). Applicants submit that for the reasons and amendments above, all of the claims are allowable under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a). Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claims 2-7, 9-19 and 22.

Claims 1-19, 21 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the Applicants regard as their invention.
(See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.8-10). With regards to claims 1-19, 21 and 22, these claims have been amended to overcome the formatting deficiencies indicated by the Examiner.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, the Examiner stated "'the body of the subject' lacks antecedent basis." (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, p.9). Applicants have amended claims 1 and 8 to "the body of $a$ subject." Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claims 1 and 8 .

Regarding claims 1, 8-13 and 21, the Examiner asserted "the location" is unclear or lacks antecedent basis. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.9-10). Applicants have amended claims 1, 8-13 and 21 to remove "the location." Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claims 1, 8-13 and 21 .

Regarding claims 1, 8-13 and 21, the Examiner asserted "it is unclear if "where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions' should be 'where the sensor is attached to one of the plurality of positions." (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.9-10). Applicants have amended claims 1, 8-13 and 21 to include "one of," as suggested by the examiner. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claims 1, 8-13 and 21.

Regarding claims 1, 7-14 and 17-19, the Examiner asserted it is unclear whether various uses of "a compensation method equation" are referring to the same equation. (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.9-10). Applicants have removed "a compensation method equation" from every claim. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claims 1, 7-14 and 17-19.

Regarding claim 11, the Examiner asserted, "it is unclear what elements/limitations are claimed to be related to other elements/limitations with respect to the word 'respectively.'" (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.9-10). Applicants have amended claim 11 to remove "respectively." Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejection of claims 11.

Claims 2-7,9-19 and 22 stand rejected as being dependent on claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b). (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, p. 10). Applicants submit that for the reasons and amendments above, all of the claims are allowable under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b). Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) rejections of claims 2-7, 9-19 and 22.

## IV. THE 35 U.S.C. $\S \$ 102(b)$ AND $103(a)$ REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-19 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0102931 to Ellis et al. (hereafter "Ellis"). (See 02/13/2015 Office Action, pp.10-19).

Claim 1 recites, "[a] measuring system comprising: a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on the body of a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are positiondependent, and to derive a subject-related value from the measured value, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the one of the plurality of positions of the sensor on the subject."

Ellis discloses an "individual networking component" that may be worn "on a hand, wrist, arm, leg, foot, waist, head, or other suitable part of the body." (See Ellis, II [0245]). Ellis's modular personal network "may measure an athlete’s cadence... [or] stride length." (See Ellis, © [0333]). The modular personal network "may use stored information along with collect information to estimate a derived performance parameter." (See Ellis, If [0356]). Also, "the system may estimate energy consumption during an athletic effort." (See Ellis, II [0361]).

Ellis further discloses that, "an accelerometer may be provided as an [individual networking component] in the [modular personal network]." (See Ellis, $\mathbb{I I}$ [0379]). Also, "multiple accelerometers may be used to measure motion by different parts of the body." (See Ellis, II [0379]). "Through the use of one or more accelerometers mounted on a part of the body
that is moved during a particular activity, the system may compare the measured movements with ideal movements, and provide feedback to the user." (See Ellis, II [0390]).

However, the network in Ellis does not determine where the individual networking components are attached on the subject. Thus, the network in Ellis also does not analyze the measurements it collects to determine where components are attached. As such, Ellis fails to disclose or suggest a "processor being arranged to determine one of the plurality of positions on the subject to which the sensor is attached by analyzing the measured value for features that are position-dependent," as recited in claim 1. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7 and 9-19 should be withdrawn. Independent claims 8 and 21 recite substantially similar limitations as claim 1 . Thus, the withdraw of the rejections to claims 8 and 21 are respectfully requested for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regard to claim 1.

Claim 22 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis. Independent claim 22 recites substantially similar limitations as claim 1 . Thus, the withdraw of the rejection to claim 22 is respectfully requested for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regard to claim 1.

## CONCLUSION

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 13, 2015

By: __/Michael J. Marcin/ Michael J. Marcin (Reg. No. 48,198)

Fay Kaplun \& Marcin, LLP
150 Broadway, Suite 702
New York, New York 10038
Tel.: (212) 619-6000
Fax: (212) 619-0276
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A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
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## Status

1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/20/2014.
$\square$ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on $\qquad$ .
2a) $\boxtimes$ This action is FINAL. 2b) $\square$ This action is non-final.
2) $\square$ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on
$\qquad$ ; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
3) $\square$ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims*

5) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1-19,21$ and 22 is/are pending in the application.

5a) Of the above claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
6) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are allowed.
7) Claim(s) $1-19,21$ and 22 is/are rejected.
8) Claim(s) 1,2 and 8 is/are objected to.
9) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see ntto//www. uspotogov/oatents/init events/polvindex.isp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto gov.


## Application Papers

10) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
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## DETAILED ACTION

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's 20 October 2014 amendment.
The Office acknowledges Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 2, 5, 7-14, 17-19 and 21. Currently, claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are pending.

The Office notes that Applicant's 20 October 2014 amendment to claim 1 changed "a subject" (in the second and third lines of the previous version of claim 1) to "the subject" without indicating that such a change was made.

## Claim Interpretation - 35 USC § 112, Sixth Paragraph

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(f):
(f) Element in Claim for a Combination. - An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph:
An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

Use of the word "means" (or "step for") in a claim with functional language creates a rebuttable presumption that the claim element is to be treated in accordance
with 35 U.S.C. 112(f) (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph). The presumption that 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph) is invoked is rebutted when the function is recited with sufficient structure, material, or acts within the claim itself to entirely perform the recited function.

Absence of the word "means" (or "step for") in a claim creates a rebuttable presumption that the claim element is not to be treated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph). The presumption that 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph) is not invoked is rebutted when the claim element recites function but fails to recite sufficiently definite structure, material or acts to perform that function.

Claim elements in this application that use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112(f) except as otherwise indicated in an Office action. Similarly, claim elements that do not use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed not to invoke 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ except as otherwise indicated in an Office action.

Claim limitation "storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner" has/have been interpreted under 35 U.S.C. 112(f) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because it uses/they use a generic placeholder "means" coupled with functional language "for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a
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predetermined manner" without reciting sufficient structure to achieve the function. Furthermore, the generic placeholder is not preceded by a structural modifier.

Since the claim limitation(s) invokes 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph, claim(s) 17 and 18 has/have been interpreted to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification that achieves the claimed function, and equivalents thereof.

A review of the specification shows that the following appears to be the corresponding structure described in the specification for the 35 U.S.C. 112(f) or preAIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph limitation: "a storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk" ([0074] of Applicant's specification as published).

If applicant wishes to provide further explanation or dispute the examiner's interpretation of the corresponding structure, applicant must identify the corresponding structure with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters in response to this Office action.

If applicant does not intend to have the claim limitation(s) treated under 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph, applicant may amend the claim(s) so that it/they will clearly not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112 (f) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, or present a sufficient showing that the claim recites/recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function to preclude application of 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph.

For more information, see MPEP § 2173 et seq. and Supplementary Examination Guidelines for Determining Compliance With 35 U.S.C. 112 and for Treatment of Related Issues in Patent Applications, 76 FR 7162, 7167 (Feb. 9, 2011).

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 line 6 "to the the plurality" should be "to the plurality"; claim 2 "where the plurality of positions configured for sensor attachment to the body of the subject includes at least two of the following: a wrist... and a head" should be "where the sensor is further configured to be arranged at two or more of the following positions: a wrist... and a head"; and claim 8 line 1 "comprising," should be "comprising:". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112(a):
(a) IN GENERAL.—The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or joint inventor of carrying out the invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112:
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Claims 1-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{a})$ or 35 U.S.C. 112 (preAIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, the specification as originally filed did not provide for "a plurality of positions within surface zones on the body" (claim 1 lines 2-3, claim 8 lines 2-3). The Office notes that "surface" and "zone" were not used in Applicant's specification as originally filed; further, Applicant did not provide an explicit citation for support for this within Applicant's specification as originally filed, and the Office has not found any support for this within Applicant's specification as originally filed.

Regarding claims 1, 7-14 and 17-19, and 21, the specification as originally filed did not provide for "a compensation method equation" (claim 1 line 7, claim 1 lines 8-9, claim 7 line 2, claim 8 lines 6-7, claim 8 lines 8 -9, claim 8 lines 12-13, claim 9 line 3 , claim 10 line 5, claim 11 line 3, claim 12 line 3, claim 12 line 4, claim 13 line 2, claim 13 line 5, claim 14 line 2, claim 17 line 5, claim 18 lines 2-3, claim 19 line 5 and claim 21 line 8); and further did not provide for the/a "compensation method equation" with respect to "identify[ing] the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject" (claim 1 lines 5-7, claim 8 lines 5-6, claim 9 lines 2-3, claim 10 lines 4-5, claim 11 lines 2-3, claim 12 lines 2-3, claim 13 lines 4-5, claim 21 lines 6-8); "to derive a subject-related value from the measured value" (claim 1 line 8); "to select a
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subject of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject" (claim 7 lines 2-4); "to convert... the measured valued into an estimated value" (claim 8 lines 8-10); "to derive a subject-related value from the estimated measured value" (claim 8 line 12); "to further perform... a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values" (claim 12 lines 4-5); "to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity" (claim 13 lines 2-3); "in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity... to perform the determination in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 14 lines 2-4); "to establish that the subject is performing the predetermined activity" (claim 17 lines 56); "to establish that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities" (claim 18 lines 3-4); and "to derive the measured value... where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the further measured value" (claim 19 lines 4-6). The Office notes that "compensation method equation" was not used in Applicant's specification as originally filed; further, Applicant did not provide an explicit citation for support for this within Applicant's specification as originally filed, and the Office has not found any support for this within Applicant's specification as originally filed. The Office notes that the only use of "equation" in Applicant's specification as originally filed was with respect to paragraph 0006 as published.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable
one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant's disclosure, as originally filed, did not enable one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use both "a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject" (claim 16 lines $2-3$ ) and to also "identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation," (claim 1 lines 5-7).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure as originally filed does not provide support for "to determine a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and at least one stored pattern" (claim 17 lines 7-8) as the disclosure instead is drawn to, "determine how the actual pattern compares to the optimal pattern," (paragraph 0046 of Applicant's specification as filed), and this is more specific than allowing for comparison to two or more stored patterns (see "at least one stored pattern" in line 8 of claim 17).

Claims 2-7, 9-19 and 22 are rejected as at least depending on claim 1 as well as any intervening claims that are rejected.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(b):

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121
Page 9
Art Unit: 3739
(b) CONCLUSION.-The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (b) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1 line 3 and claim 8 line 3, "the body of the subject" lacks antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 21, it is unclear if "the location" (claim 1 lines 5-6; claim 8 line 5; claim 21 line 6) should be "the position" (see claim 1 lines 2 and 10; claim 8 lines 2 and 6; claim 21 lines 3, 7 and 10); if not, "the location" lacks antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 21 , it is unclear if "where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions" (claim 1 lines 6; claim 8 line 6; claim 21 lines 6-7) should be "where the sensor is attached to one of the plurality of positions"; or if Applicant intended to claim a single sensor attached to multiple positions at once.

Regarding claims 1, 7, 9-14 and 17-19, it is unclear if "a compensation method equation" (claim 1 lines 8-9, claim 7 line 2, claim 9 line 3, claim 10 line 5, claim 11 line 3, claim 12 line 3, claim 12 line 4, claim 13 line 2, claim 13 line 5, claim 14 line 2, claim 17 line 5, claim 18 lines 2-3, and claim 19 line 5) is the same or different from "a
compensation method equation" as claimed in line 7 of claim 1. In other words, it is unclear if one equation or multiple equations are claimed.

Regarding claims 9-13, it is unclear if "the location" (claim 9 line 2; claim 10 line 4; claim 11 line 2; claim 12 line 2; claim 13 line 4) should be "the position" (see claim 1 lines 2 and 10).

Regarding claims 9-13, it is unclear if "where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions" (claim 9 lines 2-3; claim 10 lines 4-5; claim 11 lines 2-3; claim 12 lines 2-3; claim 13 line 4) should be "where the sensor is attached to one of the plurality of positions"; or if Applicant intended to claim a single sensor attached to multiple positions at once.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear what elements/limitations are claimed to be related to other elements/limitations with respect to the word "respectively."

Claims 2-7, 9-19 and 22 are rejected as ultimately depending on claim 1 and any rejected intervening claims.

Regarding claim 8, it is unclear if "a compensation method equation" (claim 8 lines 8-9 and claim 8 lines 12-13) is the same or different from "a compensation method equation" as claimed in lines 6-7 of claim 8. In other words, it is unclear if one equation or multiple equations are claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121
Art Unit: 3739

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148
USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was
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not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-19 and 21 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 2004/0102931 (Ellis).

Regarding claim 1, Ellis discloses a measuring system (see entire document, including Figures $19,25,50,53,54,61,70$ and 78 ) comprising: a sensor (INC of 1910 Figure 19, 0245-0246; INC of Figure 25, 0261-0264; INC 5320 of Figures 53-54 as part of MPNs of Figures 53-54, also MPN Figure 50, 0333 and 0336-0339; INC of 6130 and/or 6140 of Figure 61, 0356-0357; INC/accelerometer in 7010 of Figure 70, 0379; INC/accelerometer(s) of 7810, 7815 Figure 78, 0390-0392) arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within surface zones on the body of a subject (02450246; 2534 of Figure 25, 0262-0263; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0357; 7022 of Figure 70,$0379 ; 7810,7815$ of Figure $78,0390-0392$ ) for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (0245-0246; 02610264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0357; 0379; 0390-0392); and a processor (base station of 1930 Figure 19, $0247 ; 2540$ of Figure 25; 5240 of Figures 53 and $54 ; 6150$ of Figure 61, 0356-0363; control unit with 7030 Figure 70, 0379; control unit or computer with 7840,7845 of Figure 78 ) coupled to the sensor ( 0247 ; 0261-0264; 0333 and 03360339; 0356-0363; 0379;0390-0392), said processor being arranged to identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a
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compensation method equation (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264;
0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379; 0390-0392), and to derive a subject-related value from the measured value, using a compensation method equation, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the position of the sensor on the subject (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379-0380; 0390-0392). Should one not agree that Ellis discloses the claimed limitations expressly, the Office notes that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use equations, including compensation method equations, to arrive at the disclosed outputs of Ellis, as the use of equations, including compensation method equations, would have been an obvious design expedient and as a predictable result would ensue with the use of such equations.

Regarding claims 2-7 and 9-19, Ellis discloses, or alternatively teaches, that the plurality of positions configured for sensor attachment to the body of the subject includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head; wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject; wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure; wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of a body part associated with the position on the subject where the sensor is attached; wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG or acceleration; wherein the processor is further arranged, using a compensation method equation, to select a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of
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the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is configured to generate a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset; wherein the processor, in identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of position on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged for performing the determination in dependence on the measured value; wherein the measuring system is further arranged to obtain, from the sensor, the measured value or a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the processor, in identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to perform the identification in dependence on the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval; wherein the processor, in identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to further perform the identification on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively; wherein the processor, in identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to further perform, using a compensation method equation, a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval; wherein the processor is further arranged, using a compensation method equation, to determine that the user is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the processor, in identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method
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equation, is arranged to use at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the at least one measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity; wherein the processor, using a compensation method equation, in determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, is arranged to perform the determination in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity; wherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity; wherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject; wherein said measuring system further comprises: storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner, and wherein said processor, using a compensation equation, is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing the predetermined activity, to determine a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and at least one stored pattern, and to provide feedback in dependence on the similarity measure; wherein the processor, in establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity, is arranged, using a compensation method equation, to establish that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities; and wherein said measuring system further comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the processor, in deriving the subject-related value, is arranged to derive the measured
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value, using a compensation method equation, where the derivation of the subjectrelated value also depends on the further measured value (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379-0380; 03900392; see also the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejections above with respect to claim language that is unclear). Should one not agree that Ellis discloses the claimed limitations expressly, the Office notes that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use equations, including compensation method equations, to arrive at the disclosed outputs of Ellis, as the use of equations, including compensation method equations, would have been an obvious design expedient and as a predictable result would ensue with the use of such equations.

Regarding claim 8, Ellis discloses a measuring system (see entire document, including Figures $19,25,50,53,54,61,70$ and 78 ) comprising: a sensor (INC of 1910 Figure 19, 0245-0246; INC of Figure 25, 0261-0264; INC 5320 of Figures 53-54 as part of MPNs of Figures 53-54, also MPN Figure 50, 0333 and 0336-0339; INC of 6130 and/or 6140 of Figure 61, 0356-0357; INC/accelerometer in 7010 of Figure 70, 0379; INC/accelerometer(s) of 7810, 7815 Figure $78,0390-0392$ ) arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within surface zones on the body of a subject (02450246; 2534 of Figure 25, 0262-0263; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0357; 7022 of Figure 70, 0379; 7810, 7815 of Figure $78,0390-0392$ ) for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (0245-0246; 02610264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0357; 0379; 0390-0392); and a processor (base
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station of 1930 Figure 19, $0247 ; 2540$ of Figure $25 ; 5240$ of Figures 53 and $54 ; 6150$ of Figure 61, 0356-0363; control unit with 7030 Figure 70,0379 ; control unit or computer with 7840,7845 of Figure 78 ) coupled to the sensor ( $0247 ; 0261-0264 ; 0333$ and 03360339; 0356-0363; 0379;0390-0392), said processor being arranged to identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation equation (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339;0356-0363; 0379; 0390-0392), wherein said processor is further arranged to convert, using a compensation method equation, the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379; 0390-0392) and to derive a subject-related value from the estimated value, using a compensation method equation (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379-0380; 0390-0392). Should one not agree that Ellis discloses the claimed limitations expressly, the Office notes that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use equations, including compensation method equations, to arrive at the disclosed outputs of Ellis, as the use of equations, including compensation method equations, would have been an obvious design expedient and as a predictable result would ensue with the use of such equations.

Regarding claim 21, Ellis discloses a method of deriving a value relating to a subject (see entire document, including Figures $19,25,50,53,54,61,70$ and 78 ), the method comprising: attaching a sensor (INC of 1910 Figure 19, 0245-0246; INC of
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Figure 25, 0261-0264; INC 5320 of Figures 53-54 as part of MPNs of Figures 53-54, also MPN Figure 50, 0333 and 0336-0339; INC of 6130 and/or 6140 of Figure 61, 03560357; INC/accelerometer in 7010 of Figure 70, 0379; INC/accelerometer(s) of 7810, 7815 Figure $78,0390-0392$ ) to any one of a plurality of positions on the subject (02450246; 2534 of Figure 25, 0262-0263; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0357; 7022 of Figure 70, 0379; 7810, 7815 of Figure 78, 0390-0392); obtaining, in a processor (base station of 1930 Figure 19, 0247; 2540 of Figure 25; 5240 of Figures 53 and $54 ; 6150$ of Figure 61,0356-0363; control unit with 7030 Figure 70,0379 ; control unit or computer with 7840, 7845 of Figure 78), at least one measured value from the sensor attached to the subject (0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379; 0390-0392), the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (02450246; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0357; 0379; 0390-0392); identifying, using the processor, the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation equation (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379; 0390-0392); and deriving, using the processor, a subject-related value from the measured value in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject (see entire document, including 0245-0247; 0261-0264; 0333 and 0336-0339; 0356-0363; 0379-0380; 03900392). Should one not agree that Ellis discloses the claimed limitations expressly, the Office notes that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use equations, including compensation method equations, to arrive at the disclosed outputs of Ellis, as the use of equations, including
compensation method equations, would have been an obvious design expedient and as a predictable result would ensue with the use of such equations.

Claim 22 is rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis.

Ellis does not expressly disclose that the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration. However, the Office takes Official Notice that it was well known to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use tri-axial acceleration as the acceleration in a device measuring body movement, such as the device of Ellis, as this would provide for measuring body movement in all directions with a single accelerometer each device, thereby providing more data with fewer accelerometers and/or devices.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19, 21 and 22 have been considered but are moot because the arguments do not apply to any of the references being used in the current rejection.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP
§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37
CFR $1.136(\mathrm{a})$.
A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)2722951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $82008 / 12 / 14$ |
| S2 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $20: 32$ |
| S3 | 46 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; IEPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2008 / 12 / 14$ |
| S4 | 78 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT: IEPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $20: 33$ |
| S5 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; ldERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 33 \end{aligned}$ |
| S6 | 132 | S1 or S2 or S3 or S4 or S5 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; IEPO; JPO; IDERWENT | OR | ON | $2008 / 12 / 14$ |
| S7 | 4 | EP-1254629-\$.did. or US-5111826\$.did. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DDERWENT | OR | ON | $82008 / 12 / 14$ |
| S8 | 1198 | 600/595.ccls. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2008 / 12 / 14}$ |
| 59 | [1 | (20030065257").PN. | USSPGPUB; | OR | OFF | $12008 / 12 / 18$ |
| 510 | 1201 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ 14: 35 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 511 | 275 | S10 and accelerometer | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $814: 35$ |
| S12 | 2 | Nasiff-Roger-E.in. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $12008 / 12 / 18$ |
| 513 | 1 | (10/266272).APP. | USPAT; | OR | ON | $=\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 22: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S14 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ 23: 02 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 5 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; bDERWENT | OR | ON | $3230 / 03 / 27$ |
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| S16 | 56 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. \#:3005 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S17 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| S18 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $[2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S19 | 143 | S14 or S15 or S16 or S17 or S18 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $323: 02$ |
| S20 | 1 | ("20060161079").PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S21 | 1 | (11/332586).APP. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S22 | 5 | $\mid " 20060052727$ " \| $20060161079 " \mid$ "20060255955" \| "7028547" | " 7127370 ").PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S23 | 2 | Nasiff-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2010 / 03 / 27}$ |
| S24 | 86 | Mault-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2010 / 03 / 27}$ |
| S25 | 23 | S24 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON |  |
| S26 | 4 | S22 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $323: 26$ |
| S27 | 21 | S25 and (compensat\$ or transfer or ladjust\$ or (location with (wear worn (wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S28 | 1 | S25 and ((location with (wear worn (wearing))) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S29 | 1384 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ 23: 35 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S30 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ 23: 49 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S31 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ 23: 49 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S32 | 57 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $323: 49$ |
| S33 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | 2010/05/22 |
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|  |  | \#:3006 | USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; <br> DERWENT |  |  | $23: 49$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S34 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2320105 / 22$ |
| S35 | 144 | S30 or S31 or S32 or S33 or S34 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| S36 | 87 | Mault-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ 23: 50 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S37 | 23 | S36 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $32$ |
| S38 | 1 | 537 and ((location with (wear worn [wearing)) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ 23: 50 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S39 | 1411 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ 23: 50 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S40 | 5 | "497572".ap. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\}$ |
| S41 | 9 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12$ |
| S42 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S43 | 58 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S44 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S45 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S46 | 145 | S41 or S42 or S43 or S44 or S45 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S47 | 5 | S46 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation Compensate compensating adjust ladjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S48 | 167 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
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| S49 | 1502 | 600/595.ccls. \#:300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S50 | 135 | S48 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust ladjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple different) with (body user subject wearer person human)) | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | S |
| S51 | 1 | (10/986303).APP. | USPAT; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 17: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S52 | 0 | ("7107180").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 17: 04 \end{aligned}$ |
| 553 | 1 | ("6560903").PN. | US-PGPUB; | OR | OFF | $\sqrt{2010 / 10 / 31}$ |
| S54 | 7 | "942802".ap. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ 18: 22 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S55 | 21 | ("6560903").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| S65 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S66 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2011 / 04 / 23}$ |
| S67 | 61 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2011 / 04 / 23$ |
| S68 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | S2011/04/23 |
| S69 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\text { / }{ }^{2011 / 04 / 23} 22: 29$ |
| S70 | 153 | S65 or S66 or S67 or S68 or S69 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2011 / 04 / 23}$ |
| S71 | 184 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $2011 / 04 / 23$ |
| S72 | 1592 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2011 / 04 / 23}$ |
| 573 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $0$ |
| S74 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | 2014/06/16 |
| S75 | 73 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | UUS-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $2014 / 06 / 16$ |

Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS
Document 87-4 Filed 07/31/20
-
Page 169 of 540
Page ID


Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 Filed 07/31/20 Page 170 of 540 Page ID

| 591 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien. iff:3009 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 592 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $18: 42$ |
| 593 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S94 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| S95 | 168 | 590 or 591 or 592 or 593 or 594 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2015 / 02 / 07} 18: 42$ |
| S96 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S97 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S98 | 76 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| 599 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S100 | : 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $1 \begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| S101 | 168 | 596 or S97 or S 98 or 599 or S 100 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S102 | 11 | S101 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust ladjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S103 | 341 | 702/160.cls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 18: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S104 | $\sqrt{106}$ | S103 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON |  |
| S105 | 2555 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2015 / 02 / 07}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| S106 | 1248 | S105 and @ad<"20061205" \#:3010 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $18: 50$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S107 | 15922 | (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt leg chest neck head forehead sweatband) same (calorie energy) same (activity walk walking run running jog jogging standard standardized) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $18: 55$ |
| S108 | 13028 | S107 and (compensat\$ or transter or ladjust\$ or (location with (wear worn wearing)) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $18: 56$ |
| S109 | 8 | S107 and ((compensat\$ or transfer or ladjust\$) with (location with (wear worn (wearing))) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $18: 57$ |
| S110 | 7 | S109 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S111 | 2 | (("8795137") or ("20040102931")).PN. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | OFF | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S112 | 688 | A61B5/065.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S113 | 1566 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S114 | 541 | A61B5/1123.cpc. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S115 | 1316 | A61B5/681.cpc. | US-PGPUB; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 45 \end{aligned}$ |
| S116 | 719 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S117 | 200 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S118 | 2196 | A61B5/065.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { IPERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S119 | 3931 | A61B5/1118.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\{19: 46$ |
| S120 | 1343 | A61B5/1123.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPBB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { IPERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $1 \begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 46 \end{aligned}$ |
| S121 | 3854 | A61B5/681.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; <br> FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S122 | 1665 | A61B5/7278.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IPRS; EPO; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 47 \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  | Document $87-4 \quad$ F $\#: 301$ | Filed 07/31/ lldERWENT; IBM TDB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S123 | 440 | A61B5/6802.cpc. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $19: 47$ |
| S124 | 73 | S123 and @ad<"20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IJPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { UPERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S125 | 357 | S122 and (S119 S120) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; IPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM ITDB | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S126 | 82 | S125 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; IERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2015 / 02 / 07}$ |
| S127 | 0 | S126 not S125 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; IPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM ITBB | OR | ON | $12015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S128 | 39 | S126 not S124 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; IERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 53 \end{aligned}$ |
| S129 | 10 | S118 and (S119 S120) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPPB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IPRO; } \mathrm{IPO} ; \\ & \text { IERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S130 | O | S129 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TIDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S131 | 738 | S118 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TIDB | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 19: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S132 | $623$ | S121 and (S119 S120) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IPRS; EPO; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |

Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} -K S \\ \text { Document } 87-4 \\ \#: 3012 \end{aligned}$ | Filed 07/31/ ZDERWENT; BM TDB |  |  | Pag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S133 | 109 | S132 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $2$ |
| S134 | 85 | S133 not (S124 S126) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TIDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S135 | 2792 | A611B2560/0223.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPBB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { IPRO; EPO; } \\ & \text { IERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TRB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S136 | 5945 | A61 B2562/0219.cpc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S137 | 54 | S135 and (S119 S120) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { IBRWENT; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S138 | 13 | \|S137 and @ad< "20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { IBMENT; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| S139 | 13 | S138 not (S124 S126 S133) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { FPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { JPO; } \\ & \text { IBMWENT; } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| S140 | 1729 | S136 and (S119 S120) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S141 | 591 | S140 and @ad<"20061205" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US-PGPUB; } \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { JPRS; EPO; } \\ & \text { DERWENT; } \\ & \text { IBM TDB } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 02 / 07 \\ & 20: 31 \end{aligned}$ |
| S142 | $481$ | S141 not (S124 S126 S133 S138) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |

Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS

|  |  | \#:3013 | DERWENT; <br> IBM TDB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S143 | 4758 | (S119 S120) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S144 | 4097 | S143 not (S124 S126 S133 S138 S141) | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |
| S145 | 807 | S144 and @ad<"20061205" | US-PGPUB; USPAT; FPRS; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM TDB | OR | ON | $2015 / 02 / 07$ |

## EAST Search History (Interference)

| Ref $\#$ | Hits | Search Query | DBs | Default Operator | Plurals | Time Stamp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S56 | 4 | Goris-Annelies.in. | USPGPUB USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON |  |
| S57 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ 16: 01 \end{array}\right.$ |
| S58 | 55 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| 559 | 3 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US- PGPUB; USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON | $1 / 2010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S60 | \% | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON |  |
| S61 | 60 | S56 S57 S58 S59 S60 | US- <br> PGPUB; <br> USPAT; <br> UPAD | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S62 | 5 | S61 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | USPGPUB USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S63 | 2 | S61 and (wrist arm leg shank ankle shoe chest neck head waist belt back). clm. | USPGPUB; USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON | $1$ |
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## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) ..... : Goris et al.
Serial No. ..... : $12 / 097,121$
Filed ..... $: \quad$ June 12, 2008
For : Detection and Compensation Method for Monitoring the Place of Activity on the Body
Group Art Unit ..... 3739
Examiner Emily M. Lloyd
Confirmation No. ..... 8272
Mail Stop: Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
AMENDMENT

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed June 19, 2014, in the above-identified application, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within surface zones on the body of the subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to establish identify the location where the sensor is attached to the at which of the plurality of subject positions on the subject the senser is attached, using a compensation method equation, and
to derive a subject-related value from the measured value, using a compensation method equation, also in-dependence where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the position of the sensor on the subject.
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions configured for sensor attachment to the body of the subject includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head.
3. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1 , wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of a body part associated with the position on the subject [[at which]] where the sensor is attached.
6. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the measured
value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration.
7. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processor is further arranged, using a compensation method equation, to select a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is configured to generate [[generates]] a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (Currently Amended) [[The]] A measuring system as claimed in elaim 1 comprising, a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within surface zones on the body of the subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation,
wherein said processor is further arranged to convert, using a compensation method equation,
the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and to derive [[the]] a subject-related value from the estimated measured value, using a compensation method equation.
9. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processor, in establishing which of identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of subject positions on the subject the sensor is atach, using a compensation method equation. is arranged for performing the determining determination in dependence on the measured value.
10. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 9, wherein the processer measuring system is further arranged to obtain, from the sensor, the measured value or a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the processor, in establishing at Which of identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of subject positions
on the subject the sensor is attached, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to perform the establishing identification in dependence on the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10 , wherein the processor, in establishing which of identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of subject positions on the subject the senser is attached, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to further perform the establishing identification on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 10, wherein the processor, in establishing at which of identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of subject positions on the subject the sensor is attached, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to further perform, using a compensation method equation, a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 9, wherein the processor is further arranged, using a compensation method equation, to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the processor, in establishing at which-of identifying the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of subject positions on the subject the sensor is attached, using a compensation method equation, is arranged to use at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
14. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the processor, using a compensation method equation, in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, is arranged to perform the [[determining]] determination in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
15. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 13, wherein said
measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuring system further comprises:
storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing [[the]] a predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
and wherein said processor, using a compensation method equation, is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing [[a]] the predetermined activity,
to determine a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived
value and [[the]] at least one stored pattern, and
to provide feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.
18. (Currently Amended) The measuring system as claimed in claim 17, wherein the processor, in establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity, is arranged, using a compensation method equation, to establish that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (Currently Amended) A measuring system as claimed in claim 1 , wherein said measuring system further comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the processor, in deriving the subject-related value, is arranged to derive the measured value, using a compensation method equation, also in dependence where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the further measured value.
20. (Cancelled)
21. (Currently Amended) A method of deriving a value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
attaching a sensor to any one of a plurality of positions on [[a]] the subject;
obtaining, in a processor, at least one measured value from the sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; establishing at which of identifying, using the processor, the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of subject positions on the subject themer is attached; , using a compensation method equation; and
deriving, using the processor, [[the]] a subject-related value from the measured value in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
22. (Previously Presented) The measuring system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration.

## REMARKS

## I. INTRODUCTION

Claims 1-2, 5, 7-14, 17-19, and 21 have been amended. These claim amendments are supported by at least page 2 , lines $25-33$; page 3 , lines $27-34$; page 4 , lines $7-20$; page 5 , lines 1 10 and $14-34$; page 6 , lines $1-4$; page 7 , lines $25-34$; page 9 , lines $15-34$; page 10 , lines $1-32$; page 11, lines 1-33; page 12, lines 1-32; page 13, lines 1-19; and Figs. 3, 4, 5A, 5B, 6 and 7 of the originally filed specification. No new matter has been added. Thus, claims 1-19 and 21-22 remain pending in the present application. In light of the above amendments and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance.

## II. THE CLAIM OBJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1, 17 and 21 stand objected to for informalities. In view of the amendments to these claims, the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## III. THE 35 U.S.C. \& 112 REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-19 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Specifically, the Examiner states that these claims "contain[] subject matter which was not described in the specification as to reasonably convey ... that the inventor had possession of the invention" (See 6/19/14 Office Action, p.6). With regard to claim 1, the Examiner states that it is unclear how the processor performs the claimed functions of establishing "at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached." (Id. at p.6,25). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion.

As amended, claims 1 and 9-13 recite a processor being arranged "to identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation." This amendment is supported by at least page 9 , lines 23-34; page 10 , lines 1 32; page 11, lines 1-33 and Figs. 4, 5A, 5B, and 6 of the originally filed specification. Thus,
claims 1 and $9-13$ recite a processor that establishes the location of the sensor attachment to the subject, which is accomplished through the use of a compensation method equation. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments address the Examiner's comments.

With regard to claims 1, 8 and 19, the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to derive a subject-related value from the measured value." (6/19/14 Office Action, p.7-9). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As amended, claims 1,8 , and 19 recite a processor being arranged "to derive a subject-related value from the measured value, using a compensation method equation, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the position of the sensor on the object." This amendment is supported by at least page 11 , lines $33-34$; page 12, lines $1-15$; and Figs. 5A, 5B, and 6 of the originally filed specification.

With regard to claim 8 , the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to convert the measured value into an estimated value" (6/19/14 Office Action, p.8). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As amended, claim 8 recites a processor "further arranged to convert, using a compensation method equation, the measured value into an estimated measured value." This amendment is supported by at least page 10 , lines 1-32; page 11, lines 1-5; and Figs. 5A-5B of the originally filed specification..

With regard to claim 10, the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to obtain, from the sensor, a plurality of measured values" (6/19/14 Office Action, p.9). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As amended, claim 10 recites a measuring system that "is further arranged to obtain, from the sensor, the measured value or a plurality of measured values." This amendment is supported by at least page 7 , lines 25-34; page 9, lines 15-22; and Fig. 3 of the originally filed specification..

With regard to claim 12, the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to perform a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values" (6/19/14 Office Action, p.9). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As
amended, claim 12 recites a processor arranged to "perform, using a compensation method equation, a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values." This amendment is supported by at least page 11 , lines $6-32$; page 12 , lines $16-32$; page 13 , lines $1-2$; Figs. 5A, 6, and 7 of the originally filed specification..

With regard to claims 13-14, the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity" (6/19/14 Office Action, p.10). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As amended, claims 13 and 14 recite a processor "further arranged, using a compensation method equation, to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity." This amendment is supported by at least page 10 , lines $1-25$; page 12, lines $16-32$; page 13, lines 1-18; and Figs. 5 A and 7 of the originally filed specification..

With regard to claim 17-18, the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to determine that the subject is performing a predetermined activity" (6/19/14 Office Action, pp.10-11). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As amended, claims 17 and 18 recite a "processor, using a compensation method equation, is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing a predetermined activity." This amendment is supported by at least page 10 , lines $1-25$; page 12 , lines $16-32$; page 13 , lines $1-18$; and Figs. 5A and 7 of the originally filed specification..

With regard to claim 17, the Examiner stated that it is unclear how the processor is "arranged to determine a similarity measure" and "arranged to provide feedback in dependence on the similarity measure" (6/19/14 Office Action, p.11). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. As amended, claim 17 recites a "processor, using a compensation method equation, is further arranged ...to determine a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and to provide feedback in dependence on the similarity measure." This amendment is supported by at least page 11 , lines $6-32$; page 12 , lines $7-34$; page 12, lines 1-6; page 13, lines 1-18; and Figs. 5B and 6 of the originally filed specification..

The Examiner states that, with regards to claims 13-14, it is unclear how or why a measured value is used to determine if a user is performing a standardized activity if the user directly tells the device that he/she is performing a standardized activity. (6/19/14 Office Action, pp. 10, 12). Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to page 11 , lines $6-32$ of the originally filed application. In this portion of the specification, it is clearly disclosed that user entry indicating the user's performance of a standardized activity is an alternative to sensor acquisition and subsequent data processing of measured values during the activity (Original Specification, p. 11, lines 14-16). The alternative to user entry, which includes sensor acquisition and sensor attachment position determination, is also described in this portion of the specification (Original Specification, p. 11, lines 6-13). Thus, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claim 13 and its dependent claims 14-15 for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 13.

In addition, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) rejections of claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-19 and 22 for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 1.

Claims 1-9 and claims 21-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for being indefinite (6/19/14 Office Action, p.12). With regards to claims 1-19 and 21-22, these claims have been amended to overcome the formatting deficiencies indicated by the Examiner.

In addition, the Examiner stated that, with regards to claims 13-14, it is unclear how or why a measured value is used to determine if a user is performing a standardized activity if the user directly tells the device that he/she is performing a standardized activity. (6/19/14 Office Action, p.152). Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the above 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) discussion of claims 13 and 14. Thus, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 (b) rejections of claim 13 and its dependent claim 14 for at least the foregoing reasons.

Furthermore, the Examiner states that it is unclear how claim 14 further limits claim 13. (See 11/15/10 Office Action, p. 29). Claim 13 relates to the processor arrangement for
determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity," whereas claim 14 further explains that this determination is conducted "in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity." Thus, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112 , second paragraph, rejection of claims 1-19 and 21-22.

Claims 8 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph, for being indefinite (6/19/14 Office Action, p.17). These claims have been amended to overcome the formatting deficiencies indicated by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. $\S 112$, fourth paragraph, rejection of claims 8 and 11.

## IV. THE 35 U.S.C. § $103(\mathrm{a})$ REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Merkel (U.S. Published App. No. 2007/0032981) in view of Applicants' assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art (hereinafter referred to as "Applicants' previous statement") (See 6/19/14 Office Action, pp.18-25).

Claim 1 recites, "[a] measuring system comprising: a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions within surface zones on the body of the subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject; and a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation, and to derive a subject-related value from the measured value, using a compensation method equation, where the derivation of the subject-related value also depends on the position of the sensor on the subject."

Merkel discloses a wearable fitness device that is interchangeable with a plurality of wearable articles. (See Merkel, Abstract). The Examiner correctly acknowledges that Merkel fails to disclose "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject" (06/19/14 Office Action, p.19).

Meanwhile, the Examiner stated that Merkel implicitly teaches these limitations. To cure this deficiency, the Examiner relies on Applicants' statement that "[i]f Mault did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient (which Applicants do NOT concede), one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." (See August 25, 2010 Amendment, p. 14, 11. 9-13).

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. First, Applicants note that Merkel does not disclose a wearable fitness device capable of placement in multiple locations within zones on the subject's body. In contrast, Merkel discloses that the fitness device must be placed in the correct orientation on the body (Merkel, 141-42). Although the Examiner states that the fitness device of Merkel can be placed "on different parts of the body throughout the day," (6/19/14 Office Action, p.26), Applicants disagree with this interpretation; Merkel states that its fitness device must be securely attached in the proper position on the body, in order to take accurate measurements (Merkel, ${ }^{\text {q }}$ 29-30).

Thus, Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion that Applicants' previous statement renders the claims obvious. Applicants' previous statement was an identification of a problem that one of ordinary skill in the art would seek to cure in the Mault reference. However, the mere recognition of the existence of a problem does not make its solution obvious (See Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 17 (1966)). The persistence of a long-felt need or problem without a solution may be a secondary consideration of nonobviousness (See id.). That is, identification of a problem does not automatically lead to the correct solution. Although one skilled in the art might recognize the need to identify the positioning of the monitoring device on the subject's body, this recognition does not teach the means for accomplishing this function. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that while Merkel discloses that its fitness device could be attached to a plurality of wearable articles, one of ordinary skill in the art would still realize that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations resulting from variable positioning of the device on the body.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Merkel and Applicants’ previous statement, alone or together, fail to disclose or suggest "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject,' as recited in claim 1. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims $2-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ should be withdrawn.

Claim 21, recites, "identifying, using the processor, the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation." Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claim 21 should be withdrawn for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 1.

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as unpatentable over Merkel and Applicant's previous statement, in view of Applicants' previous statement, and in further view of Mault et al. (U.S. Published App. No. 2001/0049470) (06/19/14 Office Action, pp.24-25).

Applicants respectfully submit that Mault fails to cure the above-identified deficiencies of Merkel and Applicant's previous statement, and that Merkel, Applicants' previous statement, and Mault, alone or in any combination, fail to disclose or suggest a "processor being arranged to identify the location where the sensor is attached to the plurality of positions on the subject, using a compensation method equation," as recited in claim 1 and, similarly in claim 21. Because claim 4 depends on and, therefore, contains all of the limitations of claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 4 should be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.
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3) $\square$ Other: $\qquad$

## DETAILED ACTION

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 July 2011 has been entered.

The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendments to claims 1-19, 21 and 22, and the cancellation of claim 20. Currently, claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are pending.

## Claim Interpretation - 35 USC § 112, Sixth Paragraph

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(f):
(f) Element in Claim for a Combination. - An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph:
An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

Use of the word "means" (or "step for") in a claim with functional language creates a rebuttable presumption that the claim element is to be treated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph). The presumption that 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph) is invoked is rebutted when the function is recited with sufficient structure, material, or acts within the claim itself to entirely perform the recited function.

Absence of the word "means" (or "step for") in a claim creates a rebuttable presumption that the claim element is not to be treated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph). The presumption that 35 U.S.C. 112(f) (pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph) is not invoked is rebutted when the claim element recites function but fails to recite sufficiently definite structure, material or acts to perform that function.

Claim elements in this application that use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112(f) except as otherwise indicated in an Office action. Similarly, claim elements that do not use the word "means" (or "step for") are presumed not to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112 (f) except as otherwise indicated in an Office action.

Claim limitation "storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner" has/have been interpreted under 35 U.S.C. 112(f) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because it uses/they use a generic placeholder "means" coupled with functional language "for
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storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner" without reciting sufficient structure to achieve the function. Furthermore, the generic placeholder is not preceded by a structural modifier.

Since the claim limitation(s) invokes 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, claim(s) 17 and 18 has/have been interpreted to cover the corresponding structure described in the specification that achieves the claimed function, and equivalents thereof.

A review of the specification shows that the following appears to be the corresponding structure described in the specification for the 35 U.S.C. 112(f) or preAIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph limitation: "a storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk" ([0074] of Applicant's specification as published).

If applicant wishes to provide further explanation or dispute the examiner's interpretation of the corresponding structure, applicant must identify the corresponding structure with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters in response to this Office action.

If applicant does not intend to have the claim limitation(s) treated under 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112 , sixth paragraph, applicant may amend the claim(s) so that it/they will clearly not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112 (f) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, or present a sufficient showing that the claim recites/recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function to preclude application of 35 U.S.C. $112(\mathrm{f})$ or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

Art Unit: 3736
For more information, see MPEP § 2173 et seq. and Supplementary Examination Guidelines for Determining Compliance With 35 U.S.C. 112 and for Treatment of Related Issues in Patent Applications, 76 FR 7162, 7167 (Feb. 9, 2011).

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 17 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:
claims 1 and 17 (and any other claims with multiple elements) should be written so they comply with 37 CFR 1.75 (i); and claim 21 "positions on a subject" should be "positions on the subject". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112(a):
(a) IN GENERAL.-The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or joint inventor of carrying out the invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (preAIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a
way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 9-13, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to establish at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached." It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "using information from a feature database" (page 11 line 10), "the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are positiondependent" (page 11 lines 11-12), "the user can provide the activity monitor with input" (page 11 line 14), "Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject" (page 11 lines 16-17), "a number of predefined rules are used" (page 11 lines 17-18), exemplary rules provided on page 11 lines 18-23, "fuzzy logic rules" (page 11 line 24), "Other ways to provide a set of rules, for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan" (page 11 lines 24-25, emphasis added), "means of pattern recognition" (page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database" (page 11 lines 27-28, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11
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lines 30-32, emphasis added), "the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above" (page 12 lines 7-9), "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6 , in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Office especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding claims 1 and 19, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged ...to derive a subject-related value from the measured value." It is unclear if this function is accomplished by one or more of the examples of page 10 lines 4-22, "potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods (page 10 lines 23-25, emphasis added), one or more of the examples of page 10 line 29-page 11 line 5 , one or more of the examples of page 12 lines $1-6$, the example of page 12 lines $9-13$, "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6, in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the
individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Office especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding claim 7, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to select a subset of a predefined set." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that this function is only described on page 5 lines 1-13, and the language provided is mostly exemplary; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding claim 8, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to convert the measured value into an estimated value." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the descriptions cited for the processor arranged to derive a subject-related value from the measured value listed above and/or in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding claim 8, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to derive the subject-related value from the estimated value." It is unclear how Applicant intended

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121
Page 9
Art Unit: 3736
to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the descriptions cited for the processor arranged to derive a subject-related value from the measured value listed above and/or in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding claim 10, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to obtain, from the sensor, a plurality of measured values." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the processor, as claimed, or by "means to communicate... preferably using a wireless link 32 such a WIFI or Bluetooth" (page 9 lines 16-18), "for example by means of a wireless or wired connection" (page 7 line 33), and/or another mechanism. Further, it is unclear what other mechanisms are available besides the exemplary "wireless or wired connection" on page 7 line 33.

Regarding claim 12, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to perform a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "means of pattern recognition" (page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature
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database" (page 11 lines 27-28, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11 lines 30-32, emphasis added), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner further notes that page 6 lines $15-29$, page 11 lines $12-17$ and page 13 lines 20-21 of the specification all appear to refer to the use of a standardized activity in initializing the device, where the standardized activity is either instructed to the subject or the subject inputs the standardized activity. The only support that the Examiner found in the specification as to how the device provides the claimed function of determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to establish that the subject is performing a predetermined activity." It is unclear how
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Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what
algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the processor arranged to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity, or if this function is accomplished in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the processor arranged to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity as listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding claim 17, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to determine a similarity measure." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that the specification only provides the broad statement that "a pattern-matching technique can be used' (page 7 line 13, emphasis added) as to how the similarity measure is determined; as "can be used" indicates that other options are available, it is still unclear what boundaries Applicant intended on providing as to how this function is performed.

Regarding claim 17, it is unclear how the processor is, "arranged to provide feedback in dependence on the similarity measure." It is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that the specification provides few examples (page 7 lines 14-19) as to how the feedback in dependence on the similarity measure is provided; as such, it is unclear what boundaries Applicant intended on providing as to how this function is performed.

## Claims 2-19 and 22 are rejected as at least depending on claim 1 as well as any intervening claims that are rejected.

Regarding claims 13-15, the only support that the Office found in the specification as to how the device provides the claimed function of determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16). However, claims 13 and 14 further refer to "a measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 13) and "in dependence on at least one measured value" (claim 14). If the determination that the subject is performing a standardized activity is made based on the user providing input, it is unclear how the processor will further obtain and use (at least one) measured value in making this determination.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(b):
(b) CONCLUSION.-The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(b) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and
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distinctly claim the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 10-14, 17 and 18, it is unclear if "to derive..." (claims 1 and 8), "to perform..." (claims 10-12 and 14), "to use..." (claim 13), "to determine..." (claim 17), "to provide..." (claim 17) and "to establish..." (claim 18) is intended to further limit the processor earlier in the respective claim (e.g. "the processor is further configured to..."), or is intended to state that the measuring system, sensor, or another element is able "to derive..." (claims 1 and 8), "to perform..." (claims 10-12 and 14), "to use..." (claim 13), "to determine..." (claim 17), "to provide..." (claim 17) and "to establish..." (claim 18). Claims 2-19 and 22 are rejected as ultimately depending on claim 1 and any intervening rejected claims.

Regarding claims 1, 9-13 and 21, it is unclear if "subject positions" should be "positions on the subject" or other language that is clearly drawn to different locations on a subject's body instead of language that appears to also claim the "subject position" in the sense of if the subject is sitting, standing, etc.

Regarding claim 1, it is unclear if "also in dependence on..." is intended to further limit the subject-related value, the function "to derive a subject-related value from the measured value", the measured value, and/or another interpretation.

Regarding claims 2, 5, 9-14 and 18, it is unclear if Applicant is claiming a body part or body (e.g. "the subject"), or if Applicant is claiming that a structural element is configured to functionally relate to a body part. For example, in claim 2, it is unclear if Applicant intended to claim "at least two of the following: a wrist... and a head", or if
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Applicant intended to claim, "where the sensor if further configured to be arranged at two or more of the following: a wrist... and a head."

Regarding claims 3-7, 19 and 22, it is unclear if Applicant is claiming data and/or a signal, or if Applicant is claiming that a structural element is configured to functionally relate to data and/or a signal. For example, in claim 3, it is unclear if Applicant intended to claim "the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject", or if Applicant intended to claim, "the processor is further configured to derive the subjectrelated value based on an activity parameter of the subject."

Regarding claim 7, it is unclear if "the sensor generates" is intended to claim the step of the sensor generating, that the sensor is configured to generate, and/or is intended to claim another interpretation.

Regarding claims 9-13 and 18, it is unclear if "the processor, in establishing" is intended to claim the step of the processor establishing, that the processor is configured to establish, and/or is intended to claim another interpretation.

Regarding claim 14, it is unclear if "the processor, in determining" is intended to claim the step of the processor determining, that the processor is configured to determine, and/or is intended to claim another interpretation.

Regarding claim 17, "the predetermined activity" lacks antecedent basis. As such, it is unclear if claim 17 was intended to introduce a predetermined activity, depend on another claim, and/or be interpreted in another manner.

Regarding claim 17, it is unclear if Applicant intended to claim a single stored pattern ("the stored pattern") or if Applicant intended to claim "at least one pattern."
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Regarding claim 19, it is unclear if "the processor, in deriving" is intended to claim the step of the processor deriving, that the processor is configured to derive, and/or is intended to claim another interpretation.

Regarding claim 21, "the subject-related value" lacks antecedent basis. As such, it is unclear if claim 17 was intended to introduce a subject-related value, depend on another claim, and/or be interpreted in another manner.

Regarding claim 8, it is unclear if "to derive the subject-related value from the estimated measured value" is intended to further limit, or alternatively to replace, "to derive a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear how claim 11 can further limit claim 10, which requires "a plurality of measured values" (claim 10), and yet provide for "the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively" (claim 11). Claim 10 requires a plurality of measured values, where claim 11 only requires a single measured value. It is further unclear if Applicant intended for claim 11 to depend from claim 9, claim 10, claim 9 or 10, and/or another interpretation.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, it is unclear how "(at least one) measured value" is used in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity if the processor determines that the subject is performing a standardized activity based on input from the subject (see "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16)). In other words, if the user/subject directly tells the device that they are performing the standardized activity, it
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is unclear why and how "(at least one) measured value" would be used in furthering the determination that the user/subject is performing a standardized activity. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

Regarding claim 14, it is unclear how claim 14 further limits claim 13. It is unclear how or if "determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, is arranged to perform the determining in dependence on at least one measured value" (claim 14) further limits "to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity.... is arranged to use at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 13).

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(d):
(d) REFERENCE IN DEPENDENT FORMS.-Subject to subsection (e), a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), fourth paragraph:

Subject to the [fifth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA)], a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.
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Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(d) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. Claim 8 does not require all of the limitations of claim 1, as the subject-related value is derived from the estimated measured value while claim 1 derives the subject-related value from the measured value. Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(d) or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. Claim 11 does not require all of the limitations of claim 10, as it only requires a single measured value while claim 10 requires a plurality of measured values. Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ and 21 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2007/0032981 (Merkel) as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 1, Merkel discloses a measuring system (Figures 1-5) comprising: a sensor (see entire document, including fitness device 12 and [0036]) arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on a subject (see entire document, including Figures 1-5 and [0022]-[0034]) for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including [0036]); and means coupled to said sensor, said means being arranged to derive a subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]).

Merkel do not explicitly disclose the means are a processor arrange to establish which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached, and that the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value is also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. However, the Office notes that the invention of Merkel as a whole is drawn to implicitly teaching this limitations. Further, Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment (page 14 first full paragraph) states, "If [prior art] did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient.... one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." As Merkel
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discloses "that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to in the invention of Merkel because "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" as admitted by Applicant (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), and it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject in deriving a subject-related value in the invention of Merkel to provide "compensat[ion] for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph) that would provide for more accurate measurements with respect to the different "plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph).

Regarding claim 21, Merkel discloses a method of deriving a value relating to a subject, the method comprising: attaching a sensor to any one of a plurality of positions on a subject (see entire document, including Figures 1-5 and [0022]-[0034]); obtaining, in a means, at least one measured value from the sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including fitness device 12, [0036] and Figures 1-5); and deriving,
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using the means, the subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]).

Merkel do not explicitly disclose that the means are a processor, establishing which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and that the deriving the subject-related value from the measured value is also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. However, the Office notes that the invention of Merkel as a whole is drawn to implicitly teaching this limitations. Further, Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment (page 14 first full paragraph) states, "If [prior art] did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient.... one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." As Merkel discloses "that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to establish which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to in the invention of Merkel because "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" as admitted by Applicant (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), and it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use dependence on
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the position of the sensor on the subject in deriving a subject-related value in the invention of Merkel to provide "compensat[ion] for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph) that would provide for more accurate measurements with respect to the different "plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph).

Regarding claims $2,3,5,6,9,10,13$, and 14 , Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art teach the measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes a wrist (Merkel see entire document, including strap 26 and [0027]), a lower leg (Merkel see entire document, including anklets 14 and 16), and a waist (Merkel see entire document, including belt 18); wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]), wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of a body part associated with the position on the subject at which the sensor is attached (attaching an accelerometer to a body part (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]) will inherently represent a degree of activity of the body part the accelerometer is attached to as the signal of the accelerometer, even if used to calculate steps, will represent the degree of activity of that portion of the body during the steps; even knowing the number of steps as an activity parameter represents the activity of the body part the sensor is attached to as during normal gait the legs move with a different degree of activity than the arms which both differ from the degree of activity of the chest and back); wherein the measured value comprises acceleration

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121
Page 23
Art Unit: 3736
(Merkel see entire document, including [0036]); wherein the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on the measured value); wherein the means are further arranged for obtaining from the sensor, a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval (Merkel see entire document, including [0036], in order for an assessment based on acceleration, heart rate, and/or blood pressure, sampling of the sensor is critical in order to accurately assess both the change and maintenance of the values; as such, the sensor measures values (consecutive data points) over a time interval (any set length of time that provides for the collection of at least 2 data points with the sampling frequency used by the sensor) and these values are obtained from the sensor (as otherwise the device of Merkel can't measure), and wherein the means for establishing at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached, is arranged to perform the establishing in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on the measured values; the measured values are clearly measured during the time interval discussed above); wherein the means are further arranged to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity (Merkel see entire document, including abstract and [0006], stepping, especially as part of walking, is a standardized activity), and wherein the
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means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged to use at least one measured value obtained from the sensor (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on at least one measured value), the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity (as Merkel is designed to measure steps (see entire document), and as values when the subject is not stepping (for example, when the subject is sitting or driving a car) would not contribute to the assessment of Merkel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one measured value from the standardized activity to determining the position of the sensor on the subject); wherein the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged to perform the determining in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity (as Merkel is designed to measure steps (see entire document), and as values when the subject is not stepping (for example, when the subject is sitting or driving a car) would not contribute to the assessment of Merkel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one measured value from the standardized activity to determining the position of the sensor on the subject).

Claim 4 is rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill
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in the art as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of US 2001/0049470 (Mault).

Regarding claim 4, Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art teach the measuring system of claim 3. Merkel does not expressly teach that the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure. Mault teaches an activity parameter comprising energy expenditure (see entire document, including Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the activity parameter comprising energy expenditure as taught by Mault in the invention of Merkel to provide for tracking calories for "maintaining or losing weight" (Merkel [0006]).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 July 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant’s arguments all "means plus function" language was removed from the claims, the Office disagrees and notes that claim 17 includes "storage means for storing...."

Regarding Applicant's arguments that the previous 35 USC 112 rejections were answered with respect to Applicant's claim amendments, the Office disagrees. The Office notes that it is still unclear how the processor is able to perform the claimed functions (e.g. what algorithms are used), and that numerous unclear claim limitations remain as described above.

Regarding Applicant's arguments regarding Merkel, Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive. The Office notes that Merkel's invention is drawn to being able to place the fitness device on different parts of the body throughout the day, without the need for the user to tell the invention when and where the fitness device is placed.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)2722951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hoekstra can be reached on 571-272-7232. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.
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EPO; JPO;
DERWENT
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| S7 | 4 | EP-1254629-\$.did. or US-5111826-\$:C6067 | UsPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12008 / 12 / 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 1198 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\sqrt{2008 / 12 / 14}$ |
| S9 | 1 | ("20030065257").PN. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| 510 | 1201 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $14: 35$ |
| 511 | 275 | S10 and accelerometer | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12008 / 12 / 18$ |
| S12 | 2 | Nasiff-Roger-E.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $14: 42$ |
| 513 | 1 | (10/266272).APP. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $3220 / 03 / 27$ |
| S14 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US PGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S15 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT EPO; JPO DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| 516 | 56 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| 517 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2320102 / 27$ |
| 518 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S19 | 143 | S14 or S 15 or S 16 or S 17 or S 18 | USPGPUB; USPAT EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| 520 | 1 | ("20060161079").PN. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $323: 03$ |
| S21 | 1 | (11/332586).APP. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ 23: 03 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 522 | 5 | \|"20060052727"| ${ }^{\text {\| } 20060161079 " \mid}$ | US- | OR | ON | [2010/03/27 |


| S7 | 4 | EP-1254629-\$.did. or US-5111826-\$:C6067 | usPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $320: 34$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 1198 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2008 / 12 / 14$ |
| 59 | 1 | ("20030065257").PN. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $12008 / 12 / 18$ |
| 510 | 1201 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $1$ |
| 511 | 275 | S10 and accelerometer | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $14$ |
| 512 | 2 | Nasiff-Roger-E.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $142008 / 12 / 18$ |
| 513 | 1 | (10/266272).APP. | USPAT; | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 22: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S14 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2$ |
| S15 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON |  |
| 516 | 56 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2310 / 03 / 27$ |
| 5 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| 518 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT EPO; JPO DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S19 | 143 | S14 or S15 or S16 or S17 or S18 | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $23$ |
| 520 | 1 | ("20060161079").PN. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $323: 03$ |
| 521 | 1 | (11/332586).APP. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { USOCR } \end{aligned}$ | OR | ON | $323: 03$ |
| 522 | 5 | \|"20060052727" | "20060161079 | | US- | OR | ON | /2010/03/27 |

Page 228 of 540
Page ID

Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 Filed 07/31/20 Page 229 of 540 Page ID

|  |  | \|"20060255955" | "7028547" | \#:3068 | PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR |  |  | 23:04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S23 | 2 | Nasiff-\$.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $223: 23$ |
| S24 | 86 | Mault-\$.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $32010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S25 | 23 | S24 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $22010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S26 | ${ }^{4}$ | S22 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S27 | 21 | S25 and (compensat\$ or transfer or adjust\$ or (location with (wear worn wearing))) | USPGPUB USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S28 | 1 | S25 and ((location with (wear worn wearing))) | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S29 | 1384 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $22010 / 03 / 27$ |
| S30 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $323: 49$ |
| S31 | ${ }^{1}$ | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| S32 | 57 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2$ |
| S33 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US- <br> PGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2$ |
| S34 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2$ |
| S35 | 144 | 530 or $\$ 31$ or $\$ 32$ or $\$ 33$ or $\$ 34$ | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $3230105 / 22$ |
| 536 | 87 | Mault-\$.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | 2010/05/22 |
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| 537 | 23 | S36 and (wrist watch arm forearm +wa369 belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 538 | 1 | S37 and ((location with (wear worn wearing)) | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| 539 | 1411 | 600/595.ccls. | USPPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| 540 | 5 | "497572".ap. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $14: 41$ |
| S41 | 9 | Goris-Annelies.in. | USPPGPUB; USPAT; : EPO; JPO; DEERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| 542 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $3$ |
| 543 | 58 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT: EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| 544 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPD; JPO; DEERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S45 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $=12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| 546 | 145 | S41 or S42 or S43 or S 44 or S 45 | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| 547 | 5 | S46 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)). clm. | US PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 548 | 167 | 702/160.ccls. | USIPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| S49 | 1502 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| 550 | 135 | S48 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate | USPPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ${ }^{\text {ON }}$ | $16$ |

Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 Filed 07/31/20 | $\begin{gathered}\text { compensating adjust adjusting adjuttiOQ70 } \\ \text { adjustment varied various numerous many } \\ \text { multiple different) with (body user subject } \\ \text { wearer person human)) }\end{gathered}$ $\square$
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| 551 | 1 | (10/986303).APP. | USPAT; | OR | ON | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ 17: 02 \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S52 | 0 | ("7107180").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S53 | 1 | ("6560903").PN. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $18121$ |
| S54 | 7 | "942802".ap. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S55 | 21 | ("6560903").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S65 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $22011 / 04 / 23$ |
| S66 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPP; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2011 / 04 / 23$ |
| 567 | 61 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US PGPUB; USPAT EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S68 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $2011 / 04 / 23$ |
| 569 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 570 | 153 | S65 or S66 or 567 or $\mathrm{S68}$ or $\mathrm{S69}$ | USPGPUB; USPAT EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON |  |
| 571 | 184 | 702/160.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2$ |
| 572 | 1592 | 600/595.ccls. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\text { \} } \frac{2011 / 04 / 23}{22: 42}$ |

## EAST Search History (Interference)

| $\underset{\#}{\text { Ref }}$ | Hits | Search Query | DBs | Default Operator | Plurals | Time Stamp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 556 | 4 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US- | OR | ON | 2010/10/31 |


| Case 2: | $19-\mathrm{cy}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cv-06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 Filed } \\ \text { \#:3071 } \\ \hline= \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 31 / 2 \\ & \text { UPGPUB } ; \\ & \text { USPAT; } \\ & \text { UPAD } \end{aligned}$ |  | Page |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 16: 01 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S57 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-Heleen-Carolien.in. | USPGPUB USPAT; UPAD | OR |  | ON | $16$ |
| S58 | 55 | Bodlaender-Maarten-Peter.in. | US- <br> PGGUB; <br> USPAT; <br> UPAD | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S59 | 3 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P.in. | US- <br> PGPUB <br> USPAT; <br> UPAD | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S60 | 0 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US- <br> PGPUB <br> USPAT; <br> UPAD | OR |  | ON | $16$ |
| S61 | 60 | S56 S57 S58 S59 S60 | USPGPUB USPAT; UPAD | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S62 | 5 | S61 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating ladjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body Luser subject wearer person human)). dm . | US- <br> PGPUB <br> USPAT; <br> UPAD | OR |  | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S63 | 2 | S61 and (wrist arm leg shank ankle shoe chest neck head waist belt back).clm. | US- <br> PGPUB <br> USPAT <br> UPAD | OR |  | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |
| S64 | 1 | ("2008/0281234").URPN. | USPAT | OR |  | ON | $12010 / 10 / 31$ |

6/ 16/2014 2:57:30 AM
C:\Users\ elloyd1\Documents\EAST\Workspaces\12097121.wsp

| REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION(RCE)TRANSMITTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Submitted Only via EFS-Web) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application.
Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8 , 1995, or to any design application. The Instruction Sheet for this form is located at WWW.USPTO.GOV

## SUBMISSION REQUIRED UNDER 37 CFR 1.114

Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s).

Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked.
$\square$ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on $\qquad$
$\square$ Other
x Enclosed
(X) Amendment/Reply
$\square$ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)
$\square$ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)
$\square$ Other

## MISCELLANEOUS

Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR $1.103(\mathrm{c})$ for a period of months (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (i) required)
$\square$ Other

## FEES

The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed.
$\triangle$ The Director is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No 141270

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED
Patent Practitioner Signature
$\square$ Applicant Signature

| Signature of Registered U.S. Patent Practitioner |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Signature | /Edward W. Goodman/ | Date (YYYY-MM-DD) | 2011-07-21 |
| Name | Edward W. Goodman | Registration Number | 28613 |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14 , as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of
ANNELIES GORIS ET AL.

SERIAL NO.: $12 / 097,121$
FILED: June 12, 2008 ACTIVITY ON THE BODY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Sir:

## PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

In response to the Office Action mailed April 29, 2011, and the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) fled herewith, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

## REMARKS

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure in that on page 10 , lines 3 and 28, "it is undear if "a reference position" should be "the reference position".

Applicants submit that the specification is correct as it stands. In particular, there are a plurality of reterence positions on the subject (including "a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head", page 4, lines 6-8). The situation being describe in the specification on page 10 , lines 1 et seq., is when the sensor is situated on the subject in a position not corresponding to any of the reference positions. As such, the specification (at both places) correctly states ".. the sensor 6 is attached at a position on the subject that is not a reference position."

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, clam 20 has been cancelled, while the clams have been amended for carity.

The Examiner has rejected claims $1-22$ under 35 U.S.C. 112 , paragraphs 1 and 2. Applicants have amended the caims to remove all of the "means plus function" language therein, and to indicate that the "measuring system" includes a sensor and a processor, the processor performing all of the functions previously allocated to the various means plus function elements. This is supported in the specification on page 8 , lines $32-33$, or altematively page 9, lines $18-22$.

Applicants believe that the above changes and explanation answer the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 112, paragraphs 1 and 2 , rejection of the clams, and respectully request withdrawal thereof.

On page 34 of the Office Action, the Examiner states "The Examiner notes that the generic teminology for Bluetooth is not provided in the specfication amendment." Applicants submit that the specification as filed provides such a generic description, i.e. "The device 30 has means to communicate with a separate unit 31 , preferably using a wireless ink 32 such as WFI or BLUETOOTH." Applicants submit that WFI and BLUETOOTH are both examples of a wireless link 32 , which can be thought of as generic teminology.

The Examiner has rejected clams $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ and 21 under 35 U.S.C. $103(a)$ as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. $2007 / 0032981$ to Merkel et al. "as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art". The Examiner has further rejected claims 4 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. $103(a)$ as being unpatentable over Merkel et al. as modified by Applicant's assertion, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 200110049470 to Mault et al.

The Merkel et al. publication discloses wearable fitness device and fitness device interchangeable with plural wearable articles, in which various fitness devices are adapted such that they may be attached to certain pieces of wearing apparel enabling the fitness devices to be conveniently wom on the body of a user.

The Examiner has indicated that "Merkel discloses a measuring system (Figures 1-5) comprising: a sensor (see entire document, including fitness device 12 and $[0036]$ ) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject (see entire document, including Figures $1-5$ and [0022][0034]) for obtaining a measured value
representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including \{0036\}), and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]),"

Applicants submit that while Merkel et al discloses a sensor (finess device) "arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subjec", this is different from the current clam language "a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject". Merkel et al notes the importance of properly positioning the sensor (fitness device) on the body of the user (e.g., see paragraph [0041]), and that improper placement of the sensor may lead to inaccurate readings. An example would be an ankle fitness device wom on the waist of the user. Under such a circumstance, the user has two options: (1) reposition the finess device from the user's waist to the user's ankle, or (2) adapt the output signal from the finess device to compensate for its new location (it should be noted that Merkel et al does not disclose how this should be done).

Applicants submit that there is no disclosure or suggestion in Merkel et al. of attaching the sensor at any one of a plurality of positions on a subject, and then using a processor "to establish at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached, and to derive a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject".

Clam 4 includes the limitation "wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure."

The Mault et al publication discloses a diet and activity monitoring device which arguably discloses the limitation of clam 4. However, Applicants submit that Maut et al. does not supply that which is missing from Merkel et al, i.e., attaching the sensor at any one of a plurality of positions on a subject, and then using a processor "to establish at which of the pluralty of subject positions the sensor is attached, and to derive a subjectrelated value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject".

In view of the above, Applicants belleve that the subject invention, as clamed, is not rendered obvious by the prior art, either individually or collectively, and as such, is patentable thereover.

Applicants believe that this application, containing clams $1-19,21$ and 22 , is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectully requested.

Respectully submitted,
by /Edward W. Goodman/
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attomey
Tel:914-333-9611
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| Case 2:19-cv-06301-AB-KS Document 87-4 Filed 07/31/20 Page 243 of 540 Page ID <br>  |  |
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## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at any one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the suject,subject; and
a processor coupled to said sensor, said processor being arranged to establish atmeans-forestablishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attachedto, and
means for deriving to derive a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head.
3. (Currently Amended) The measuring system coceling toas claimed in 1 , wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 3, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the-a_body part associated with the position on the subject at which the sensor is attached-to.
6. (Currently Amended) The measuring system accordingtoas claimed in claim 1, wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration.
7. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 1, further comprising means-for solectingwherein the processor is further arranged to select a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arfranged for generatinggenerates a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 1 , further-comprising:
meand for convertingwherein said processor is further arranged to convert the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
___ means for deriving to derive the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.
9. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 1, wherein the means-forprocessor, in establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to $_{\perp}$ is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 9, further-comprising means for obtainingwherein the processor is further arranged to obtain, from the sensor ${ }_{2}$ a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means-forprocessor, in establishing at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached-to, is arranged formingto perform the establishing in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 10, wherein the means forprocessor, in establishing at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached $\mathrm{to}_{2}$ is arranged for performingto perform the establishing on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 10, wherein the means forprocessor, in establishing at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to comprises means for performing, is arranged to further perform a
pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. (Currently Amended) The measuring system claimed in claim 9, furthor comprising means for determiningwherein the processor is further arranged to determine that the subject is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means forprocessor, in establishing at which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached $\epsilon_{\Theta_{\perp}}$ is arranged for-usingto use at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
14. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 13, wherein the-means for processor, in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity ${ }_{2}$ is arranged for performingto perform the determining in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
15. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 13, further comprisingwherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (Currently Amended) The measuring system accordingtoas claimed in claim 1 , further comprisingwherein said measuring system further comprises a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 1 , wherein said measuring system further comprisingcomprises:
means for octablishing that the subject is-performing a prodotermined activity, storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner, and wherein said processor is further arranged to establish that the subject is performing a predetermined activity, means for determiningto determine a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and means for providingto provide feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.
18. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 17, wherein the means forprocessor, in establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity ${ }_{\perp}$ is arranged formish the establish the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (Currently Amended) AThe measuring system according toas claimed in claim 1, wherein said measuring system further comprising-comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the mand-forprocessor, in deriving the subject-related value ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}$ is arranged for derivingto derive the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. (Cancelled).
21. (Currently Amended) A method of deriving a value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
attaching a sensor to any one of a plurality of positions on a subject; obtaining, in a processor, at least one measured value from athe sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subjoct,-subject;
establishing, using the processor, at which of a-the plurality of subject
positions the sensor is attached-to;-; and deriving, using the processor, the subject-related value from the measured value in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
22. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according toas claimed in claim 6, wherein the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration.
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## Status

1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2011.

2a) $\boxtimes$ This action is FINAL. 2b) $\square$ This action is non-final.
3) $\square$ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1-22$ is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are allowed.
6) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) 1 -22 is/are rejected.
7) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are objected to.
8) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) $\square$ The drawing(s) filed on $\qquad$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 (d).
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § $119(\mathrm{a})$-(d) or (f).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some * c) $\square$ None of:

1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. $\qquad$ .
3. $\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
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2) $\square$ $\square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date $\qquad$ -.
3) $\square$ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
5)Notice of Informal Patent Application
4) 

$\qquad$ .

## DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendments to the specification, the replacement drawing sheets for Figure 7, the amendments to claims 2, 5, 9-14 and 21. Currently, claims 1-22 are pending.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 10 lines 3 and 28 it is unclear if "a reference position" should be "the reference position.

Appropriate correction is required.

The use of the trademark Bluetooth has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

## Claim Limitations Invoking 35 USC § 112 Sixth Paragraph

The Examiner notes that the following limitations in claims 1, 7-14 and 17-20 invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph, as meeting the 3-prong analysis in MPEP 2181 I: means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached

Art Unit: 3736
to (claims 1 and $9-13$ ); means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (claims 1 and 19); means for selecting a subset of a predefined set (claim 7); means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value (claim 8); means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value (claim 8); means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values (claim 10); means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal from the measured values (claim 12); means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity (claims 13 and 14); means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity (claims 17 and 18); storage means for storing at least one pattern (claim 17); means for determining a similarity measure (claim 17); means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure (claim 17); means for monitoring food consumption (claim 20); and means for deriving the calorie balance (claim 20).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 , first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim elements "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to" (claims 1 and 9-13); "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" (claims 1 and 19); "means for selecting a subset of a predefined set" (claim 7); "means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value" (claim 8); "means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value" (claim 8); "means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values" (claim 10); "means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal from the measured values" (claim 12); "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" (claims 13 and 14); "means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity" (claims 17 and 18); "storage means for storing at least one pattern" (claim 17); "means for determining a similarity measure" (claim 17); "means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure" (claim 17); "means for monitoring food consumption" (claim 20); and "means for deriving the calorie balance" (claim 20) are means (or step) plus function limitations that invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to clearly link or associate the disclosed structure, material, or acts to the claimed function such that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function.

Regarding the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to (claims 1 and 9-13), it is unclear what physical entity is

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121
Art Unit: 3736
performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 2728)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "using information from a feature database" (page 11 line 10), "the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are position-dependent" (page 11 lines 11-12), "the user can provide the activity monitor with input" (page 11 line 14), "Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject" (page 11 lines 16-17), "a number of predefined rules are used" (page 11 lines 17-18), exemplary rules provided on page 11 lines 18-23, "fuzzy logic rules" (page 11 line 24), "Other ways to provide a set of rules, for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan" (page 11 lines 24-25, emphasis added), "means of pattern recognition"

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121
Page 6
Art Unit: 3736
(page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database" (page 11 lines 27-28, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11 lines 30-32, emphasis added), "the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above" (page 12 lines 7-9), "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6, in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (claims 1 and 19), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page
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14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by one or more of the examples of page 10 lines 4-22, "potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods (page 10 lines 23-25, emphasis added), one or more of the examples of page 10 line 29-page 11 line 5 , one or more of the examples of page 12 lines $1-6$, the example of page 12 lines $9-13$, "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6, in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language
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emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for selecting a subset of a predefined set (claim 7), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements.

## Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed

 function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that this function is only described on page 5 lines 1-13, and the language provided is mostly exemplary; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.Regarding the means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value (claim 8), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity
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monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above and/or in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value (claim 8), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of
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hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above and/or in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values (claim 10), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), a "wireless or wired connection" (page 7 line 33), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity
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is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "means to communicate... preferably using a wireless link 32 such a WIFI or Bluetooth" (page 9 lines 16-18), "for example by means of a wireless or wired connection" (page 7 line 33), and/or another mechanism. Further, it is unclear what other mechanisms are available besides the exemplary "wireless or wired connection" on page 7 line 33.

Regarding the means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal from the measured values (claim 12), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical
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connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "means of pattern recognition" (page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database" (page 11 lines 27-28, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11 lines 30-32, emphasis added), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity (claims 13 and 14), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this
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particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner further notes that page 6 lines $15-29$, page 11 lines 12-17 and page 13 lines 20-21 of the specification all appear to refer to the use of a standardized activity in initializing the device, where the standardized activity is either instructed to the subject or the subject inputs the standardized activity. The only support that the Examiner found in the specification as to how the device provides the claimed function of determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16).

Regarding the means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity (claims 17 and 18), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another
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element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, or if this function is accomplished in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity as listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the storage means for storing at least one pattern (claim 17), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), a database (page 7 line 12), "storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk" (page 14 lines 1-2,
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emphasis added), and/or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements.

Regarding the means for determining a similarity measure (claim 17), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that the specification only provides the broad statement that "a pattern-matching technique can be used" (page 7 line 13 , emphasis added) as to how the similarity measure is determined; as "can be
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used" indicates that other options are available, it is still unclear what boundaries Applicant intended on providing as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure (claim 17), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), the display 10, and/or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that the specification provides few examples (page 7 lines 14-19) as to how the feedback in dependence on the similarity measure is provided; as such, it is unclear what boundaries Applicant intended on providing as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for monitoring food consumption (claim 20), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is
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unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), the buttons (21 and 22), the touch screen display (page 9 lines 9-10), and/or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that the only description in the specification as to how food consumption is monitored is on page 2 lines 16-17 which appears to describe the prior art listed on page 1 line 21.

Regarding the means for deriving the calorie balance (claim 20), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical
entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear what algorithms, if any, provide for executing this function. The Examiner notes that the specification does not provide any description as to how the calorie balance is derived.

For each means (or step) plus function limitation, Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it clearly links or associates the corresponding structure, material, or acts to the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(c) State on the record where the corresponding structure, material, or acts are set forth in the written description of the specification that perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(0) and 2181.

Claims 2-20 and 22 are rejected as at least depending on claim 1 (as well as the inclusion of claims 1 and 3 in claim 4 with regards to claim 20), as well as any means plus function limitations in each claim without written support and any additionally rejected intervening claims.

Regarding claims 13-15, claim element "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. The only support that the Examiner found in the specification as to how the device provides the claimed function of determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16). However, claims 13 and 14 further refer to "a measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 13) and "in dependence on at least one measured value" (claim 14). If the determination that the subject is performing a standardized activity is made based on the user providing input, it is unclear what structure will further obtain and use (at least one) measured value in making this determination. In other words, it appears that the "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" as disclosed in the specification does not further disclose structure corresponding to the use of (at least one) measured value in relation to the determination of performance of a standardized activity.

Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:
(a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75 (d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(0) and 2181.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

Regarding claim 20, claim element "means for monitoring food consumption" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the only description in the
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specification as to a structure for monitoring food consumption is on page 2 lines 16-17 which appears to describe the prior art listed on page 1 line 21.

Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:
(a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR $1.75(\mathrm{~d})$ and MPEP $\S \S$ 608.01(o) and 2181.
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Regarding claim 20, claim element "means for deriving the calorie balance" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the specification does not provide any description as to a structure that derives the calorie balance.

Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:
(a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification,
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perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§
608.01(0) and 2181.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-20 and 22, it is unclear what structures are claimed by the means plus function limitations rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph above, as the written disclosure does not clearly correlate the means plus functions limitations with their corresponding structure(s). Each of claims 2-20 and 22 are rejected as at least depending on claim 1 (as well as the inclusion of claims 1 and 3 in claim 4 with regards to claim 20), as well as any indefinite means plus function limitations in each claim and any additionally rejected intervening claims.

Regarding claim 8, it is unclear if the "means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value" are the same as the "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1. Further, if they are not the same, it is unclear how the "means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value" further limits the "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1 ; it is unclear if the "means for deriving the subjectrelated value from the estimated measured value" is intended to replace the "means for
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deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1 , is intended to supplement the "means for deriving..." or claim 1, or is intended to modify claim 1 in a different manner.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear how claim 11 can further limit claim 10, which requires "a plurality of measured values" (claim 10), and yet provide for "the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively" (claim 11). It is further unclear if Applicant intended for claim 11 to depend from claim 9, claim 10, claim 9 or 10, and/or another interpretation.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, it is unclear how "(at least one) measured value" is used in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity if the "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" are the input/input device for "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16). In other words, if the user/subject directly tells the device that they are performing the standardized activity, it is unclear why and how "(at least one) measured value" would be used in furthering the determination that the user/subject is performing a standardized activity. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

Regarding claim 14, it is unclear how claim 14 further limits claim 13. It is unclear how or if "the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value" (claim 14) further limits "means for determining that the
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subject is performing a standardized activity.... is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 13).

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claim 21 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the reply filed 15 February 2011. In that paper, applicant has stated "the rejection of claim 21 should be withdrawn for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 1" (page 14) (where the reasons for claim 1 included "Specifically, claim 1 is written in means plus function language, 'means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to.' (page 13)), and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the method steps of claim 21, as written, do not invoke means plus function, or step plus function, language.

The Examiner notes that it is unclear, per Applicant's arguments cited in the paragraph immediately above, if Applicant intended to claim the steps of claim 21 as claimed, that encompass any method for executing the claimed steps, or if Applicant intended to claim "step plus function" steps that are interpreted as claiming the corresponding method(s) described in Applicant's specification.
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## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
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Claims $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Publication 2007/0032981 (Merkel) as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 1, Merkel discloses a measuring system (Figures 1-5) comprising: a sensor (see entire document, including fitness device 12 and [0036]) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject (see entire document, including Figures 1-5 and [0022]-[0034]) for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including [0036]), and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]).

Merkel do not expressly disclose means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and that the means for deriving a subjectrelated value from the measured value is also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. However, Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment (page 14 first full paragraph) states, "If [prior art] did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient.... one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." As Merkel discloses "that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use means for establishing
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which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to in the invention of Merkel because "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" as admitted by Applicant (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), and it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject in deriving a subject-related value in the invention of Merkel to provide "compensat[ion] for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph) that would provide for more accurate measurements with respect to the different "plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph).

Regarding claim 21, Merkel discloses a method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising: obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including fitness device 12, [0036] and Figures 1-5), where the sensor can be attached to a plurality of subject positions (see entire document, including Figures 1-5 and [0022]-[0034]), and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]).
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Merkel do not expressly disclose establishing which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and that the deriving the subject-related value from the measured value is also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. However, Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment (page 14 first full paragraph) states, "If [prior art] did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient.... one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." As Merkel discloses "that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to establish which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to in the invention of Merkel because "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" as admitted by Applicant (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), and it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject in deriving a subject-related value in the invention of Merkel to provide "compensat[ion] for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph) that would provide for more accurate
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measurements with respect to the different "plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph).

Regarding claims $2,3,5,6,9,10,13$, and 14, Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art teach the measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes a wrist (Merkel see entire document, including strap 26 and [0027]), a lower leg (Merkel see entire document, including anklets 14 and 16), and a waist (Merkel see entire document, including belt 18); wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]), wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to (attaching an accelerometer to a body part (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]) will inherently represent a degree of activity of the body part the accelerometer is attached to as the signal of the accelerometer, even if used to calculate steps, will represent the degree of activity of that portion of the body during the steps; even knowing the number of steps as an activity parameter represents the activity of the body part the sensor is attached to as during normal gait the legs move with a different degree of activity than the arms which both differ from the degree of activity of the chest and back); wherein the measured value comprises acceleration (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]); wherein the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in
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dependence on the measured value); further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval (Merkel see entire document, including [0036], in order for an assessment based on acceleration, heart rate, and/or blood pressure, sampling of the sensor is critical in order to accurately assess both the change and maintenance of the values; as such, the sensor measures values (consecutive data points) over a time interval (any set length of time that provides for the collection of at least 2 data points with the sampling frequency used by the sensor) and these values are obtained from the sensor (as otherwise the device of Merkel can't measure), and wherein the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the establishing in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on the measured values; the measured values are clearly measured during the time interval discussed above); further comprising means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity (Merkel see entire document, including abstract and [0006], stepping, especially as part of walking, is a standardized activity), and wherein the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on at least one measured value), the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is
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performing the standardized activity (as Merkel is designed to measure steps (see entire document), and as values when the subject is not stepping (for example, when the subject is sitting or driving a car) would not contribute to the assessment of Merkel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one measured value from the standardized activity to determining the position of the sensor on the subject); wherein the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity (as Merkel is designed to measure steps (see entire document), and as values when the subject is not stepping (for example, when the subject is sitting or driving a car) would not contribute to the assessment of Merkel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one measured value from the standardized activity to determining the position of the sensor on the subject).

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art as applied to claims $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ and 21 above, and further in view of United States Patent Publication 2001/0049470 (Mault).

Regarding claims 4 and 20, Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art teach the measuring system of claim 3 . Merkel does not expressly teach that the activity parameter comprises energy have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the activity parameter comprising energy expenditure, means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure as taught by Mault in the invention of Merkel to provide for tracking calories for "maintaining or losing weight" (Merkel [0006]).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 9, filed 15 February 2011, with respect to the drawing objections and the claims objections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The drawing objections and claims objections of the 15 November 2010 Office Action have been withdrawn.

The Examiner notes that Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 USC 101 rejection of claims 10-12 are moot in view of the right column of page 7168 of the Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 27, as means plus function limitations are limited to the corresponding structure.

Applicant's remaining arguments filed 15 February 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
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The Examiner notes that the generic terminology for Bluetooth is not provided in the specification amendment.

Regarding Applicant's arguments that the different structures listed by the Examiner that may correspond to Applicant's means plus functions limitations being "multiple embodiments" with reference to Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical Co. and Serrano v. Telular Corp. (see page 10 of Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment), the Examiner disagrees. The Examiner notes that the case law provides explicit correlation between the means plus function limitations and the corresponding text that is tied to the particular function. For example, Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical Co. specifically cite in the first paragraph of page 1264 "The specification suggest 'a weight scale means supporting the weigh hopper.' Col. 3, II. 26-28. One alternative embodiment discloses a less-of-weight type weighing means... Because alternative structures corresponding to the claimed function were described, the district court incorrectly limited 'weighting means' to the specific structures of the preferred embodiment." (emphasis added). Serrano v. Telular Corp. provides for the "determination means" as circuitry in a preferred embodiment, as well as "a microprocessor-based system could also be used wherein the logical decisions are configured in software." (first paragraph of page 1542). The Examiner notes the weighing means of Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical Co. is clearly linked to specific structures that weigh in particular configurations, and the determination means of Serrano v. Telular Corp. is clearly linked to circuitry or a microprocessor. In contrast, Applicant has not linked any of the physical structures of Applicant's specification to any
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of the means plus function limitations. Further, as numerous means plus function limitations appear to possibly correlate with the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or possibly another element, it is unclear if Applicant's claims are repeatedly claiming the same physical structure in the event that more than one means plus function limitation is interpreted as being the same physical structure.

Further, as discussed on pages 7170-7172 of the Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 27, the functional language should be described and enabled. See especially "examiners should determine whether the specification discloses the computer and the algorithm (e.g. the necessary steps and/or flowcharts) that perform the claimed function in sufficient detail such that one of ordinary skill in the art can reasonably conclude that the inventor invented the claimed subject matter." (page 7171 the paragraph bridging the left and center columns). The Examiner notes that it is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the functions claimed. The Examiner further notes that invoking means plus function language that broadly covers all of the algorithms mentioned also includes those "algorithms" that do not have adequate support. For example, "Other ways to provide a set of rules, for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan" (page 11 lines 24-25 of Applicant's specification) provides for any other way to provide a set of rules, and as these other
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ways were not listed, there is no written description and enablement for a means plus function limitation using this "algorithm" of what is "obvious to the skilled artisan."

As a particular example, the Examiner notes that Applicant's argument "that the claims embrace each of the embodiments the Examiner has mentioned" (page 10 of Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment) provides for claim 1 claiming a sensor (with its corresponding limitations); "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to" (for example, interpreted as "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24) using data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18)); and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject (for example, interpreted as "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24) using data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16page 13 line 18)). In this example, per Applicant's explanation, it is unclear if the "means for establishing" and "means for deriving" are the same physical structure, making the claim indefinite; and it is further unclear if (and how) the functions of "establishing" and "deriving" differ if they are both solved with the exact same algorithm.

The Examiner further notes that the listing of various structures by the Examiner in an effort to expedite prosecution did not indicate that the Examiner found the means plus function limitations to correlate to these structures; instead, as noted on page 22 of the Office Action, Applicant was required (and still is per the rejections above) to a) amend the claim to no longer use means plus function limitations, b) amend the written description to clearly link the corresponding structure to the claimed function or c) state
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on the record where the corresponding structure is set forth in the written description of the specification. The Examiner notes that "The Examiner has been able to identify the embodiments capable of performing the claimed function" (page 10 of Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment) appears to state that the Examiner has provided option c of the requirement for the Applicant. However, "or another structure", "The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification", "it is unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function", "and/or other methods" (describing possible ways to perform the function claimed) and other similar language, mentioned repeatedly in the 15 November 2010 Office Action and the rejections above, indicate that the Examiner was not and is not clearly stating on the record where the corresponding structure is set forth in the written description of the specification.

Regarding Applicant's citation of page 11 lines 6-32 of the specification, the Examiner notes that "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" indicates that the means determines if the subject is performing a standardized activity, not tells the subject to perform a standardized activity (page 11 lines 12-13) (as, for example, the subject could be told to walk but stand instead). The Examiner notes that after the citation provided by the Examiner indicating that the user provides input (page 11 lines 14-16), the remainder of the section cited by Applicant appears to describe "Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject. Thereto, a number of predefined rules are used... " (page 11 lines 16-18) and
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other embodiments for determining the position of the sensor (and not for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity). As such, Applicant's argument is not found persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's citation of page 8 line 33-page 9 line 3 for support of the "means for monitoring food consumption" and "means for deriving the calorie balance", it is unclear how "The sensor 6 can comprise a single-axial or multi-axial accelerometer, a temperature sensor, an electrical sensor for measuring electrical body signals such as the ECG signal, a heart rate sensor, a pedometer, a global or local positioning system, or any other type of sensor. Such sensors are known to the skilled artisan." provides for both the structure and the function (algorithm) for monitoring food consumption and for deriving the calorie balance. Further, if Applicant's correlation of the means for monitoring food consumption and the means for deriving the calorie balance is followed, it is unclear how these limitations further limit the sensor of claim 1, or if the sensor of claim 1, the means for monitoring food consumption and the means for deriving the calorie balance are all the same structure, and if not, how they differ. The Examiner also notes that it is still unclear what structure corresponds to the means for monitoring food consumption and the means for deriving the calorie balance as "any other type of sensor" is not defined and it is unclear what sensors Applicant intended to describe/claim with "any other type of sensor", and what "other types of sensors" Applicant had possession of at the time the invention was filed.
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Regarding Applicant's further argument that claims 13 and 14 are not indefinite because user entry is an alternative, the Examiner disagrees. See the paragraph above addressing Applicant's citation of page 11 lines 6-32.

Regarding Applicant's argument that claim 14 further limits claim 13, the Examiner notes that claim 14 "in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity" does not appear to further limit claim 13 "the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" as both have at least one measured value from during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity.

Regarding Applicant's arguments that "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject position the sensor is attached to" is not taught by Merkel in combination with Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art, the Examiner disagrees. The Examiner notes that Applicant's argument "Applicants respectfully submit that the claims embrace each of the embodiments the Examiner has mentioned" (page 10 of Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment) provide for interpreting the means plus function limitations in any way listed by the Examiner in the Office Action of 15 November 2010. As such, the Examiner notes that the various fitness devices of [0036] of Merkel, with "measures or records" and the other text provided, clearly provide an activity monitor, microprocessor, hardware, suitably programmed computer and/or an equivalent structure to the structures listed in the 15 November 2010 Office Action for both the "means for establishing which of the plurality of positions the sensor is attached to" and the "means for deriving a subject-related
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value from the measured value" (see pages 7 and 11 of the 15 November 2010 Office Action). Further, the Examiner notes that page 8 of the 15 November 2010 Office Action provides for "means of patterns recognition" (from page 11 lines 26-27 of Applicant's specification) including "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan" (from page 11 lines 30-31 of Applicant's specification), and page 9 of the 15 November 2010 Office Action also provides for "use of other methods". Further, the Examiner notes that page 11 of the 15 November 2010 Office Action provides for "potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods" (from page 10 lines 23-25 of Applicant's specification) and page 12 of the 15 November 2010 Office Action also provides for "use of other methods". As such, Applicant's claim and provided corresponding structure would include the fitness device of Merkel, with its corresponding functions of recognizing patterns (often performed by at least accelerometers, heart rate monitors, blood pressure monitors) and using "easily conceivable" ways to measure body movement.

Regarding Applicant's argument that the obvious rejection is comparable to "For example, if a doctor diagnoses a patient with cancer, realizes that the cause of the cancer is a brain tumor, and concludes that the tumor should be removed, it is not obvious how the tumor is removed" (page 13 of Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment), the Examiner disagrees. The Examiner notes that numerous "solutions"/"algorithms" to Applicant's "means for establishing" as well as the "means for
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deriving" provide for what is already known in the art. The Examiner notes the numerous portions that were italicized in the 15 November 2010 Office Action and in the rejections above regarding open-ended explanations of how the functions are performed; the Examiner notes that such open-ended interpretations, especially when embraced by Applicant ("Applicants respectfully submit that the claims embrace each of the embodiments the Examiner has mentioned" (page 10 of Applicant's 15 February 2011 amendment)), show that one of ordinary skill in the art would have known how to perform the claimed functions (as otherwise the means plus function limitations would not be enabled). For example, as one of ordinary skill in the art would know "many ways" to use pattern recognition (see page 11 lines 30-31), and "methods [that] are easily conceivable" (see page 10 lines 23-25 of Applicant's specification, as well as "other methods" (included by the Examiner in the 15 November 2010 Office Action and agreed on by the Applicant) per Applicant's specification and arguments, it appears that the level of complexity is less than that of brain surgery in comparison to the knowledge of one having ordinary skill in the art. The comparison appears to be closer to a flat bed tow truck driver determining that a car is parked illegally, determining that the illegally parked car can be legally towed, deciding how to tow the vehicle, and towing the vehicle. In this comparison, a reference that doesn't explain how the tow truck driver decides how to tow the vehicle would not be stating what is known as prior art solutions, including putting the car on the flatbed or towing it behind the flatbed portion of the truck. These two options listed by the Examiner would provide for "many ways" to use known methods/algorithms, "methods [that] are easily conceivable", and "other
methods". Further, even using Applicant's example of removing a brain tumor, the Examiner notes that "how the brain tumor is removed", if described in a reference by "many ways" to use known methods/algorithms, "methods [that] are easily conceivable", as well as "other methods", would indicate that how the brain tumor could be removed was well known to a person having ordinary skill in the art reading the reference.

Regarding Applicant's argument that "the rejection of claim 21 should be withdrawn for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 1 ", the Examiner again notes that the arguments with respect to claim 1 were not found persuasive. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear if this argument is also arguing that the steps of claim 21 invoke 35 USC 112 sixth paragraph as the argued limitations of claim 1 do.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY M. LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)272-2951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily M Lloyd
Examiner
Art Unit 3736
/EML/

Application/Control Number: 12/097,121

| Index of Claims | Application/Control No. <br> 12097121 | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination <br> GORIS ET AL. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Examiner <br> EMILY M LLOYD | Art Unit $3736$ |


| $\checkmark$ | Rejected |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=$ | Allowed |



| $\mathbf{N}$ | Non-Elected |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | Interference |


| A | Appeal |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | Objected |



| Search Notes | Application/Control No. $12097121$ | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination <br> GORIS ET AL. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Examiner <br> EMILY M LLOYD | Art Unit $3736$ |


| SEARCHED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |  |  |  |
| 600 | 595 |  | $5 / 22 / 2010$ | EL |  |  |
| 702 | 160 | $10 / 31 / 2010$ | EL |  |  |  |


| SEARCH NOTES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Search Notes | Date | Examiner |
| Inventor Search | $5 / 22 / 2010$ | EL |
| Text Search | $5 / 22 / 2010$ | EL |
| EAST Search | $5 / 22 / 2010$ | EL |
| Updated EAST Search | $10 / 31 / 2010$ | EL |
| Brief Google Scholar Search, requested NPL documents | $11 / 2 / 2010$ | EL |
| Reviewed requested NPL documents | $11 / 4 / 2010$ | EL |
| Updated EAST Search | $4 / 23 / 2011$ | EL |


| INTERFERENCE SEARCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
|  |  |  |  |

$\square$

## EAST Search History

## EAST Search History (Prior Art)

| Ref \# | Hits | Search Query | DBs | Default Operator | Plurals | Time Stamp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 12 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| L2 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR' | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| L3 | 61 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/04/23 } \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| L4 | 83 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JJPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| L5 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| L6 | 153 | L1 or L2 or L3 or L4 or L5 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JJPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| L7 | 184 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| L8 | 1592 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 / 04 / 23 \\ & 22: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| S1 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JJPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| S2 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US PGFUBB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| S3 | 46 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; UPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| S4 | 78 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 33 \end{aligned}$ |
| S5 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 33 \end{aligned}$ |
| S6 | 132 | S1 or S2 or S3 or S4 or S5 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JJPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 33 \end{aligned}$ |


| S7 | 4 | EP-1254629-\$.did. or US-5111826-\$.did. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 34 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S8 | 1198 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 14 \\ & 20: 41 \end{aligned}$ |
| S9 | 1 | ("20030065257").PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S10 | 1201 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| S11 | 275 | S10 and accelerometer | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| S12 | 2 | Nasiff-Roger-E.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| S13 | 1 | (10/266272).APP. | USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 22: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S14 | \% | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S15 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S16 | -56 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S17 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S18 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S19 | 143 | S14 or S15 or S16 or S17 or S18 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S20 | 1 | ("20060161079").PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S21 | 1 | (11/332586).APP. | USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S22 | 5 | ("20060052727" \| "20060161079" | "20060255955" | "7028547" | "7127370"). PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 04 \end{aligned}$ |
| S23 | 2 | Nasiff-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| S24 | 86 | Mault-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 23 \end{aligned}$ |


| S25 | 23 | S24 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S26 | 4 | S22 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| S27 | 21 | S25 and (compensat\$ or transfer or adjust\$ or (location with (wear (worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S28 | 1 | S25 and ((location with (wear worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| S29 | 1384 | 600/595.ccls. | US PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| S30 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| 531 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| 53 | 57 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S33 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| 534 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S35 | 144 | S30 or S31 or S32 or S33 or S34 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S36 | 87 | Mault-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 50 \end{aligned}$ |


| S37 | 23 | S36 and (wrist watch farm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) land (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 538 | 1 | S37 and ((location with (wear worn wearing))) | US PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| 539 | 1411 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| S40 | 5 | "497572".ap. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/10/30 } \\ & 14: 41 \end{aligned}$ |
| S41 | 9 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S42 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S43 | 58 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S44 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S45 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S46 | 145 | S41 or S42 or S43 or S44 or S45 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S47 | 5 | S46 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed iplacing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 00 \end{aligned}$ |
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## AMENDMENT

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed November 15, 2010, in the above-identified application, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## IN THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheet contains changes to Figure 7. In Figure 7, the symbol in step 142 has been changed. This sheet, which contains Figure 7, replaces the original sheet containing this figure.

Attachments: Replacement Sheet

Annotated Sheet showing changes

## IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please replace the paragraph at page 9 , lines $15-22$ with the following amended paragraph:

Figure 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device 30 with a sensor 33 . The device 30 does not have any buttons or display. The device 30 has means to communicate with a separate unit 31, preferably using a wireless link 32 such as WIFI or Bluetooth BLUETOOTH. The separate unit 31 is used to control the device 30 . The separate unit 31, for example a personal computer or a personal digital assistant, comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for processing the information gathered by the device 30 by means of sensor 33 . The separate unit 31 further comprises means for receiving user input and communicating the processed information to a user.

Please replace the paragraph at page 9 , lines 23-35 with the following amended paragraph:

Figure 4 shows an embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a reference position. In step 100 , the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value at the reference position. Preferably, the sensor 6 is a tri-axial accelerometer, and the measurement value is a triple containing acceleration information in X, Y, and Z-directions. In step 101, the activity monitor computes the corresponding activity parameter, for example energy expenditure. For a tri-axial accelerometer attached to the back of the waist, a method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure is disclosed in "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" by Guy Plasqui, Ph.D. thesis, Maastricht University, 2004, (ineorporated herein by referenee) referred to hereinafter as "Plasqui". The back of the waist is near the center of the body and a triaxial accelerometer attached thereto provides a good estimation of overall movements.

Please replace the paragraph at page 13, lines 9-18 with the following amended paragraph:

In general, this sequence could be paralleled further, for example by using a plurality of sensors 6 to measure the [[value]] values at a plurality of positions on the subject simultaneously. In this embodiment, the steps of extracting compensation parameters and extracting essential signal features are performed in parallel. However, they can also be performed sequentially. In an alternative embodiment, the activity parameter is computed after the sensor 6 has delivered the signal in step 133, and before extracting essential features in step 134 and determining compensation parameters in step 137. It is also possible to compute at least one derived quantity from the values measured by the sensor 6 , and perform the steps of extracting essential features and determining compensation parameters based on the derived quantity.

## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Previously Presented) A measuring system comprising:
a sensor arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject,
means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and
means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, and a head.
3. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 1 , wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim [[1]] 3, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to.
6. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration.
7. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising:
means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.
9. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 9 , further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the senser on the subject establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the determining establishing in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the determining establishing on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 10, wherein the
means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 9, further comprising means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor-on the subject establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
14. (Currently Amended) The measuring system according to claim 13, wherein the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity.
15. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 13, further comprising a user interface for receiving input from the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising a user interface for receiving input from the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising:
means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity, storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.
18. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 17 , wherein the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (Previously Presented) A measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. (Previously Presented) A system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, the system comprising the measuring system according to claim 4 , means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure.
21. (Currently Amended) A method of deriving a estimating derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, [[and]] establishing which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value [[also]] in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
22. (Previously Presented) The measuring system according to claim 6, wherein the acceleration is a tri-axial acceleration.

## REMARKS

## I. INTRODUCTION

Claims 2, 5, 9-14, and 21 have been amended. The Specification has been amended. The Drawings have been amended. Thus, claims $1-22$ remain pending in the present application. No new matter has been added. In light of the above amendments and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance.

## II. THE DRAWING OBJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The drawings stand objected to for failing for informalities. In view of the amendments to the drawings and specification, the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## III. THE SPECIFICATION OBJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The specification stands objected to for informalities. In view of the amendments to the specification, the withdrawal of these objections is respectfully requested.

## IV. THE CLAIM OBJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 2 and $21^{1}$ stand objected to for informalities. In view of the amendments to these claims 11 , the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## V. THE 35 U.S.C. \& 112 REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-20 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Specifically, the Examiner states that these claims recite means plus function limitations and, therefore, invoke 35 U.S.C. § 112, sixth paragraph. The Examiner then asserts that "the written description fails to clearly link or associate the disclosed

[^3]structure, material, or acts to the claimed function such that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function." (See 11/15/10 Office Action, p. 7). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion. In the explanation of each rejection, the Examiner repeatedly states that "no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification." (Id.). To support this assertion, the Examiner points to portions of the specification that disclose various entities for performing the claimed function. For example, with regards to the recitation in claim 1 of "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to," the Examiner states that it is not clear whether the activity monitor or an external device, inter alia, perform this function. (Id). Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the Federal Circuit Court's decision in Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical Co. In that case, the Court refers to Serrano v. Telular Corp. and states, " $[w]$ hen multiple embodiments in the specification correspond to the claimed function, proper application of $\S 112, \$ 6$ generally reads the claim element to embrace each of those embodiments." Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical Co., 194 F.3d 1250, 1999, 1258-1259 (Fed.Cir.1999) (referring to Serrano v. Telular Corp., 111 F.3d 1578, 1583, 42 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538, 1542 (Fed.Cir.1997)). In view of these cases, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims embrace each of the embodiments the Examiner has mentioned. Since the Examiner has been able to identify the embodiments capable of performing the claimed function, then one of ordinary skill in the art can also ascertain what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without any difficulty.

With regards to claims 13-15, the Examiner asserts that the specification "fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function." (See 11/15/10 Office Action, p. 22). Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to page 11, lines 6-32 of the originally filed application, which explains how the device determines when the subject is performing a standardized activity.

With regards to claim 20, the Examiner states that the specification provides no support for the recitation of "means for monitoring food consumption" and "means for deriving the calorie balance." Applicants respectfully disagree and direct the Examiner's
attention to page 8 , line 33 - page 9 , line 3 of the originally filed application. This portion of the specification discloses that various types of sensors can be used. So, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that this disclosure includes a sensor that allows for the monitoring of food consumption. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 1-20 and 22.

Claims 1-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for being indefinite. With respect to the means plus function arguments that the Examiner reiterates in support of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 1-20 and 22, Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the arguments presented above.

With regards to claims 5, 9-15, and 21, these claims have been amended to overcome the deficiencies pointed to by the Examiner. However, the Examiner states that, with regards to claim 13 and 14 , it is unclear how or why a measured value is used to determine if a user is performing a standardized activity if the user directly tells the device that he/she is performing a standardized activity. (See 11/15/10 Office Action, pp. 28-29). Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to page 11, lines 6-32 of the originally filed application. In this portion of the specification, it is clearly disclosed that user entry is an alternative. The alternative to user entry is also sufficiently described in this portion of the specification. Furthermore, the Examiner states that it is unclear how claim 14 further limits claim 13. (See 11/15/10 Office Action, p. 29). Claim 13 relates to the "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity," whereas claim 14 further explains that this determination is "in dependence on at least one measured value during the time the subject is performing the standardized activity." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 1-22.

## VI. THE 35 U.S.C. § 101 REJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 10-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for being directed towards non-statutory subject matter.

The Examiner states that the "means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values" in claim 10 is interpreted as a wireless link and is, therefore, nonstatutory. Applicants respectfully request that reference to claim 10 in its entirety would show that recitation of "the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to is arranged for performing the establishing in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval" involves statutory subject matter. In fact, it seems the Examiner understands this because in the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, rejection the Examiner refers to the activity monitor or an external device, inter alia, perform this recitation. (See 11/15/10 Office Action, p. 7). Since both of these elements are statutory subject matter, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of this rejection.

## VII. THE 35 U.S.C. \& 103(a) REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. $\S 103(a)$ as unpatentable over Merkelet al. (U.S. Published App. No. 2007/0032981) in view of Applicants' assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art (August 25, 2010 Amendment) (hereinafter "Applicants' previous statement").

Claim 1 recites, "[a] measuring system comprising: a sensor arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject."

Merkel discloses a wearable fitness device that is interchangeable with a plurality of wearable articles. (See Merkel, Abstract). The Examiner correctly acknowledges that Merkel fails to disclose means "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject." To cure this deficiency, the Examiner relies on Applicants' statement that "[i]f Mault did
disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient (which Applicants do NOT concede), one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." (See August 25, 2010 Amendment, p. 14, 11. 9-13).

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion that Applicants' previous statement renders the claims obvious. Applicants previous statement was an identification of a problem that one of ordinary skill in the art would seek to cure in the Mault reference. However, the mere recognition of the existence of a problem does not make its solution obvious. For example, if a doctor diagnoses a patient with cancer, realizes that the cause of the cancer is a brain tumor, and concludes that the tumor should be removed, it is not obvious how the tumor is removed. That is, identification of a problem does not automatically lead to the correct solution. Specifically, claim 1 is written in means plus function language, "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to." The applicants statement while being directed to the functional portion of the language does not obviate the means portion. Because it would be recognized by one skilled in the art that where on the body the sensor is worn, this does not teach the means for accomplishing this function. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that although Merkel does disclose that the fitness device can be attached to a plurality of wearable articles, one of ordinary skill in the art would still realize that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Merkel and Applicants' previous statement, alone or together, fail to disclose or suggest "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject,' as recited in claim 1. It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that
the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims $2,3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ should be withdrawn.

Claim 21, recites, "establishing which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, wherein the sensor is capable of being attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject." Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claim 21 should be withdrawn for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 1.

Claims 4 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Merkel and Applicant's previous statement in view of Mault et al. (U.S. Published App. No. 2001/0049470).

Applicants respectfully submit that Mault fails to cure the above-identified deficiencies of Merkel and Applicant's previous statement and that Merkel, Applicant's previous statement, and Mault, alone or in any combination, fail to disclose or suggest "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject,' as recited in claim 1 and, similarly claim 21 . Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 21 should be withdrawn. Because claim 4 depends on and, therefore, contains all of the limitations of claim 1 , it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 4 should be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.
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## Disposition of Claims

4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are allowed.
6) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1-22$ is/are rejected.
7) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are objected to.
8) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{25 \text { August } 2010}$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
$11) \square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § $119(\mathrm{a})$-(d) or (f).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some * c) $\square$ None of:

1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. $\qquad$ .
3. $\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.


## Attachment(s)

1) $\boxtimes$ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) $\square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) $\square$ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date $\qquad$
4)Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) $\square$
$\square$ Other:
$\qquad$
6) $\qquad$

## DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's 25 August 2010 amendment. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendments to the abstract and specification, the replacement drawing sheets for Figures 1A-1D and 7, the amendments to claims 1-21 and the addition of claim 22. Currently, claims 1-22 are pending.

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to because decision 142 has a > instead of a $\leq$ (see page 13 lines 5-6 of the original specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 (d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of
any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR $1.121(\mathrm{~d})(1)$. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 10 lines 3 and 28 it is unclear if "a reference position" should be "the reference position"; and page 13 line 10 "value" should be "values".

Appropriate correction is required.

The use of the trademark Bluetooth has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

The amendment filed 25 August 2010 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the incorporation by reference of "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" by Guy Plasqui in the replacement paragraph for page 9 lines 23-35 filed 25 August 2010.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action. The Examiner further notes that the following paragraph was provided to Applicant in the 27 May 2010 Office Action and explains why the Plasqui reference was not considered incorporated by reference upon filing of the present application.

The Examiner notes that page 9 lines 29-32 and subsequent references to "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" (Plasqui) appear to be an attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference. If this is the case, Applicant's attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to Plasqui is ineffective because 37 CFR 1.57 (b) (1) requires the use of the root words "incorporat(e)" and "reference". See also 37 CFR 1.57 (g)(1).

Art Unit: 3736
As the Plasqui reference was not incorporated by reference on the filing date of the present application, any amendment to incorporate by reference the Plasqui reference into Applicant's application after the filing date is considered new matter.

## Claim Objections

Claim 2 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 2 "a head" should be "and a head"; claim 20 "of the subject, and" should have "and" removed. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Limitations Invoking 35 USC § 112 Sixth Paragraph

The Examiner notes that the following limitations in claims 1, 7-14 and 17-20
invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph, as meeting the 3-prong analysis in MPEP 2181 I : means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to (claim 1); means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject (claims 913); means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (claims 1 and 19); means for selecting a subset of a predefined set (claim 7); means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value (claim 8); means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value (claim 8); means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values (claim 10); means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal from the measured values (claim 12); means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity (claims 13 and 14); means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity (claims 17 and 18);

Art Unit: 3736
storage means for storing at least one pattern (claim 17); means for determining a similarity measure (claim 17); means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure (claim 17); means for monitoring food consumption (claim 20); and means for deriving the calorie balance (claim 20).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim elements "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to" (claim 1); "means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject" (claims 9-13); "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" (claims 1 and 19); "means for selecting a subset of a predefined set" (claim 7); "means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value" (claim 8); "means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value" (claim 8); "means for
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obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values" (claim 10); "means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal from the measured values" (claim 12); "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" (claims 13 and 14); "means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity" (claims 17 and 18); "storage means for storing at least one pattern" (claim 17); "means for determining a similarity measure" (claim 17); "means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure" (claim 17); "means for monitoring food consumption" (claim 20); and "means for deriving the calorie balance" (claim 20) are means (or step) plus function limitations that invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to clearly link or associate the disclosed structure, material, or acts to the claimed function such that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function.

Regarding the means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to (claim 1), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means
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of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "using information from a feature database" (page 11 line 10), "the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are position-dependent" (page 11 lines 11-12), "the user can provide the activity monitor with input" (page 11 line 14), "Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject" (page 11 lines 16-17), "a number of predefined rules are used" (page 11 lines 17-18), exemplary rules provided on page 11 lines 18-23, "fuzzy logic rules" (page 11 line 24), "Other ways to provide a set of rules, for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan" (page 11 lines 24-25, emphasis added), "means of pattern recognition" (page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database" (page 11 lines 27-28, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11 lines 30-32, emphasis added), "the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the
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sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above" (page 12 lines $7-9$ ), "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6 , in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject (claims 9-13), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this
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function is accomplished by "using information from a feature database" (page 11 line 10), "the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are position-dependent" (page 11 lines 11-12), "the user can provide the activity monitor with input" (page 11 line 14), "Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject" (page 11 lines 16-17), "a number of predefined rules are used" (page 11 lines 17-18), exemplary rules provided on page 11 lines 18-23, "fuzzy logic rules" (page 11 line 24), "Other ways to provide a set of rules, for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan" (page 11 lines 24-25, emphasis added), "means of pattern recognition" (page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database" (page 11 lines 27-28, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11 lines 30-32, emphasis added), "the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above" (page 12 lines 7-9), "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6, in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line
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16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (claims 1 and 19), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by one or more of the examples of page 10 lines 4-22, "potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods (page 10 lines 23-25, emphasis added), one or more of the examples of page 10 line
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29-page 11 line 5 , one or more of the examples of page 12 lines $1-6$, the example of page 12 lines 9-13, "It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6 , in the step to determine the position 116 and/or in the step to compensate for the difference 119" (page 12 lines 13-15, emphasis added), use of data from initialization based on the individual (page 12 line 16-page 13 line 18), use of data from initialization based on a population of subjects (page 13 lines 19-27), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for selecting a subset of a predefined set (claim 7), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines $23-24$ ), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. The Examiner notes
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that this function is only described on page 5 lines 1-13, and the language provided is mostly exemplary; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value (claim 8), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above and/or in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value (claim 8), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The
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Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subjectrelated value from the measured value listed above and/or in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values (claim 10), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably
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programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), a "wireless or wired connection" (page 7 line 33), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "means to communicate... preferably using a wireless link 32 such a WIFI or Bluetooth" (page 9 lines 16-18), "for example by means of a wireless or wired connection" (page 7 line 33), and/or another mechanism. Further, it is unclear what other mechanisms are available besides the exemplary "wireless or wired connection" on page 7 line 33.

Regarding the means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal from the measured values (claim 12), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a
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ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by "means of pattern recognition" (page 11 lines 26-27), "for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database" (page 11 lines $27-28$, emphasis added), "The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain" (page 11 lines 28-30, emphasis added), "Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied" (page 11 lines 30-32, emphasis added), and/or use of other methods. The Examiner especially notes that the language emphasized above provides for open ended interpretations of how the function is performed; as such, it is unclear what is defined by the claim.

Regarding the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity (claims 13 and 14), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular
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function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. The Examiner further notes that page 6 lines $15-29$, page 11 lines 12-17 and page 13 lines 20-21 of the specification all appear to refer to the use of a standardized activity in initializing the device, where the standardized activity is either instructed to the subject or the subject inputs the standardized activity. The only support that the Examiner found in the specification as to how the device provides the claimed function of determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16).

Regarding the means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity (claims 17 and 18), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23 ), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular
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function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. It is unclear if this function is accomplished by the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity, or if this function is accomplished in another manner. Further, at least the same problems with the descriptions cited for the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity as listed above contribute to the lack of clarity as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the storage means for storing at least one pattern (claim 17), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), a database (page 7 line 12), "storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk" (page 14 lines 1-2, emphasis added), and/or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct
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elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements.

Regarding the means for determining a similarity measure (claim 17), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the specification only provides the broad statement that "a pattern-matching technique can be used" (page 7 line 13, emphasis added) as to how the similarity measure is determined; as "can be used" indicates that other options are available, it is still unclear what boundaries Applicant intended on providing as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure (claim 17), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function.
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The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), the display 10, and/or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the specification provides few examples (page 7 lines 14-19) as to how the feedback in dependence on the similarity measure is provided; as such, it is unclear what boundaries Applicant intended on providing as to how this function is performed.

Regarding the means for monitoring food consumption (claim 20), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), the buttons (21 and 22), the touch screen display (page 9 lines $9-10$ ),
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and/or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant intended to carry out the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the only description in the specification as to how food consumption is monitored is on page 2 lines $16-17$ which appears to describe the prior art listed on page 1 line 21.

Regarding the means for deriving the calorie balance (claim 20), it is unclear what physical entity is performing the claimed function. The Examiner notes that it is unclear if the physical entity is the activity monitor itself (see "the activity monitor computes" (page 9 lines 27-28)), the microprocessor of an external device (page 9 lines 19-20), an integrated circuit (page 14 lines 6-7), "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" (page 14 line 23), "means of a suitably programmed computer" (page 14 lines 23-24), or another element. The Examiner notes that no particular physical entity is tied to this particular function in the specification. The Examiner further notes that it is unclear what "means of hardware comprising several distinct elements" encompasses; it is unclear if this is a ROM physical memory, RAM physical memory, processor, physical connection to another element, hardware for a wireless connection to another element, and/or other elements. Further, it is also unclear how Applicant
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intended to carry out the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the specification does not provide any description as to how the calorie balance is derived.

For each means (or step) plus function limitation, Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it clearly links or associates the corresponding structure, material, or acts to the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(c) State on the record where the corresponding structure, material, or acts are set forth in the written description of the specification that perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(0) and 2181.

Claims 2-20 and 22 are rejected as at least depending on claim 1 (as well as the inclusion of claims 1 and 3 in claim 4 with regards to claim 20), as well as any means plus function limitations in each claim without written support and any additionally rejected intervening claims.

Regarding claims 13-15, claim element "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. The only support that the Examiner found in the specification as to how the device provides
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the claimed function of determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16). However, claims 13 and 14 further refer to "a measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 13) and "in dependence on at least one measured value" (claim 14). If the determination that the subject is performing a standardized activity is made based on the user providing input, it is unclear what structure will further obtain and use (at least one) measured value in making this determination. In other words, it appears that the "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" as disclosed in the specification does not further disclose structure corresponding to the use of (at least one) measured value in relation to the determination of performance of a standardized activity.

Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so
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that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:
(a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(o) and 2181.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

Regarding claim 20, claim element "means for monitoring food consumption" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the only description in the specification as to a structure for monitoring food consumption is on page 2 lines 16-17 which appears to describe the prior art listed on page 1 line 21.

Applicant is required to:
(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
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(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:
(a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(o) and 2181.

Regarding claim 20, claim element "means for deriving the calorie balance" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. The Examiner notes that the specification does not provide any description as to a structure that derives the calorie balance.

Applicant is required to:
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(a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
(b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:
(a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
(b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(o) and 2181.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
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Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-20 and 22, it is unclear what structures are claimed by the means plus function limitations rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph above, as the written disclosure does not clearly correlate the means plus functions limitations with their corresponding structure(s). Each of claims 2-20 and 22 are rejected as at least depending on claim 1 (as well as the inclusion of claims 1 and 3 in claim 4 with regards to claim 20), as well as any indefinite means plus function limitations in each claim and any additionally rejected intervening claims.

Regarding claim 5, "the activity parameter" lacks antecedent basis. As such, it is unclear if claim 5 is intended to depend from claim 1,3,4 or another claim.

Regarding claim 8 , it is unclear if the "means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value" are the same as the "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1. Further, if they are not the same, it is unclear how the "means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value" further limits the "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1 ; it is unclear if the "means for deriving the subjectrelated value from the estimated measured value" is intended to replace the "means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value" of claim 1 , is intended to supplement the "means for deriving..." or claim 1, or is intended to modify claim 1 in a different manner.
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Regarding claims 9-15, it is unclear if "the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject" is the same as the "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to" in claim 1. Further, if they are not the same, "the means for determining..." in claim 9 lacks antecedent basis. Claims 1015 are rejected as at least depending ultimately on claim 9 , and are also rejected as including "means for determining..." where appropriate.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear how claim 11 can further limit claim 10, which requires "a plurality of measured values" (claim 10), and yet provide for "the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively" (claim 11). It is further unclear if Applicant intended for claim 11 to depend from claim 9, claim 10, claim 9 or 10, and/or another interpretation.

Regarding claim 13, it is unclear if "the measured value" should be "the at least one measured value", all measured values, an indication that "at least one measured value" (also in claim 13) is only one measured value, and/or another interpretation. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, it is unclear how "(at least one) measured value" is used in determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity if the "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity" are the input/input device for "the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs" (page 11 lines 14-16). In other words, if the user/subject directly tells the device that they are performing the standardized activity, it is unclear why and how "(at
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least one) measured value" would be used in furthering the determination that the user/subject is performing a standardized activity. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

Regarding claim 14, it is unclear if "in dependence on at least one measured value" is intended to claim "at least one measured value" with regards to any measured value (including measured values when the standardized activity is not performed), or if this is intended to claim only measured values from when the standardized activity is performed.

Regarding claim 14, it is unclear how claim 14 further limits claim 13. It is unclear how or if "the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value" (claim 14) further limits "means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity.... is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity" (claim 13).

Regarding claim 21, the claim is drawn to "a method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject". However, while the claim steps provide for "deriving the subject-related value", they do not provide for "estimating". As such, it is unclear if Applicant intended to claim "a method for deriving a value relating to a subject", a step of estimating, and/or another interpretation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 10, if "means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values" is interpreted as "a wireless link 32 such a WIFI or Bluetooth" (page 9 lines 16-18) or "a wireless ... connection" (page 7 line 33), such wireless links/connections include the signal(s) sent between hardware on each side of the wireless link/connection. Signals are not a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter; as such, they are non-statutory. Amending the "means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values" such that it only reads on statutory embodiments will overcome this rejection. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected as depending on claim 10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
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The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Publication 2007/0032981 (Merkel) as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 1, Merkel discloses a measuring system (Figures 1-5) comprising: a sensor (see entire document, including fitness device 12 and [0036]) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject (see entire document, including Figures 1-5 and [0022]-[0034]) for obtaining a measured value
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representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including [0036]), and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]).

Merkel do not expressly disclose means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and that the means for deriving a subjectrelated value from the measured value is also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. However, Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment (page 14 first full paragraph) states, "If [prior art] did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient.... one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device." As Merkel discloses "that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to in the invention of Merkel because "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" as admitted by Applicant (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), and it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use dependence on the position of the sensor
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on the subject in deriving a subject-related value in the invention of Merkel to provide "compensat[ion] for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph) that would provide for more accurate measurements with respect to the different "plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph).

Regarding claim 21, Merkel discloses a method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising: obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject (see entire document, including fitness device 12 , [0036] and Figures 1-5), where the sensor can be attached to a plurality of subject positions (see entire document, including Figures 1-5 and [0022]-[0034]), and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value (see entire document, including [0036]).

Merkel do not expressly disclose establishing which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and that the deriving the subject-related value from the measured value is also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. However, Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment (page 14 first full paragraph) states, "If [prior art] did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient.... one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the
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device." As Merkel discloses "that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to establish which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to in the invention of Merkel because "one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" as admitted by Applicant (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph), and it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject in deriving a subject-related value in the invention of Merkel to provide "compensat[ion] for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph) that would provide for more accurate measurements with respect to the different "plurality of areas on the patient" (Applicant's 25 August 2010 Amendment, page 14, first full paragraph).

Regarding claims $2,3,5,6,9,10,13$, and 14 , Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art teach the measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes a wrist (Merkel see entire document, including strap 26 and [0027]), a lower leg (Merkel see entire document, including anklets 14 and 16), and a waist (Merkel see entire document, including belt 18); wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the
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subject (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]), wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to (attaching an accelerometer to a body part (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]) will inherently represent a degree of activity of the body part the accelerometer is attached to as the signal of the accelerometer, even if used to calculate steps, will represent the degree of activity of that portion of the body during the steps; even knowing the number of steps as an activity parameter represents the activity of the body part the sensor is attached to as during normal gait the legs move with a different degree of activity than the arms which both differ from the degree of activity of the chest and back); wherein the measured value comprises acceleration (Merkel see entire document, including [0036]); wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on the measured value); further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval (Merkel see entire document, including [0036], in order for an assessment based on acceleration, heart rate, and/or blood pressure, sampling of the sensor is critical in order to accurately assess both the change and maintenance of the values; as such, the sensor measures values (consecutive data points) over a time interval (any set length of time that provides for the collection of at least 2 data points with the sampling frequency used by the sensor) and these values are obtained from the sensor (as otherwise the device of Merkel can't measure), and
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wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on the measured values; the measured values are clearly measured during the time interval discussed above); further comprising means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity (Merkel see entire document, including abstract and [0006], stepping, especially as part of walking, is a standardized activity), and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor (Merkel see entire document, as no user input is required to set the activity device of Merkel to the different body positions, the determining must be in dependence on at least one measured value), the at least one measured value relating to a time the subject is performing the standardized activity (as Merkel is designed to measure steps (see entire document), and as values when the subject is not stepping (for example, when the subject is sitting or driving a car) would not contribute to the assessment of Merkel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one measured value from the standardized activity to determining the position of the sensor on the subject); wherein the means for determining that the subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value (as Merkel is designed to measure steps (see entire document), and as values when the subject is
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not stepping (for example, when the subject is sitting or driving a car) would not contribute to the assessment of Merkel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one measured value from the standardized activity to determining the position of the sensor on the subject).

Claims 4 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art as applied to claims $1-3,5,6,9,10,13,14$ and 21 above, and further in view of United States Patent Publication 2001/0049470 (Mault).

Regarding claims 4 and 20, Merkel as modified by Applicant's assessment of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art teach the measuring system of claim 3. Merkel does not expressly teach that the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure, means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure. Mault teaches an activity parameter comprising energy expenditure (see entire document, including Figure 5), and further comprising means for monitoring food consumption (Figure 5) and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure (Figures 5 and 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the activity parameter comprising energy expenditure, means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the tracking calories for "maintaining or losing weight" (Merkel [0006]).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY M. LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)272-2951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
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| S11 | 275 | S10 and accelerometer | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| S12 | 2 | Nasiff-Roger-E.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 12 / 18 \\ & 14: 42 \end{aligned}$ |
| S13 | 1 | (10/266272).APP. | USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/03/27 } \\ & 22: 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| S14 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S15 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |


| S16 | 56 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JJPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S17 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S18 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JJPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S19 | 143 | S14 or S15 or S16 or S17 or S18 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S20 | 1 | ("20060161079").PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S21 | 1 | (11/332586).APP. | USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| S22 | 5 | ("20060052727" \| "20060161079" | "20060255955" | "7028547" | "7127370"). PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 04 \end{aligned}$ |
| S23 | 2 | Nasiff-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| S24 | 86 | Mault-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| S25 | 23 | S24 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) land (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| S26 | 4 | S22 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| S27 | 21 | S25 and (compensat\$ or transter or adjust\$ or (location with (wear (worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR' | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| S28 | 1 | S25 and ((location with (wear worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| S29 | 1384 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 03 / 27 \\ & 23: 35 \end{aligned}$ |


| S30 | 8 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S31 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S32 | 57 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; UPO; DERWENT | OR' | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S33 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S34 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/05/22 } \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S35 | 144 | S30 or S31 or S32 or S33 | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 49 \end{aligned}$ |
| S36 | 87 | Mault-\$.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $2010 / 05 / 22$ |
| S37 | 23 | S36 and (wrist watch arm forearm waist belt) and (calorie energy) and (activity walk walking run running jog jogging) and (food or eat or consumption or consume) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR' | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| S38 | 1 | S37 and ((location with (wear worn wearing))) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| S39 | 1411 | 600/595.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 05 / 22 \\ & 23: 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| S40 | 5 | "497572".ap. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 30 \\ & 14: 41 \end{aligned}$ |
| S41 | 9 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S42 | 1 | Goris-Annelies-HeleenCarolien.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S43 | 58 | Bodlaender-MaartenPeter.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR' | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S44 | 79 | Bodlaender-Maarten-P. in. | USPGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| S45 | 4 | Bodlaender-Maarten.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |


| S46 | 145 | S41 or S42 or S43 or S44 or S45 | US-PGPUB; USPAT; EPO; JPO; DERWENT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 15: 55 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S47 | 5 | S46 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple) with (body user subject wearer person human)).clm. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| S48 | 167 | 702/160.ccls. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| S49 | 1502 | 600/595.ccls. | US PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 09 \end{aligned}$ |
| S50 | 135 | S48 and ((location located locating locate place placement placed placing compensated compensation compensate compensating adjust adjusting adjusted adjustment varied various numerous many multiple different) with (body user subject wearer person human)) | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| S51 | 1 | (10/986303).APP. | USPAT; USOCR | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 17: 02 \end{aligned}$ |
| S52 | 0 | (7107180").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 17: 04 \end{aligned}$ |
| S53 | 1 | ("6560903"). PN. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR | OFF | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| S54 | 7 | "942802".ap. | US-PGPUB; USPAT | OR' | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| S55 | 21 | ("6560903").URPN. | USPAT | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 18: 25 \end{aligned}$ |

## EAST Search History (Interference)

Ref \# Hits Search Query $\quad$ DBs $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Default } \\ \text { Operator }\end{array}\right]$ Plurals Time Stamp

| S56 | 4 | Goris-Annelies.in. | US-PGPUB; USPAT; UPAD | OR | ON | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 / 10 / 31 \\ & 16: 01 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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Mail Stop: Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDMENT

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed May 27, 2010, in the aboveidentified application, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## IN THE ABSTRACT

Please replace the Abstract with the following amended paragraph:

A measuring system [[(1)]] comprises a sensor [[(6)]] arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject. The measuring system further comprises meas a microprocessor for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value. The sensor is arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject. The measuring system further comprises means a microprocessor for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject. The means microprocessor for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the subject-related value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

## IN THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheets contain changes to Figures 1A, 1B, 1C, and 7. In Figure 1A, character 23 has been omitted. In Figure 1B, character 7 has been omitted. In Figure 1C, character 8 has been omitted. In Figure 7, arrowheads have been added throughout the figure and a symbol has been added to step 142. These sheets, which contain Figures 1A, $1 \mathrm{~B}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{D}$, and 7 , replace the original sheets containing these figures.

Attachments: Replacement Sheets
Annotated Sheets showing changes

## IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please replace the paragraph at page 9 , lines $23-35$ with the following amended paragraph:

Figure 4 shows an embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a reference position. In step 100 , the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value at the reference position. Preferably, the sensor 6 is a tri-axial accelerometer, and the measurement value is a triple containing acceleration information in $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z-direction Z-directions. In step 101, the activity monitor computes the corresponding activity parameter, for example energy expenditure. For a tri-axial accelerometer attached to the back of the waist, a method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure is disclosed in "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" by Guy Plasqui, Ph.D. thesis, Maastricht University, 2004, (incorporated herein by reference) referred to hereinafter as "Plasqui". The back of the waist is near the center of the body and a triaxial accelerometer attached thereto provides a good estimation of overall movements.

Please replace the paragraph at page 11 , line 33 - page 12 , line 6 with the following amended paragraph:

After the position of the sensor 6 on the subject has been determined in step 116, and the position is not a reference position (step 118, branch 122), in step 119 the measured value is compensated for by the difference between the value at the position at which the sensor is attached and the corresponding value at a reference position, making use of the information in a compensation database 120, in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5A. However, if the position is a reference position, (step 118, branch 123), the method continues to step 121 . Finally, in step 121 the activity parameter is computed from the [[,]] possibly compensated [[,]] measured value [[,]] in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5.

Please replace the paragraph at page 12 , line 16 - page 13 , line 2 with the following amended paragraph:

Figure 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of an initialization procedure for the compensation database. In this embodiment, a sequence of steps is performed iteratively. As a first step 130, $i$ and $j$ are both initialized to 1 . Each iteration starts with step 131 comprising an instruction to the subject to perform predefined activity $i$. The instruction can comprise a spoken instruction to walk, sit, or stand, or it can for example comprise showing the activity on a display for a predefined duration. Then, in step 132 the subject performs the predefined activity $i$, while the sensor 6 attached at position $j$ on the subject measures a physical value, in this case tri-axial acceleration, in step 133. Next, in step 134 the essential features are extracted from the measured value signal and stored in a feature database 135. These essential features may comprise decision rules or constants that are part of decision rules, similar to the constants $A, B, C$, and $D$ appearing in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 6. Similarly, essential signal patterns can be stored in the time domain, frequency domain, time-frequency domain, or any other domain or combination of domains. Simultaneously, if position $j$ is not a reference position (step 136, branch 145), in step 137 compensation parameters describing the difference between the measured value at the position of the sensor 6 on the subject and the corresponding value at a reference position are determined and stored in a compensation database 138. However, if position $j$ is a reference position (step 136, branch 144), then the method proceeds to step 139. These compensation parameters may comprise constants appearing in the compensation method, similar to the constants $a, b$, $a_{i}$, and $b_{i, j}$ occurring in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 5A.

Please replace the paragraph at page 13, lines 3-8 with the following amended paragraph:

To conclude an iteration, $i$ is increased in step 139 , and if $i$ is smaller than or equal to the number of predefined activities (step 140, branch 147), the iteration steps are repeated; otherwise (step 140, branch 146), $j$ is increased in step 141, and if $j$ is smaller than or equal to the predefined number of positions (step 142 , branch 148), $i$ is set to 1 in step

143 and the iteration steps are repeated. If $j$ is greater than the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 149), the initialization procedure is finished.

## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A measuring system [[(1)]] comprising:
a sensor $[[(6)]]$ arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, [[and]]
means for establishing the pesition of which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to en the sribject, and
means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
2. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head.
3. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to.
6. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration,-in partieular tri-axial acceleration.
7. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, further comprising means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, further comprising:
means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.
9. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 9, further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor [[(6)]] a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim [[9]] 10, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor $[[(6)]]$ on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 10, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor [[(6)]] on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values
measured during the time interval.
13. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 9, further comprising means for determining that the [[user]] subject is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor [[(6)]], the measured value relating to a time the [[user]] subject is performing the standardized activity.
14. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 13, wherein the means for determining that the [[user]] subject is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value.
15. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 13, further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user the subject to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, further comprising a user interface for receiving input from auser the subject related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, further comprising:
means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity, storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.
18. (Currently Amended) The measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 17, wherein the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (Currently Amended) A measuring system [[(1)]] according to claim 1, further comprising a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. (Currently Amended) A system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, the system comprising the measuring system $[[(1)]]$ according to claim 4 , means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure.
21. (Currently Amended) A method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising:
obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor [[(6)]] attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and establishing the position of which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to en the subject, and
deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
22. (New) The measuring system according to claim 6 , wherein the acceleration is a triaxial acceleration.

## REMARKS

## I. INTRODUCTION

Claims 1-21 have been amended. Claim 22 has been added. Thus, claims 1-22 are now pending in the present application. The drawings have been amended. The specification has been amended. No new matter has been added. In light of the above amendments and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance.

## II. THE DRAWING OBJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The drawings stand objected to for failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(5) and for informalities. In view of the amendments to the drawings and specification, the withdrawal of these objections is respectfully requested.

## III. THE SPECIFICATION OBJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

The Abstract stands objected to for containing legal phraseology. The specification stands objected to for informalities. In view of the amendments to the Abstract and the specification, the withdrawal of these objections is respectfully requested.

## IV. THE CLAIM OBJECTION IS RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED

Claim 11 stands objected to for informalities. In view of the amendment to claim 11 , the withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

## V. THE 35 U.S.C. § 112 RE.JECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 6 and 13-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for being indefinite. In view of the amendments to these claims, the withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

## VI. THE 35 U.S.C. § 101 REJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed towards nonstatutory subject matter. Specifically, the Examiner states that the recitation of "the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to" is directed to parts of a human body. (See 5/27/10 Office Action, p. 6). Applicants respectfully disagree. Claim 5 is not claiming the body part. Instead, claim 5 is directed to the activity parameter. The recitation of claim 5 further defines what exactly is being measured. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 5 is not directed to a body part and request the withdrawal of this rejection.

## VII. THE 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) REJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1, 8, and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Nasiff (U.S. Patent No. 5,111,826).

Claim 1, as amended, recites, "A measuring system comprising: a sensor arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject."

Nasiff discloses a "piezoelectric transducer clamped onto the fingertip" to determine a patient's blood pressure. (See Nasiff, Abstract, Fig. 1). Nasiff discloses that the conventional arm cuff units are undesirable because they cause the patient discomfort. (Id. at col. 1, Il. 62-63). The fingertip transducer of Nasiff cures this problem. It can either be worn on one finger or three fingers. (Id. at col. 4, 11. 37-41). However, despite the fact that the transducer can be worn on one or three fingers, Applicants respectfully submit that there is no indication that the device of Nasiff determines whether it is actually being worn on one finger or three fingers. As described above, claim 1 recites that the sensor may be "attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject" and
further includes "a means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to." That is, the system of claim 1 includes a sensor that can be attached to a plurality of positions on a subject's body and a means for determining which one of those positions the sensor has been attached to. The Examiner refers to Nasiff's disclosure of a hand position sensor which determines the position of the hand. One method of performing this determination is placing a pressure transducer at the end of a saline-filled tube that is connected to the heart and the hand. The pressure transducer senses a high pressure when the hand is below the heart because the weight of the blood forces more blood to flow to the hand. It senses a low pressure when the hand is above the heart because the heart needs to work harder to overcome the weight of the column of blood. (Id. at col. 7, 11. 35). Nasiff discloses that there are other methods to determine the position of the hand. (Id. at col. 7, ll. 35-44). However, these techniques are used to determine the position of the hand with respect to the heart and not "which of the plurality of positions the sensor is attached to" as recited in claim 1. Applicants respectfully submit there would be no need to determine where on the user's body the transducer of Nasiff is worn since its placement is predetermined. Accordingly, Nasiff fails to disclose or suggest " $a$ sensor arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject" and "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to," as recited in claim 1. It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that claim 1 and its dependent claims 8 and 9 are allowable over Nasiff.

## VIII. THE 35 U.S.C. $\S 103(\mathrm{a})$ REJECTION SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Mault et al. (U.S. Published App. No. 2001/0049470) in view of Nasiff.

Claim 1 has been recited above. Mault discloses a diet and activity-monitoring device. (See Mault, Abstract). In one embodiment, the Mault device can be worn as a wristwatch. (Id. at $\mathbb{T}$ [0031], Fig. 1). In another separate embodiment embodiment, the device can be mounted on a patient's belt. (Id. at $\boldsymbol{\|}[0063]$, Fig. 9). Mault also discloses that mounting the device on clothing is possible. (Id. at ब [0013]). However, Applicants respectfully submit that all these possibilities are different (separate) embodiments. The
device that is worn on the patient's belt, as seen in Fig. 9 of Mault, cannot possibly be mounted on the patient's wrist. Also, Mault does not suggest that this is possible. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Mault suffers from the same deficiency as Nasiff, namely, that the device is placed at a predetermined position on the patient.

Furthermore, the Examiner correctly acknowledges that Mault fails to disclose "establishing the position of the sensor on the subject and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject." (See $5 / 27 / 10$, p. 9). If Mault did disclose that the monitoring device was attached to one of a plurality of areas on the patient (which Applicants do NOT concede), one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it would be necessary to determine the position of the device on the patient's body to compensate for measurement deviations that result because of the respective placement of the device. Accordingly, Mault does not disclose or suggest " $a$ sensor arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject" and "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to," as recited in claim 1.

Nasiff was previously discussed. Applicants respectfully submit that Mault and Nasiff, alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest "a sensor arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject" and "means for establishing which of the plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to," as recited in claim 1. It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-20 are allowable.

Claim 21, as amended, recites, "establishing which of a plurality of subject positions the sensor is attached to, and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, wherein the sensor is capable of being attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject." Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 21 is also allowable for at least the foregoing reasons presented with regards to claim 1.

## CONCLUSION

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 25, 2010
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1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $6 / 12 / 2008$.

2a) $\square$
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3) $\square$

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1-21$ is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are allowed.
6) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1-21$ is/are rejected.
7) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ is/are objected to.
8) $\square$ Claim(s) $\qquad$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
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9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) $\square$ The drawing(s) filed on $\qquad$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
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3. $\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
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5) $\square$ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) $\square$ Other: $\qquad$

## DETAILED ACTION

## Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because it is unclear why the number 23 is on top of Figure 1 A ; decision 142 appears to be missing $\leq$ (see page 13 lines $5-6$ of the specification); and Figure 7 the lines leading from 143 and 147 to before 131 are missing arrows. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121 (d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR $1.84(p)(5)$ because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the
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description: 7, 8, 123, 144 and 146. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37
CFR 1.121 (d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.
4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains "means for" on numerous occasions. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 9 line 27 "direction" should be "directions" and page 12 line 4 appears to be missing a word or phrase at "the,".

Appropriate correction is required.
6. The Examiner notes that page 9 lines 29-32 and subsequent references to "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" (Plasqui) appear to be an attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference. If this is the case, Applicant's attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to Plasqui is ineffective because 37 CFR 1.57 (b) (1) requires the use of the root words "incorporat(e)" and "reference". See also 37 CFR $1.57(\mathrm{~g})(1)$..

## Claim Objections

7. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 11 "time interval" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Invoking 35 USC § 112 Sixth Paragraph

8. The Examiner notes that the following limitations in claims 1, 7-14 and 17-20 invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph, as meeting the 3-prong analysis in MPEP 2181 I : means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject (claim 1); means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject (claims 9-13); means for deriving a
subject-related value from the measured value (claims 1 and 19); means for selecting a subset of a predefined set (claim 7); means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value (claim 8); means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated value (claim 8); means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values (claim 10); means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal (claim 12); means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity (claims 13 and 14); means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity (claims 17 and 18); storage means for storing at least one pattern (claim 17); means for determining a similarity measure (claim 17); means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure (claim 17); means for monitoring food consumption (claim 20); and means for deriving the calorie balance (claim 20).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
10. Claims 6 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 6, it is unclear if Applicant is claiming a tri-axial accelerometer or any accelerometer.

Regarding claims 13 and 16 , it is unclear if the user is the subject, or if the user is different from the subject (such as a nurse (user) applying a device to a patient (subject)). Claims 14 and 15 are rejected as depending on claim 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

11. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Human bodies and parts of human bodies are nonstatutory subject matter. See "body part" (claim 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
13. Claims 1, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent 5111826 (Nasiff).

Regarding claim 1, Nasiff discloses a measuring system comprising: a sensor (piezoelectric transducer 14) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological
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quantity of the subject (Figure 1 shows the transducer 14 on a finger 10; the transducer obtains a value representing blood pressure); means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject (Column 7 lines 19-44 determines the position of the sensor with respect to the chest); and means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject (Column 4 lines 42-50).

Regarding claim 8, Nasiff discloses the measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising: means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject (Column 4 lines 42-50, where the reference position is the level of the heart), and means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value (Column 4 lines 42-50, especially "the end reported blood pressure" lines 46-47).

Regarding claim 9, Nasiff discloses the measuring system of claim 1, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value (the measured blood pressure).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3736
15. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Publication 2001/0049470 (Mault) as modified by Nasiff.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 4, 20 and 21, Mault discloses a measuring system and a method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the system and method comprising: obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor attached to the subject (Figures 1, 6 and 9), the measured value representing a physical or physiological quantity of the subject (Figure 6), and arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of position on a subject (Figures 1 and 9); wherein the activity parameter
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comprises energy expenditure (see entire document, including Figure 5); and further comprising means for monitoring food consumption (Figure 5) and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure (Figures 5 and 6).

Mault does not expressly disclose establishing the position of the sensor on the subject and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject. Nasiff teaches establishing the position of the sensor on the subject (Column 7 lines 19-44 determines the position of the sensor with respect to the chest); and deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject (Column 4 lines 42-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the establishing of the position of the sensor and deriving the subject-related value from the measured value as taught by Nasiff in the invention of Mault to provide for more accurate results regarding the different sensor placements of Mault.

Regarding claims 2 and 6-19, Mault as modified by Nasiff teach that the plurality of positions include a wrist and a waist (Mault Figures 1 and 9); the measured value comprises acceleration (Mault paragraph 14); means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further quantities of the subject in dependance on the position of the sensor, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset (Mault heart rate sensor 104, respiration sensor 105 Figure 4); means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject (Nasiff Column 4 lines 42-50, where the
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reference position is the level of the heart), and means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value (Nasiff Column 4 lines 42-50, especially "the end reported blood pressure" lines 46-47), wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value (Nasiff the measured blood pressure); means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval (Nasiff, it would be obvious to measure position of the sensor over time in the case where someone was moving during measurement); wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively (Nasiff, see entire document, including Column 4 lines 42-50 and Column 7 lines 19-44); wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval (Nasiff, see entire document, including Column 4 lines $42-50$ and Column 7 lines 19-44, also this is well known with motion sensors such as in Mault); means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the
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standardized activity (Mault, see entire document, including [0008]); the means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value (Mault, motion sensor 140 data); a user interface for receiving input from a user to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity (Mault mode control 106); a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject (obvious in view of Mault as modified by Nasiff); means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity (Mault Figures 4 and 6), storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner (Mault memory 92), means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern (Mault, this is well known in identifying standardized activities), and means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure (output of Mault, see for example Figures 3A and 5); means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities (Mault, see entire document, including [0008]); and a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value (Mault heart rate sensor 104 and respiration sensor 105 as modified by Nasiff).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY M. LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)272-2951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily M Lloyd<br>Examiner<br>Art Unit 3736
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## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of
GORIS et al.
Serial No.
Filed: CONCURRENTLY
DETECTION AND COMPENSATION METHOD FOR MONITORING THE PLACE OF ACTIVITY ON THE BODY

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Sir:
Prior to calculation of the filing fee and examination, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

## IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (original) A measuring system (1) comprising

- a sensor (6) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

2. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head.
3. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 3 , wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to.
6. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration.
7. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , further comprising means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising

- means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.

9. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 9, further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor (6) a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. (currently amended) The measuring system (1) according to claim 9 .or $\hat{\theta}$, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor (6) on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor (6) on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. (currently amended) The measuring system (1) according to claim $9,3 \in$, further comprising means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor (6), the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity.
14. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 13 , wherein the means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value.
15. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 13 , further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising - means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity, - storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,

- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
- means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

18. (original) The measuring system (1) according to claim 17 , wherein the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. (original) A measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subjectrelated value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. (original) A system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, the system comprising the measuring system (1) according to claim 4, means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure.
21. (original) A method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising - obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor (6) attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and - establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and - deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

## REMARKS

This is a preliminary amendment. The claims are amended for non-statutory reasons: to remove multiple dependencies, to correct one or more informalities, to remove figure label number(s), and/or to replace European-style claim phraseology with American-style claim language. The scope of the claims have not been changed and no new matter is added.

The amendment to the claims does not address issues of patentability. Applicant(s) reserve(s) the right to continue prosecution of any subject matter canceled, or not claimed because of eliminating multiple dependencies, in this, a divisional, or other continuing application.

Examination of the application is now respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

By $\quad$ Yan Glickberg/
Yan Glickberg, Reg. 51,742
Attorney
(914) 333-9618
 International Bureau
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Detection and compensation method for monitoring the place of activity on the body

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a measuring system comprising

- a sensor arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value.

The invention also relates to a system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, and to a method of estimating a subject-related derived value.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Overweight and obesity are growing problems; for example over $60 \%$ of the American population can be classified as overweight or obese. Weight gain occurs when a human has a higher energy intake (food) than energy expenditure (resting metabolic rate + activity). Since this unbalance causes the weight gain problem, many weight loss programs require that users $\log$ the food consumed and activities done in order to estimate the calorie balance.

Tables and equations exist for converting nutrition values and activities into calorie intake and expenditure, respectively. However, manual calorie counting is a cumbersome process requiring knowledge, time, effort, recording and discipline. Thus, calorie logging is a problem for many people and hence it is a challenge for researchers to find a solution therefore.

In US patent application publication US2003/0065257, a combination of a diet and activity-monitoring device is described for monitoring both the consumption and activity of the subject. Such a monitoring device includes a body activity monitor for monitoring the body activity of the subject. The body activity monitor is operable to output a signal indicative of the subject's body activity. An activity calculator may also be provided, which receives the activity indicative signal and determines body activity level and/or energy expenditure for the subject. The monitoring device may take the form of a wristwatch-style device or a belt or clothing-mounted monitor. The monitoring device may comprise a heart rate monitor. The heart rate of the subject increases with activity and decreases when the
subject is resting. The activity monitor may be calibrated using an indirect calorimeter. The heart rate sensor may be part of the wristwatch-style activity monitor, or it may be provided as a separate unit, for example in the form of a chest-strap, which communicates with the activity monitor.

The activity monitor may alternatively comprise a motion sensor such as a mechanical pendulum or a single or multi-axis accelerometer. An accelerometer is preferred since it may provide information on body movement as well as the direction and intensity of the movement. The motion sensor may form part of the wristwatch or belt or clothingmounted monitoring device or may be part of a separate accessory that communicates with the monitoring device. The body activity monitor may be calibrated to determine activityrelated energy expenditure using an indirect calorimeter.

As another alternative, the body activity monitor may include multiple modes for recording a variety of activities, such as swimming, biking, and use of stationary exercise equipment. The subject presses a start button and the body activity monitor will record the duration of the activity.

Furthermore, the monitoring device also preferably includes a consumption notation control for use by the subject to indicate when the subject consumes food. The body activity monitor and the consumption notation control may take a variety of forms. It may include a GPS antenna to determine the position of the subject using GPS signals. It may combine a time-indicative signal with the GPS signals to determine changes in position of the subject as well as the rate of change in position. This allows determination of movement or body activity. The device may be calibrated to determine caloric expenditure from the measured body activity.

Moreover, a position and/or activity discriminator may be included in or communicating with the body activity monitor. The discriminator functions to determine the position and/or activity of the subject by determining the proximity of the subject to various devices and locations, such as exercise equipment and buildings. For example, it may be determined that the subject is close to running shoes to discriminate the activity of running. In a more advanced configuration, proximity to running shoes may be combined with GPS signals, heart rate sensor and/or motion sensor output to allow the activity calculator to determine the type of activity being performed, the duration of the activity, and the intensity of the activity.

When the user uses the system for the first time, he or she may designate certain movement patterns as correlating with certain activities. This will aid to calibrate the activity monitor.

Each of the described activity monitors and sensors is designed to be placed on a single position on the body. Bodily signals such as acceleration and ECG signals, are measured local to the position where the sensor is attached to the object. For example, acceleration measured by an accelerometer on the wrist includes motion of the arm, which is not detected by an accelerometer mounted on the waist.

The existing activity monitors, including for example a wrist strap or a waist belt, are often designed to be worn on one place on the body. The existing activity monitor is calibrated such that it provides accurate results if it is attached at a predefined location on the body. If the activity monitor is attached at a different location, the measured activity may be less accurate. If the activity monitor is not attached at the reference position, errors exceeding $5 \%$ in the estimation of energy expenditure may occur. This amounts to an error exceeding approximately 100 kilocalories per day.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a measuring system that yields a more accurate estimate of a parameter relating to a subject.

According to the invention, this object is achieved in that

- the sensor is arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject,
- the measuring system further comprises means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the subject-related value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

With these provisions, the accuracy of the derived value is guaranteed for any of a plurality of positions on the body. The subject may attach the sensor at any preferred position on the body, and can preferably attach it at a different position whenever he or she desires to do so.

Subjects may have different preferences where they prefer wearing the sensor, and the same subject may prefer wearing the sensor at different locations, depending on for example the location of the subject, the local weather, or the activity the subject is performing at any given time. Advantageously, a plurality of sensors are attached at different
positions on the subject and the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for combining the respective obtained measured values in order to increase the accuracy of the derived value.

The invention is particularly suitable for determining a derived value relating to a human or an animal.

According to an aspect of the invention, the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head. This allows for an especially flexible use of the activity monitor, because the positions mentioned are especially well suited for measuring the activity of the subject, and they are particularly convenient for wearing a sensor device.

According to another aspect of the invention, the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject. With this aspect, the measuring system becomes an activity monitor that enables to monitor the degree of activity performed by the subject. Other possible derived values include a temperature value or an ECG value that is automatically compensated for by the location of the sensor. Advantageously, the position of the sensor on the subject is established in dependence on an accelerometer measurement and a temperature or ECG value is compensated for in dependence on the established position.

According to an aspect of the invention, the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure. This makes the activity monitor particularly suitable for use in weight management.

According to another aspect of the invention, the activity parameter represents the degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to. When the position of the sensor on the subject is known, it becomes possible to monitor activity parameters related to a specific body part. For example, if the sensor is attached to the arm, the activity monitor can track energy expenditure, and in addition can track local acceleration of the arm. For example, with additional information provided by fitness equipment, the forces applied to the arm can be estimated and combined with acceleration information provided by the sensor to obtain local energy expenditure. This enables subjects to optimize a training schedule to train a specific body part. Also, if a predefined safety limit is exceeded, this can be provided as feedback to the user to avoid potentially dangerous situations.

According to an aspect of the invention, the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration. These examples of measured values are correlated with activity.

According to another aspect of the invention, the system further comprises means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset. The subset may contain zero or more further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject, for example temperature, ECG, or acceleration. This aspect allows the system to generate measurements that are particularly relevant to the body part the sensor is attached to. For example, a temperature measurement may provide relevant information when the temperature sensor is attached to the trunk of the body, and not when it is attached to, for example, an ankle. The system can take this into account for example by disregarding, or compensating, temperature measurement if the sensor is attached to an ankle. Further physical and/or physiological quantities, such as heart rate or temperature, can be used alongside for example a derived activity parameter in various health applications.

According to another aspect of the invention, the system further comprises

- means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.

This aspect allows the measuring system to accurately measure, for example, an activity parameter with the sensor at one of a plurality of positions on the subject, even if the measuring system is calibrated for only a single reference position, because the deviation of the measured value caused by wearing the activity monitor at a different position is compensated for. The accuracy may be increased even further by calibrating a plurality of reference positions. In case a plurality of reference positions is calibrated, and the sensor is attached at a position that is not a reference position, the means for converting can compensate the measured value with respect to the nearest reference position or with respect to a weighted average of reference positions, thereby increasing the accuracy further.

Another aspect of the invention is characterized in that the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for determining the position in dependence on the measured value. This allows the sensor to be attached at different positions on the subject, without any additional user interaction to indicate the actual position of the device.

According to another aspect of the invention, it further comprises means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and
wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for determining the position in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval. This allows the position of the sensor to be determined in an especially reliable manner.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for determining the position on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively. A set of rules, preferably part of a rule-based system, possibly making use of fuzzy logic, is particularly suited for determining the position of the sensor.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval. A pattern recognition means is especially favorable to achieve a high reliability in establishing the position of the sensor on the subject.

According to an aspect of the invention, it further comprises means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity. This allows the activity monitor to determine the position of the device on the body with greater certainty. Preferably, the standardized activity has a repetition pattern with a cycle time of, for example, 1 to 2 seconds and the standardized activity is performed for at least five cycles.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for establishing that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for establishing the activity in dependence on at least one measured value. This increases the accuracy and reduces the amount of required user interaction.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises a user interface for receiving input from a user for indicating when the subject is performing the standardized activity. This allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject. This allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises

- means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity,
- storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
- means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

If the sensor position and the type of activity are known, the actual body movements can be compared to movements that are "optimal" for that particular activity. This translates into a measure of efficiency and proficiency in the selected activity. For example, inexperienced runners have a larger vertical acceleration component than experienced runners. Optimal movement patterns can be looked up in a database with key (desired activity, body part), and a pattern-matching technique can be used to determine how the actual pattern compares to the optimal pattern. Moreover, suggestions to change movement patterns of the specific body part can be given, such as for example, "when striking a ball with a racket, try to move in a continuous circular motion, and do not stop the motion after impact, to maximize acceleration of the ball upon impact". Alternatively, movements associated with health problems such as a baseball-arm, can be detected and feedback can be provided about the undesired movements.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities. This allows the activity monitor to distinguish between a plurality of activities of the subject, so that feedback can be provided in relation to the established activity.

According to another aspect of the invention, it further comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value. Advantageously, a plurality of sensors are attached at different positions on the subject and the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for combining the respective obtained measured values in order to increase the accuracy of the derived value. Advantageously, the sensors communicate with each other or with a central unit, for example by means of a wireless or wired connection, for coordinated processing of the obtained measured values.

The system for determining the calorie balance of a subject according to the invention is characterized in that it comprises the activity monitor set forth, means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure. This system can provide accurate calorie balance because the activity parameter is determined with a high degree of accuracy.

The method according to the invention is characterized in that

- the method further comprises the step of determining the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- the step of deriving the subject-related value is performed also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.


## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other aspects of the method of the invention will be further elucidated and described with reference to the drawing, in which:

Figs. 1 A,B,C,D show sketches of a device with attachment means that can be attached at several positions on a subject.

Fig. 2 shows an activity monitor with user interface.
Fig. 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device with a sensor connected to a separate unit by means of a wireless link.

Fig. 4 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention.

Figs. 5 A,B show diagrams of embodiments of the measuring method according to the invention including the compensation method according to the invention.

Fig. 6 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention including the method according to the invention to determine the position of the sensor on the subject.

Fig. 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention including the compensation initialization method according to the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1A shows an activity monitor 1 comprising a sensor 6 (shown in dotted lines), a display 10 , and strap attachment means 5 . The activity monitor 1 further comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for computing and displaying an activity parameter. The sensor 6 can comprise a single-axial or multi-axial accelerometer, a temperature sensor, an electrical
sensor for measuring electrical body signals such as the ECG signal, a heart rate sensor, a pedometer, a global or local positioning system, or any other type of sensor. Such sensors are known to the skilled artisan. Figure 1B shows the activity monitor 1, fixed to a short strap 2 with a buckle 4, and Figure 1C shows the activity monitor 1 fixed to a long strap 3. The short strap 2 is suitable for attaching the activity monitor to a wrist or ankle, while the long strap 3 is suitable for attaching the activity monitor to a waist or chest. Figure 1D shows a side view of the activity monitor illustrating a clip 9 fixed to the back of the activity monitor, making it possible to attach the activity monitor to clothing. The activity monitor with accessories as shown can be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject. The display 10 can be a touch-screen display for having a subject provide input to the activity monitor.

Figure 2 shows an activity monitor 20 with at least one button 21,22 , a display 23 , and a sensor 24 . The button can be used for receiving input from a user. Preferably, more buttons are provided to make it easier for the subject to provide different kinds of input to the activity monitor.

Figure 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device 30 with a sensor 33 . The device 30 does not have any buttons or display. The device 30 has means to communicate with a separate unit 31, preferably using a wireless link 32 such as WIFI or Bluetooth. The separate unit 31 is used to control the device 30 . The separate unit 31 , for example a personal computer or a personal digital assistant, comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for processing the information gathered by the device 30 by means of sensor 33 . The separate unit 31 further comprises means for receiving user input and communicating the processed information to a user.

Figure 4 shows an embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a reference position. In step 100 , the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value at the reference position. Preferably, the sensor 6 is a tri-axial accelerometer, and the measurement value is a triple containing acceleration information in X, Y, and Z-direction. In step 101, the activity monitor computes the corresponding activity parameter, for example energy expenditure. For a triaxial accelerometer attached to the back of the waist, a method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure is disclosed in "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" by Guy Plasqui, Ph.D. thesis, Maastricht University, 2004, referred to hereinafter as "Plasqui". The back of the waist is near the center of the body and a tri-axial accelerometer attached thereto provides a good estimation of overall movements.

Figure 5A shows an example of embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a position on the subject that is not a reference position. In step 105, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value measured at the position where the sensor is attached. After this, in step 106, the measurement value is compensated for the difference of the value at the position the sensor 6 is attached and the corresponding value at the reference position. After this, in step 108 , the activity parameter, in this case energy expenditure, is computed using the method of computing the corresponding energy expenditure disclosed in Plasqui. The compensation method of step 106, in a very simple version, in this embodiment can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\mathrm{corrected}}=a+b x_{\mathrm{raw}},
$$

where $X_{\text {raw }}$ represents the measured value at the position where the sensor 6 is attached, $\mathrm{x}_{\text {corrected }}$ is the corrected measured value, and $a$ and $b$ are compensation constants that have been stored in a compensation database 107 as part of an initialization procedure. In a multivariate system, where the measurement value comprises a tuple, for example the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z-components measured by a tri-axial accelerometer, the compensation method can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\text {corrected }, i}=a_{i}+b_{i, 1} x_{\mathrm{raw}, 1}+b_{i, 2} x_{\mathrm{raw}, 2}+\cdots+b_{i, N} x_{\mathrm{raw}, N},
$$

where $x_{\mathrm{rav}, 1}, x_{\mathrm{rav}, 2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{rav}, N}$ represent the $N$ components of the measurement value tuple; $x_{\text {corrected }, i}$ represents the $i$-th component of the corrected measurement value tuple
$\left(x_{\text {corrected, }, 1}, x_{\text {corrected }, 2}, \ldots, x_{\text {corrected }, N}\right)$, and $a_{i}$ and $b_{i, j}$, for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$, are compensation constants that have been stored in a compensation database 107 as part of an initialization procedure. This example of a compensation method is particularly easy to implement. Other, potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods.

Figure 5B shows an alternative embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a position on the subject that is not a reference position. In step 110 , the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value measured at the position where it is attached. After this, in step 111, the activity parameter, in this case energy expenditure, is computed using the method of computing the corresponding energy expenditure disclosed in Plasqui. Finally, in step 112 the computed energy expenditure is compensated for the difference of the energy expenditure as
computed from the value measured at the position where the sensor 6 is attached and the "real" energy expenditure that would have been obtained if the sensor had been attached at the reference position. The compensation method, which is similar to the compensation method appearing in the embodiment according to Figure 5A, makes use of the information stored in the compensation database 113.

Figure 6 shows a diagram of an embodiment of a method of determining the position on the subject where the sensor 6 is attached and of computing the activity parameter, regardless of where the sensor was attached. In step 115, the measured value or a sequence of measured values is obtained from the sensor 6 . Next, the position on the body is determined in step 116, using information from a feature database 117. To determine the position of the sensor 6 on the body, the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are position-dependent. Also, the subject is instructed to perform predefined standardized activities, such as walking, sitting, and standing, preferably for about 20 seconds each. Alternatively, the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs. Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject. Thereto, a number of predefined rules are used. These rules can be in the form of "if...then" rules. An example of such a rule is: "if the measured value during walking is in the range of $A$ to $B$ times higher than during sitting, the sensor 6 is positioned on the lower arm", where $A$ and $B$ are constants stored in the feature database 117. Another example of such a rule is: "if the measured value during walking is in the range of $C$ to $D$, the sensor 6 is positioned on the leg", where $C$ and $D$ are constants stored in the feature database 117 . The rules can also be implemented in terms of fuzzy logic rules. Other ways to provide a set of rules, including for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan. In a preferred embodiment, the position of the sensor 6 on the subject is determined by means of pattern recognition. The pattern recognition can be performed for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database 117. The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain. Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied.

After the position of the sensor 6 on the subject has been determined in step 116, and the position is not a reference position (step 118, branch 122), in step 119 the
measured value is compensated for by the difference between the value at the position at which the sensor is attached and the corresponding value at a reference position, making use of the information in a compensation database 120 , in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5A. Finally, in step 121 the activity parameter is computed from the, possibly compensated, measured value, in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5.

In another embodiment, the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above. In that case, after the position has been determined, the computed activity parameter is compensated for the difference between the computed activity parameter and the corresponding activity parameter computed from a value measured at a reference position, while information stored in a compensation database is made use of in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5B. It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6 , in the step to determine the position $116 \mathrm{and} /$ or in the step to compensate for the difference 119.

Figure 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of an initialization procedure for the compensation database. In this embodiment, a sequence of steps is performed iteratively. As a first step $130, i$ and $j$ are both initialized to 1 . Each iteration starts with step 131 comprising an instruction to the subject to perform predefined activity $i$. The instruction can comprise a spoken instruction to walk, sit, or stand, or it can for example comprise showing the activity on a display for a predefined duration. Then, in step 132 the subject performs the predefined activity $i$, while the sensor 6 attached at position $j$ on the subject measures a physical value, in this case tri-axial acceleration, in step 133. Next, in step 134 the essential features are extracted from the measured value signal and stored in a feature database 135. These essential features may comprise decision rules or constants that are part of decision rules, similar to the constants $A, B, C$, and $D$ appearing in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 6. Similarly, essential signal patterns can be stored in the time domain, frequency domain, time-frequency domain, or any other domain or combination of domains. Simultaneously, if position $j$ is not a reference position (step 136, branch 145), in step 137 compensation parameters describing the difference between the measured value at the position of the sensor 6 on the subject and the corresponding value at a reference position are determined and stored in a compensation database 138. These compensation parameters may
comprise constants appearing in the compensation method, similar to the constants $a, b, a_{i}$, and $b_{i, j}$ occurring in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 5A.

To conclude an iteration, $i$ is increased in step 139, and if $i$ is smaller than or equal to the number of predefined activities (step 140, branch 147), the iteration steps are repeated; otherwise, $j$ is increased in step 141 , and if $j$ is smaller than or equal to the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 148), $i$ is set to 1 in step 143 and the iteration steps are repeated. If $j$ is greater than the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 149), the initialization procedure is finished.

In general, this sequence could be paralleled further, for example by using a plurality of sensors 6 to measure the value at a plurality of positions on the subject simultaneously. In this embodiment, the steps of extracting compensation parameters and extracting essential signal features are performed in parallel. However, they can also be performed sequentially. In an alternative embodiment, the activity parameter is computed after the sensor 6 has delivered the signal in step 133, and before extracting essential features in step 134 and determining compensation parameters in step 137. It is also possible to compute at least one derived quantity from the values measured by the sensor 6 , and perform the steps of extracting essential features and determining compensation parameters based on the derived quantity.

In another embodiment, the initialization of the compensation database and the feature database are performed on the basis of a population of subjects. All subjects are asked to perform the standardized activities, the measured values are obtained from the sensor 6 at multiple positions on the subject, and after the data of all subjects have been collected and stored in an intermediate database, the compensation database and the feature database are filled with values that are representative of the population. This embodiment has the advantage that the activity monitor needs to be initialized only once, possibly by the manufacturer, and after that an unlimited number of activity monitors can be produced using the same database values.

It will be appreciated that the invention also extends to computer programs, particularly computer programs on or in a carrier, adapted for putting the invention into practice. The program may be in the form of source code, object code, a code intermediate source and object code such as partially compiled form, or in any other form suitable for use in the implementation of the method according to the invention. The carrier may be any entity or device capable of carrying the program. For example, the carrier may include a
storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk. Further, the carrier may be a transmissible carrier such as an electrical or optical signal, which may be conveyed via electrical or optical cable or by radio or other means. When the program is embodied in such a signal, the carrier may be constituted by such cable or other device or means. Alternatively, the carrier may be an integrated circuit in which the program is embedded, the integrated circuit being adapted for performing, or for use in the performance of, the relevant method.

A measuring system comprises a sensor arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject. The measuring system further comprises means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value. The sensor is arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject. The measuring system further comprises means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject. The means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the subject-related value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

It should be noted that the above-mentioned embodiments illustrate rather than limit the invention, and that those skilled in the art will be able to design many alternative embodiments without departing from the scope of the appended claims. In the claims, any reference signs placed between parentheses shall not be construed as limiting the claim. Use of the verb "to comprise" and its conjugations does not exclude the presence of elements or steps other than those stated in a claim. The article "a" or "an" preceding an element does not exclude the presence of a plurality of such elements. The invention may be implemented by means of hardware comprising several distinct elements, and by means of a suitably programmed computer. In the device claim enumerating several means, several of these means may be embodied by one and the same item of hardware. The mere fact that certain measures are recited in mutually different dependent claims does not indicate that a combination of these measures cannot be used to advantage.

## CLAIMS:

1. A measuring system (1) comprising

- a sensor (6) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

2. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head.
3. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. The measuring system (1) according to claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to.
6. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration.
7. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising

- means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.

9. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. The measuring system (1) according to claim 9 , further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor (6) a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. The measuring system (1) according to claim 9 or 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor (6) on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. The measuring system (1) according to claim 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor (6) on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. The measuring system (1) according to claim 9 or 10 , further comprising means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor (6), the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity.
14. The measuring system (1) according to claim 13, wherein the means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value.
15. The measuring system (1) according to claim 13 , further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising - means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity,

- storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
- means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

18. The measuring system (1) according to claim 17 , wherein the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. A measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. A system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, the system comprising the measuring system (1) according to claim 4 , means for monitoring food
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consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure.
21. A method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising

- obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor (6) attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
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Detection and compensation method for monitoring the place of activity on the body

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a measuring system comprising

- a sensor arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value.

The invention also relates to a system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, and to a method of estimating a subject-related derived value.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Overweight and obesity are growing problems; for example over $60 \%$ of the American population can be classified as overweight or obese. Weight gain occurs when a human has a higher energy intake (food) than energy expenditure (resting metabolic rate + activity). Since this unbalance causes the weight gain problem, many weight loss programs require that users $\log$ the food consumed and activities done in order to estimate the calorie balance.

Tables and equations exist for converting nutrition values and activities into calorie intake and expenditure, respectively. However, manual calorie counting is a cumbersome process requiring knowledge, time, effort, recording and discipline. Thus, calorie logging is a problem for many people and hence it is a challenge for researchers to find a solution therefore.

In US patent application publication US2003/0065257, a combination of a diet and activity-monitoring device is described for monitoring both the consumption and activity of the subject. Such a monitoring device includes a body activity monitor for monitoring the body activity of the subject. The body activity monitor is operable to output a signal indicative of the subject's body activity. An activity calculator may also be provided, which receives the activity indicative signal and determines body activity level and/or energy expenditure for the subject. The monitoring device may take the form of a wristwatch-style device or a belt or clothing-mounted monitor. The monitoring device may comprise a heart rate monitor. The heart rate of the subject increases with activity and decreases when the
subject is resting. The activity monitor may be calibrated using an indirect calorimeter. The heart rate sensor may be part of the wristwatch-style activity monitor, or it may be provided as a separate unit, for example in the form of a chest-strap, which communicates with the activity monitor.

The activity monitor may alternatively comprise a motion sensor such as a mechanical pendulum or a single or multi-axis accelerometer. An accelerometer is preferred since it may provide information on body movement as well as the direction and intensity of the movement. The motion sensor may form part of the wristwatch or belt or clothingmounted monitoring device or may be part of a separate accessory that communicates with the monitoring device. The body activity monitor may be calibrated to determine activityrelated energy expenditure using an indirect calorimeter.

As another alternative, the body activity monitor may include multiple modes for recording a variety of activities, such as swimming, biking, and use of stationary exercise equipment. The subject presses a start button and the body activity monitor will record the duration of the activity.

Furthermore, the monitoring device also preferably includes a consumption notation control for use by the subject to indicate when the subject consumes food. The body activity monitor and the consumption notation control may take a variety of forms. It may include a GPS antenna to determine the position of the subject using GPS signals. It may combine a time-indicative signal with the GPS signals to determine changes in position of the subject as well as the rate of change in position. This allows determination of movement or body activity. The device may be calibrated to determine caloric expenditure from the measured body activity.

Moreover, a position and/or activity discriminator may be included in or communicating with the body activity monitor. The discriminator functions to determine the position and/or activity of the subject by determining the proximity of the subject to various devices and locations, such as exercise equipment and buildings. For example, it may be determined that the subject is close to running shoes to discriminate the activity of running. In a more advanced configuration, proximity to running shoes may be combined with GPS signals, heart rate sensor and/or motion sensor output to allow the activity calculator to determine the type of activity being performed, the duration of the activity, and the intensity of the activity.

When the user uses the system for the first time, he or she may designate certain movement patterns as correlating with certain activities. This will aid to calibrate the activity monitor.

Each of the described activity monitors and sensors is designed to be placed on a single position on the body. Bodily signals such as acceleration and ECG signals, are measured local to the position where the sensor is attached to the object. For example, acceleration measured by an accelerometer on the wrist includes motion of the arm, which is not detected by an accelerometer mounted on the waist.

The existing activity monitors, including for example a wrist strap or a waist belt, are often designed to be worn on one place on the body. The existing activity monitor is calibrated such that it provides accurate results if it is attached at a predefined location on the body. If the activity monitor is attached at a different location, the measured activity may be less accurate. If the activity monitor is not attached at the reference position, errors exceeding $5 \%$ in the estimation of energy expenditure may occur. This amounts to an error exceeding approximately 100 kilocalories per day.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a measuring system that yields a more accurate estimate of a parameter relating to a subject.

According to the invention, this object is achieved in that

- the sensor is arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject,
- the measuring system further comprises means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the subject-related value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

With these provisions, the accuracy of the derived value is guaranteed for any of a plurality of positions on the body. The subject may attach the sensor at any preferred position on the body, and can preferably attach it at a different position whenever he or she desires to do so.

Subjects may have different preferences where they prefer wearing the sensor, and the same subject may prefer wearing the sensor at different locations, depending on for example the location of the subject, the local weather, or the activity the subject is performing at any given time. Advantageously, a plurality of sensors are attached at different
positions on the subject and the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for combining the respective obtained measured values in order to increase the accuracy of the derived value.

The invention is particularly suitable for determining a derived value relating to a human or an animal.

According to an aspect of the invention, the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head. This allows for an especially flexible use of the activity monitor, because the positions mentioned are especially well suited for measuring the activity of the subject, and they are particularly convenient for wearing a sensor device.

According to another aspect of the invention, the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject. With this aspect, the measuring system becomes an activity monitor that enables to monitor the degree of activity performed by the subject. Other possible derived values include a temperature value or an ECG value that is automatically compensated for by the location of the sensor. Advantageously, the position of the sensor on the subject is established in dependence on an accelerometer measurement and a temperature or ECG value is compensated for in dependence on the established position.

According to an aspect of the invention, the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure. This makes the activity monitor particularly suitable for use in weight management.

According to another aspect of the invention, the activity parameter represents the degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to. When the position of the sensor on the subject is known, it becomes possible to monitor activity parameters related to a specific body part. For example, if the sensor is attached to the arm, the activity monitor can track energy expenditure, and in addition can track local acceleration of the arm. For example, with additional information provided by fitness equipment, the forces applied to the arm can be estimated and combined with acceleration information provided by the sensor to obtain local energy expenditure. This enables subjects to optimize a training schedule to train a specific body part. Also, if a predefined safety limit is exceeded, this can be provided as feedback to the user to avoid potentially dangerous situations.

According to an aspect of the invention, the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration. These examples of measured values are correlated with activity.

According to another aspect of the invention, the system further comprises means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset. The subset may contain zero or more further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject, for example temperature, ECG, or acceleration. This aspect allows the system to generate measurements that are particularly relevant to the body part the sensor is attached to. For example, a temperature measurement may provide relevant information when the temperature sensor is attached to the trunk of the body, and not when it is attached to, for example, an ankle. The system can take this into account for example by disregarding, or compensating, temperature measurement if the sensor is attached to an ankle. Further physical and/or physiological quantities, such as heart rate or temperature, can be used alongside for example a derived activity parameter in various health applications.

According to another aspect of the invention, the system further comprises

- means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.

This aspect allows the measuring system to accurately measure, for example, an activity parameter with the sensor at one of a plurality of positions on the subject, even if the measuring system is calibrated for only a single reference position, because the deviation of the measured value caused by wearing the activity monitor at a different position is compensated for. The accuracy may be increased even further by calibrating a plurality of reference positions. In case a plurality of reference positions is calibrated, and the sensor is attached at a position that is not a reference position, the means for converting can compensate the measured value with respect to the nearest reference position or with respect to a weighted average of reference positions, thereby increasing the accuracy further.

Another aspect of the invention is characterized in that the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for determining the position in dependence on the measured value. This allows the sensor to be attached at different positions on the subject, without any additional user interaction to indicate the actual position of the device.

According to another aspect of the invention, it further comprises means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and
wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for determining the position in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval. This allows the position of the sensor to be determined in an especially reliable manner.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for determining the position on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively. A set of rules, preferably part of a rule-based system, possibly making use of fuzzy logic, is particularly suited for determining the position of the sensor.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval. A pattern recognition means is especially favorable to achieve a high reliability in establishing the position of the sensor on the subject.

According to an aspect of the invention, it further comprises means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity. This allows the activity monitor to determine the position of the device on the body with greater certainty. Preferably, the standardized activity has a repetition pattern with a cycle time of, for example, 1 to 2 seconds and the standardized activity is performed for at least five cycles.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for establishing that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for establishing the activity in dependence on at least one measured value. This increases the accuracy and reduces the amount of required user interaction.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises a user interface for receiving input from a user for indicating when the subject is performing the standardized activity. This allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject. This allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises

- means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity,
- storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
- means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

If the sensor position and the type of activity are known, the actual body movements can be compared to movements that are "optimal" for that particular activity. This translates into a measure of efficiency and proficiency in the selected activity. For example, inexperienced runners have a larger vertical acceleration component than experienced runners. Optimal movement patterns can be looked up in a database with key (desired activity, body part), and a pattern-matching technique can be used to determine how the actual pattern compares to the optimal pattern. Moreover, suggestions to change movement patterns of the specific body part can be given, such as for example, "when striking a ball with a racket, try to move in a continuous circular motion, and do not stop the motion after impact, to maximize acceleration of the ball upon impact". Alternatively, movements associated with health problems such as a baseball-arm, can be detected and feedback can be provided about the undesired movements.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities. This allows the activity monitor to distinguish between a plurality of activities of the subject, so that feedback can be provided in relation to the established activity.

According to another aspect of the invention, it further comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value. Advantageously, a plurality of sensors are attached at different positions on the subject and the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for combining the respective obtained measured values in order to increase the accuracy of the derived value. Advantageously, the sensors communicate with each other or with a central unit, for example by means of a wireless or wired connection, for coordinated processing of the obtained measured values.

The system for determining the calorie balance of a subject according to the invention is characterized in that it comprises the activity monitor set forth, means for monitoring food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure. This system can provide accurate calorie balance because the activity parameter is determined with a high degree of accuracy.

The method according to the invention is characterized in that

- the method further comprises the step of determining the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- the step of deriving the subject-related value is performed also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.


## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other aspects of the method of the invention will be further elucidated and described with reference to the drawing, in which:

Figs. 1 A,B,C,D show sketches of a device with attachment means that can be attached at several positions on a subject.

Fig. 2 shows an activity monitor with user interface.
Fig. 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device with a sensor connected to a separate unit by means of a wireless link.

Fig. 4 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention.

Figs. 5 A,B show diagrams of embodiments of the measuring method according to the invention including the compensation method according to the invention.

Fig. 6 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention including the method according to the invention to determine the position of the sensor on the subject.

Fig. 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention including the compensation initialization method according to the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1A shows an activity monitor 1 comprising a sensor 6 (shown in dotted lines), a display 10 , and strap attachment means 5 . The activity monitor 1 further comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for computing and displaying an activity parameter. The sensor 6 can comprise a single-axial or multi-axial accelerometer, a temperature sensor, an electrical
sensor for measuring electrical body signals such as the ECG signal, a heart rate sensor, a pedometer, a global or local positioning system, or any other type of sensor. Such sensors are known to the skilled artisan. Figure 1B shows the activity monitor 1, fixed to a short strap 2 with a buckle 4, and Figure 1C shows the activity monitor 1 fixed to a long strap 3. The short strap 2 is suitable for attaching the activity monitor to a wrist or ankle, while the long strap 3 is suitable for attaching the activity monitor to a waist or chest. Figure 1D shows a side view of the activity monitor illustrating a clip 9 fixed to the back of the activity monitor, making it possible to attach the activity monitor to clothing. The activity monitor with accessories as shown can be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject. The display 10 can be a touch-screen display for having a subject provide input to the activity monitor.

Figure 2 shows an activity monitor 20 with at least one button 21,22 , a display 23 , and a sensor 24 . The button can be used for receiving input from a user. Preferably, more buttons are provided to make it easier for the subject to provide different kinds of input to the activity monitor.

Figure 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device 30 with a sensor 33 . The device 30 does not have any buttons or display. The device 30 has means to communicate with a separate unit 31, preferably using a wireless link 32 such as WIFI or Bluetooth. The separate unit 31 is used to control the device 30 . The separate unit 31, for example a personal computer or a personal digital assistant, comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for processing the information gathered by the device 30 by means of sensor 33 . The separate unit 31 further comprises means for receiving user input and communicating the processed information to a user.

Figure 4 shows an embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a reference position. In step 100, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value at the reference position. Preferably, the sensor 6 is a tri-axial accelerometer, and the measurement value is a triple containing acceleration information in X, Y, and Z-direction. In step 101, the activity monitor computes the corresponding activity parameter, for example energy expenditure. For a triaxial accelerometer attached to the back of the waist, a method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure is disclosed in "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" by Guy Plasqui, Ph.D. thesis, Maastricht University, 2004, referred to hereinafter as "Plasqui". The back of the waist is near the center of the body and a tri-axial accelerometer attached thereto provides a good estimation of overall movements.

Figure 5A shows an example of embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a position on the subject that is not a reference position. In step 105, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value measured at the position where the sensor is attached. After this, in step 106, the measurement value is compensated for the difference of the value at the position the sensor 6 is attached and the corresponding value at the reference position. After this, in step 108, the activity parameter, in this case energy expenditure, is computed using the method of computing the corresponding energy expenditure disclosed in Plasqui. The compensation method of step 106, in a very simple version, in this embodiment can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\text {corrected }}=a+b x_{\mathrm{rav}},
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{\text {raw }}$ represents the measured value at the position where the sensor 6 is attached, $\mathrm{x}_{\text {corrected }}$ is the corrected measured value, and $a$ and $b$ are compensation constants that have been stored in a compensation database 107 as part of an initialization procedure. In a multivariate system, where the measurement value comprises a tuple, for example the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z-components measured by a tri-axial accelerometer, the compensation method can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\text {corrected }, i}=a_{i}+b_{i, 1} x_{\mathrm{raw}, 1}+b_{i, 2} x_{\mathrm{raw}, 2}+\cdots+b_{i, N} x_{\mathrm{raw}, N},
$$

where $x_{\mathrm{raw}, 1}, x_{\mathrm{raw}, 2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{raw}, N}$ represent the $N$ components of the measurement value tuple; $x_{\text {corrected, } i}$ represents the $i$-th component of the corrected measurement value tuple $\left(x_{\text {corrected, }, 1}, x_{\text {corrected, }, 2} \ldots, x_{\text {corrected }, N}\right)$, and $a_{i}$ and $b_{i, j}$, for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$, are compensation constants that have been stored in a compensation database 107 as part of an initialization procedure. This example of a compensation method is particularly easy to implement. Other, potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods.

Figure 5B shows an alternative embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case where the sensor 6 is attached at a position on the subject that is not a reference position. In step 110, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value measured at the position where it is attached. After this, in step 111, the activity parameter, in this case energy expenditure, is computed using the method of computing the corresponding energy expenditure disclosed in Plasqui. Finally, in step 112 the computed energy expenditure is compensated for the difference of the energy expenditure as
computed from the value measured at the position where the sensor 6 is attached and the "real" energy expenditure that would have been obtained if the sensor had been attached at the reference position. The compensation method, which is similar to the compensation method appearing in the embodiment according to Figure 5A, makes use of the information stored in the compensation database 113.

Figure 6 shows a diagram of an embodiment of a method of determining the position on the subject where the sensor 6 is attached and of computing the activity parameter, regardless of where the sensor was attached. In step 115, the measured value or a sequence of measured values is obtained from the sensor 6 . Next, the position on the body is determined in step 116, using information from a feature database 117. To determine the position of the sensor 6 on the body, the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are position-dependent. Also, the subject is instructed to perform predefined standardized activities, such as walking, sitting, and standing, preferably for about 20 seconds each. Alternatively, the user can provide the activity monitor with input to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs. Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject. Thereto, a number of predefined rules are used. These rules can be in the form of "if...then" rules. An example of such a rule is: "if the measured value during walking is in the range of $A$ to $B$ times higher than during sitting, the sensor 6 is positioned on the lower arm", where $A$ and $B$ are constants stored in the feature database 117. Another example of such a rule is: "if the measured value during walking is in the range of $C$ to $D$, the sensor 6 is positioned on the leg", where $C$ and $D$ are constants stored in the feature database 117 . The rules can also be implemented in terms of fuzzy logic rules. Other ways to provide a set of rules, including for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan. In a preferred embodiment, the position of the sensor 6 on the subject is determined by means of pattern recognition. The pattern recognition can be performed for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database 117. The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain. Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied.

After the position of the sensor 6 on the subject has been determined in step 116, and the position is not a reference position (step 118, branch 122), in step 119 the
measured value is compensated for by the difference between the value at the position at which the sensor is attached and the corresponding value at a reference position, making use of the information in a compensation database 120 , in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5A. Finally, in step 121 the activity parameter is computed from the, possibly compensated, measured value, in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5.

In another embodiment, the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above. In that case, after the position has been determined, the computed activity parameter is compensated for the difference between the computed activity parameter and the corresponding activity parameter computed from a value measured at a reference position, while information stored in a compensation database is made use of in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5B. It is also possible to use other quantities relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6 , in the step to determine the position $116 \mathrm{and} /$ or in the step to compensate for the difference 119.

Figure 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of an initialization procedure for the compensation database. In this embodiment, a sequence of steps is performed iteratively. As a first step $130, i$ and $j$ are both initialized to 1 . Each iteration starts with step 131 comprising an instruction to the subject to perform predefined activity $i$. The instruction can comprise a spoken instruction to walk, sit, or stand, or it can for example comprise showing the activity on a display for a predefined duration. Then, in step 132 the subject performs the predefined activity $i$, while the sensor 6 attached at position $j$ on the subject measures a physical value, in this case tri-axial acceleration, in step 133. Next, in step 134 the essential features are extracted from the measured value signal and stored in a feature database 135. These essential features may comprise decision rules or constants that are part of decision rules, similar to the constants $A, B, C$, and $D$ appearing in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 6. Similarly, essential signal patterns can be stored in the time domain, frequency domain, time-frequency domain, or any other domain or combination of domains. Simultaneously, if position $j$ is not a reference position (step 136, branch 145), in step 137 compensation parameters describing the difference between the measured value at the position of the sensor 6 on the subject and the corresponding value at a reference position are determined and stored in a compensation database 138. These compensation parameters may
comprise constants appearing in the compensation method, similar to the constants $a, b, a_{i}$, and $b_{i, j}$ occurring in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 5A.

To conclude an iteration, $i$ is increased in step 139, and if $i$ is smaller than or equal to the number of predefined activities (step 140, branch 147), the iteration steps are repeated; otherwise, $j$ is increased in step 141, and if $j$ is smaller than or equal to the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 148), $i$ is set to 1 in step 143 and the iteration steps are repeated. If $j$ is greater than the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 149), the initialization procedure is finished.

In general, this sequence could be paralleled further, for example by using a plurality of sensors 6 to measure the value at a plurality of positions on the subject simultaneously. In this embodiment, the steps of extracting compensation parameters and extracting essential signal features are performed in parallel. However, they can also be performed sequentially. In an alternative embodiment, the activity parameter is computed after the sensor 6 has delivered the signal in step 133, and before extracting essential features in step 134 and determining compensation parameters in step 137. It is also possible to compute at least one derived quantity from the values measured by the sensor 6 , and perform the steps of extracting essential features and determining compensation parameters based on the derived quantity.

In another embodiment, the initialization of the compensation database and the feature database are performed on the basis of a population of subjects. All subjects are asked to perform the standardized activities, the measured values are obtained from the sensor 6 at multiple positions on the subject, and after the data of all subjects have been collected and stored in an intermediate database, the compensation database and the feature database are filled with values that are representative of the population. This embodiment has the advantage that the activity monitor needs to be initialized only once, possibly by the manufacturer, and after that an unlimited number of activity monitors can be produced using the same database values.

It will be appreciated that the invention also extends to computer programs, particularly computer programs on or in a carrier, adapted for putting the invention into practice. The program may be in the form of source code, object code, a code intermediate source and object code such as partially compiled form, or in any other form suitable for use in the implementation of the method according to the invention. The carrier may be any entity or device capable of carrying the program. For example, the carrier may include a
storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk. Further, the carrier may be a transmissible carrier such as an electrical or optical signal, which may be conveyed via electrical or optical cable or by radio or other means. When the program is embodied in such a signal, the carrier may be constituted by such cable or other device or means. Alternatively, the carrier may be an integrated circuit in which the program is embedded, the integrated circuit being adapted for performing, or for use in the performance of, the relevant method.

A measuring system comprises a sensor arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject. The measuring system further comprises means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value. The sensor is arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on the subject. The measuring system further comprises means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject. The means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the subject-related value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

It should be noted that the above-mentioned embodiments illustrate rather than limit the invention, and that those skilled in the art will be able to design many alternative embodiments without departing from the scope of the appended claims. In the claims, any reference signs placed between parentheses shall not be construed as limiting the claim. Use of the verb "to comprise" and its conjugations does not exclude the presence of elements or steps other than those stated in a claim. The article "a" or "an" preceding an element does not exclude the presence of a plurality of such elements. The invention may be implemented by means of hardware comprising several distinct elements, and by means of a suitably programmed computer. In the device claim enumerating several means, several of these means may be embodied by one and the same item of hardware. The mere fact that certain measures are recited in mutually different dependent claims does not indicate that a combination of these measures cannot be used to advantage.

- a sensor (6) arranged to be attached at one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- means for deriving a subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

2. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head.
3. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. The measuring system (1) according to claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to.
6. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration.
7. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising

- means for converting the measured value into an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the subject-related value from the estimated measured value.

9. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. The measuring system (1) according to claim 9, further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor (6) a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. The measuring system (1) according to claim 9 or 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor (6) on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. The measuring system (1) according to claim 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor (6) on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. The measuring system (1) according to claim 9 or 10 , further comprising means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor (6), the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity.
14. The measuring system (1) according to claim 13, wherein the means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value.
15. The measuring system (1) according to claim 13 , further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user to indicate when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. The measuring system (1) according to claim 1, further comprising

- means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity,
- storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
- means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

18. The measuring system (1) according to claim 17, wherein the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. A measuring system (1) according to claim 1 , further comprising a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the subject-related value is arranged for deriving the measured value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. A system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, the system comprising the measuring system (1) according to claim 4, means for monitoring food
consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure.
21. A method of estimating a derived value relating to a subject, the method comprising

- obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor (6) attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- deriving the subject-related value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
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Detection and compensation method for place of activity monitor on the body

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a measuring system (1) comprising

- a sensor (6) arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for deriving a derived value relating to the subject from the measured value.

The invention also relates to a system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, and to a method for estimating a derived value relating to a subject.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Overweight and obesity are growing problems, for example over $60 \%$ of the American population can be classified as overweight or obese. Weight gain occurs when a human has a higher energy intake (food) than energy expenditure (resting metabolic rate + activity). Since this misbalance causes the weight gain problem, many weight loss programs require that users $\log$ the food consumed and activities done in order to estimate the calorie balance.

Tables and equations exist for converting nutrition values and activities into calorie intake and expenditure, respectively. However, manual calorie counting is a cumbersome process requiring knowledge, time, effort, recording and discipline. Thus, calorie logging is a problem for many people and hence it is a challenge for researchers to find a solution therefore.

In US patent application publication US2003/0065257, a combination of a diet and activity-monitoring device is described for monitoring both the consumption and activity of the subject. Such a monitoring device includes a body activity monitor for monitoring the body activity of the subject. The body activity monitor is operable to output a signal indicative of the subject's body activity. An activity calculator may also be provided, which receives the activity indicative signal and determines body activity level and/or energy expenditure for the subject. The monitoring device may take the form of a wristwatch-style device or a belt or clothing-mounted monitor. The monitoring device may comprise a heart
rate monitor. The heart rate of the subject increases with activity and decreases when the subject is resting. The activity monitor may be calibrated using an indirect calorimeter. The heart rate sensor may be part of the wristwatch-style activity monitor, or it may be provided as a separate unit, for example in the form of a chest-strap, that communicates with the activity monitor.

The activity monitor may alternatively comprise a motion sensor such as a mechanical pendulum or a single or multi-axis accelerometer. An accelerometer is preferred since it may provide information on body movement as well as the direction and intensity of the movement. The motion sensor may form part of the wristwatch or belt or clothing mounted monitoring device or may be part of a separate accessory that communicates with the monitoring device. The body activity monitor may be calibrated to determine activity related energy expenditure using an indirect calorimeter.

As another alternative, the body activity monitor may include multiple modes for recording a variety of activities, such as swimming, biking, and use of stationary exercise equipment. The subject presses a start button and the body activity monitor will record the duration of the activity.

Furthermore, the monitoring device also preferably includes a consumption notation control for use by the subject to indicate when the subject consumes food. The body activity monitor and the consumption notation control can take a variety of forms. It can include a GPS antenna to determine the position of the subject using GPS signals. It can combine a time-indicative signal with the GPS signals to determine changes in position of the subject as well as the rate of change in position. This allows determination of movement or body activity. The device may be calibrated to determine caloric expenditure from the measured body activity.

Moreover, a position and/or activity discriminator can be included in or communicating with the body activity monitor. The discriminator functions to determine the position and/or activity of the subject by determining the proximity of the subject to various devices and locations, such as exercise equipment and buildings. For example, it may be determined that the subject is close to running shoes to discriminate the activity of running. In a more advanced configuration, proximity to running shoes may be combined with GPS signals, heart rate sensor and/or motion sensor output to allow the activity calculator to determine the type of activity being performed, the duration of the activity, and the intensity of the activity.

When the user first uses the system, he or she may designate certain movement patterns as correlating with certain activities. This will aid in calibrating the activity monitor.

Each of the described activity monitors and sensors are designed to be placed on a single position on the body. Bodily signals such as acceleration and ECG signals, are measured local to the position where the sensor is attached to the object. For example, acceleration measured by an accelerometer at the wrist includes motion of the arm, which is not detected by an accelerometer mounted at the waist.

The existing activity monitors, including for example a wrist strap or a waist belt, are often designed to be worn on one place on the body. The existing activity monitor is calibrated such that it provides accurate results if it is attached to a predefined location on the body. If the activity monitor is attached to a different location, the measured activity may be less accurate. If the activity monitor is not attached to the reference position, errors exceeding $5 \%$ in the estimation of energy expenditure may occur. This amounts to an error exceeding approximately 100 kilocalories per day.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a measurement system that provides a more accurate estimate of a parameter relating to a subject.

According to the invention, this object is realized in that

- the sensor is arranged to be attached to one of a plurality of positions on the subject,
- the measuring system further comprises means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- the means for deriving the derived value is arranged for deriving the derived value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

With these provisions, the accuracy of the derived value is guaranteed for any of a plurality of positions on the body. The subject can attach the sensor to any preferred position on the body, and can preferably attach it to a different position whenever he or she desires to do so.

Subjects may have different preferences where they prefer to wear the sensor, and the same subject may prefer to wear the sensor at different locations, depending on for example the location of the subject, the local weather, or the activity the subject is performing at any given time. Advantageously, a plurality of sensors are attached to different
positions on the subject and the means for deriving the derived value is arranged for combining the respective obtained measured values in order to increase the accuracy of the derived value.

The invention is particularly suitable for determining a derived value relating to a human or an animal.

According to an aspect of the invention, the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head. This allows for an especially flexible use of the activity monitor, because the mentioned positions are especially well suited for measuring the activity of the subject, and they are particularly convenient for wearing a sensor device.

According to another aspect of the invention, the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject. With this aspect, the measuring system becomes an activity monitor that enables to monitor the degree of activity performed by the subject. Other possible derived values include a temperature value or an ECG value that is automatically compensated for the location of the sensor. Advantageously, the position of the sensor on the subject is established in dependence on an accelerometer measurement and a temperature or ECG value is compensated in dependence on the established position.

According to an aspect of the invention, the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure. This makes the activity monitor particularly suitable for use in weight management.

According to another aspect of the invention, the activity parameter represents the degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to. When the position of the sensor on the subject is known, it becomes possible to monitor activity parameters related to a specific body part. For example, if the sensor is attached to the arm, the activity monitor can track energy expenditure, and in addition can track local acceleration of the arm. For example, with additional information provided by fitness equipment, the forces applied to the arm can be estimated and combined with acceleration information provided by the sensor to obtain local energy expenditure. This enables subjects to optimize a training schedule to train a specific body part. Also, if a predefined safety limit is exceeded, this can be provided as feedback to the user to avoid potentially dangerous situations.

According to an aspect of the invention, the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration. These examples of measured values are correlated to activity.

According to another aspect of the invention, the system further comprises means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset. The subset may contain zero or more further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject, for example temperature, ECG, or acceleration. This aspect allows the system to generate measurements that are particularly relevant to the body part the sensor is attached to. For example, a temperature measurement may provide relevant information when the temperature sensor is attached to the trunk of the body, and not when it is attached to, for example, an ankle. The system can take this into account for example by disregarding, or compensating, temperature measurement if the sensor is attached to an ankle. Further physical and/or physiological quantities, such as heartbeat rate or temperature, can be used alongside for example a derived activity parameter in various health applications.

According to another aspect of the invention, the system further comprises

- means for converting the measured value to an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the derived value from the estimated measured value.

This aspect allows the measuring system to accurately measure, for example, an activity parameter with the sensor at one of a plurality of positions on the subject, even if the measuring system is calibrated for only a single reference position, because the deviation of the measured value caused by wearing the activity monitor at a different position is compensated for. The accuracy can be increased even further by calibrating a plurality of reference positions. In case a plurality of reference positions is calibrated, and the sensor is attached to a position that is not a reference position, the means for converting can compensate the measured value with respect to the nearest reference position or with respect to a weighted average of reference positions, thereby increasing the accuracy further.

Another aspect of the invention is characterized in that the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value. This allows the sensor to be attached to different positions on the subject, without any additional user interaction to indicate the actual position of the device.

According to another aspect of the invention, it further comprises means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for
performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval. This allows for an especially reliable determining of the position of the sensor.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively. A set of rules, preferably part of a rule-based system, possibly making use of fuzzy logic, is particularly suited for determining the position of the sensor.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval. Pattern recognition means is especially favorable to achieve a high reliability in establishing the position of the sensor on the subject.

According to an aspect of the invention, it further comprises means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity. This allows the activity monitor to determine the position of the device on the body with greater certainty. Preferably, the standardized activity has a repeating pattern with a cycle time of, for example, 1 to 2 seconds and the standardized activity is performed for at least five cycles.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value. This increases the accuracy and reduces the amount of required user interaction.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises a user interface for receiving input from a user for indicating when the subject is performing the standardized activity. This allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject. This allows a very economical implementation of the activity monitor.

Another aspect of the invention further comprises

- means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined
activity,
- storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and
- means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

If the sensor position and the type of activity are known, the actual body movements can be compared to movements that are "optimal" for that particular activity. This translates in a measure of efficiency and proficiency in the selected activity. For example, inexperienced runners have a larger vertical acceleration component than experienced runners. Optimal movement patterns can be looked up in a database with key (desired activity, body part), and a pattern-matching technique can be used to determine how the actual pattern compares to the optimal pattern. Moreover, suggestions to change movement patterns of the specific body part can be given, such as for example, "when striking a ball with a racket, try to move in a continuous circular motion, and do not stop the motion after impact, to maximize acceleration of the ball upon impact'. Alternatively, movements associated with health problems such as a baseball-arm, can be detected and feedback can be provided about the undesired movements.

According to another aspect of the invention, the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities. This allows the activity monitor to distinguish between a pluralities of activities of the subject, so that feedback can be provided in relation to the established activity.

According to another aspect of the invention, it further comprises a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the derived value is arranged for deriving the derived value also in dependence on the further measured value. Advantageously, a plurality of sensors are attached to different positions on the subject and the means for deriving the derived value is arranged for combining the respective obtained measured values in order to increase the accuracy of the derived value. Advantageously, the sensors communicate with each other or with a central unit, for example by means of a wireless or wired connection, for coordinated processing of the obtained measured values.

The system for determining the calorie balance of a subject according to the invention is characterized in comprising the activity monitor set forth, means for monitoring
food consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure. This system can provide accurate calorie balance because the activity parameter is determined with a high degree of accuracy.

The method according to the invention is characterized in that

- the method further comprises the step of determining the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- the step of deriving the derived value is performed also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.


## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other aspects of the method of the invention will be further elucidated and described with reference to the drawing, in which:

Figures 1 A,B,C,D show sketches of a device with attachment means that can be attached to several positions on a subject.

Figure 2 shows an activity monitor with user interface.
Figure 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device with a sensor connected to a separate unit by means of a wireless link.

Figure 4 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention.

Figures 5 A,B show diagrams of embodiments of the measurement method according to the invention including the compensation method according to the invention.

Figure 6 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention including the method according to the invention to determine the position of the sensor on the subject.

Figure 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of the method according to the invention including the compensation initialization method according to the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1A shows an activity monitor 1 comprising a sensor 6 (shown in dotted lines), a display 10 , and strap attachment means 5 . The activity monitor 1 further comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for computing and displaying an activity parameter. The sensor 6 can comprise a single-axial or multi-axial accelerometer, a temperature sensor, an electrical sensor for measuring electrical body signals such as the ECG signal, a heart rate sensor, a pedometer, a global or local positioning system, or any other type of sensor. Such sensors are
known to the skilled artisan. Figure 1B shows the activity monitor 1 , fixed to a short strap 2 with a buckle 4, and Figure 1C shows the activity monitor 1 fixed to a long strap 3. The short strap 2 is suitable for attaching the activity monitor to a wrist or ankle, while the long strap 3 is suitable for attaching the activity monitor to a waist or chest. Figure 1D shows a side view of the activity monitor illustrating a clip 9 fixed to the back of the activity monitor, enabling the possibility to attach the activity monitor to clothing. The activity monitor with accessories as shown can be attached to one of a plurality of positions on a subject. The display 10 can be a touch-screen display for having a subject provide input to the activity monitor.

Figure 2 shows an activity monitor 20 with at least one button 21,22, a display 23, and a sensor 24. The button can be used for receiving input from a user. Preferably, more buttons are provided to make it easier for the subject to provide different kinds of input to the activity monitor.

Figure 3 shows an activity monitor comprising a device 30 with a sensor 33 . The device 30 does not have any buttons or display. The device 30 has means to communicate with a separate unit 31, preferably using a wireless link 32 such as WIFI or Bluetooth. The separate unit 31 is used to control the device 30. The separate unit 31, for example a personal computer or a personal digital assistant, comprises a microprocessor (not shown) for processing the information gathered by the device 30 by means of sensor 33 . The separate unit 31 further comprises means to receive user input and to communicate the processed information to a user.

Figure 4 shows an embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case that the sensor 6 is attached to a reference position. In step 100, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value at the reference position. Preferably, the sensor 6 is a tri-axial accelerometer, and the measurement value is a triple containing acceleration information in X, Y, and Z-direction. In step 101, the activity monitor computes the corresponding activity parameter, for example energy expenditure. For a tri-axial accelerometer attached to the back of the waist, a method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure is disclosed in "Daily physical activity, energy expenditure and physical fitness; assessment and implications" by Guy Plasqui, Ph.D. thesis, Maastricht University, 2004, referred to hereinafter as "Plasqui". The back of the waist is near the center of the body and a tri-axial accelerometer attached thereto provides a good estimation of overall movements.

Figure 5A shows an example embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case that the sensor 6 is attached to a
position on the subject that is not a reference position. In step 105, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value measured at the position it is attached to. After that, in step 106, the measurement value is compensated for the difference of the value at the position the sensor 6 is attached to and the corresponding value at the reference position. After that, in step 108, the activity parameter, in this case energy expenditure, is computed using the method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure disclosed in Plasqui. The compensation method of step 106, in a very simple version, in this embodiment can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\text {corrected }}=a+b x_{\mathrm{raw}}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{\text {raw }}$ represents the measured value at the position the sensor 6 is attached to, $\mathrm{x}_{\text {corrected }}$ is the corrected measured value, and $a$ and $b$ are compensation constants that have been stored in a compensation database 107 as part of an initialization procedure. In a multivariate system, where the measurement value comprises a tuple, for example the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z components measured by a tri-axial accelerometer, the compensation method can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\mathrm{corrected}, i}=a_{i}+b_{i, 1} x_{\mathrm{raw}, 1}+b_{i, 2} x_{\mathrm{raw}, 2}+\cdots+b_{i, N} x_{\mathrm{raw}, N},
$$

where $x_{\mathrm{raw}, 1}, x_{\mathrm{raw}, 2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{raw}, N}$ represent the $N$ components of the measurement value tuple; $x_{\text {corrected, } i}$ represents the $i$-th component of the corrected measurement value tuple ( $x_{\text {corrected, }, 1}, x_{\text {corrected }, 2}, \ldots, x_{\text {corrected }, N}$ ), and $a_{i}$ and $b_{i, j}$, for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$, are compensation constants that have been stored in a compensation database 107 as part of an initialization procedure. This example of a compensation method is particularly easy to implement. Other, potentially more flexible compensation methods are easily conceivable. Such methods include higher order polynomials, generalized linear models, other statistical methods, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic methods.

Figure 5B shows an alternative embodiment of the method according to the invention for activity monitoring applicable to the case that the sensor 6 is attached to a position on the subject that is not a reference position. In step 110, the sensor 6 delivers a measurement value measured at the position it is attached to. After that, in step 111, the activity parameter, in this case energy expenditure, is computed using the method to compute the corresponding energy expenditure disclosed in Plasqui. Finally, in step 112 the computed energy expenditure is compensated for the difference of the energy expenditure as computed from the value measured at the position the sensor 6 is attached to and the "real" energy expenditure, that would have been obtained if the sensor had been attached to the reference
position. The compensation method, which is similar to the compensation method appearing in the embodiment according to Figure 5A, makes use of the information stored in the compensation database 113.

Figure 6 shows a diagram of an embodiment of a method to determine the
position on the subject the sensor 6 is attached to and to compute the activity parameter, regardless of where the sensor was attached. In step 115, the measured value or a sequence of measured values are obtained from the sensor 6 . Next, the position on the body is determined in step 116, using information from a feature database 117. To determine the position of the sensor 6 on the body, the signal from the sensor is analyzed for features that are positiondependent. Also, the subject is instructed to perform predefined standardized activities, such as walking, sitting, and standing, preferably for about 20 seconds each. Alternatively, the user can provide input to the activity monitor to indicate when he or she performs a standardized activity, and possibly, which standardized activity he or she performs. Combinations of the values during the standardized activities or the absolute measured values are used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject. Thereto, a number of predefined rules are used. These rules can be in the form of "if...then" rules. An example of such a rule is: "if the measured value during walking is in the range of $A$ to $B$ times higher than during sitting, the sensor 6 is positioned on the lower arm", where $A$ and $B$ are constants stored in the feature database 117. Another example of such a rule is: "if the measured value during walking is in the range of $C$ to $D$, the sensor 6 is positioned on the leg", where $C$ and $D$ are constants stored in the feature database 117. The rules can also be implemented in terms of fuzzy logic rules. Other ways to provide a set of rules, including for example neural network methods and logic programming, are obvious to the skilled artisan. In a preferred embodiment, the position of the sensor 6 on the subject is determined by means of pattern recognition. The pattern recognition can be performed for example by correlating a signal obtained from the sensor with a signal stored in the feature database 117. The pattern recognition can be performed in the time domain, the frequency domain, or another domain, preferably a time-frequency domain such as a wavelet domain. Pattern recognition can be performed in many ways known to the skilled artisan. For example, techniques used in speech recognition can be applied.

After the position of the sensor 6 on the subject has been determined in step 116, and the position is not a reference position (step 118, branch 122), in step 119 the measured value is compensated for the difference between the value at the position the sensor is attached to and the corresponding value at a reference position, making use of the
information in a compensation database 120, in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5A. Finally, in step 121 the activity parameter is computed from the, possibly compensated, measured value, in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5.

In another embodiment, the measured value is first converted to an activity parameter, and the activity parameter is used to determine the position of the sensor 6 on the subject in a way similar to what is described above. In that case, after the position has been determined, the computed activity parameter is compensated for the difference between the computed activity parameter and the corresponding activity parameter computed from a value measured at a reference position, making use of information stored in a compensation database, in a way similar to the embodiment according to Figure 5B. It is also possible to use other quantities, relating to measured values obtained from the sensor 6, in the step to determine the position $116 \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{or}$ in the step to compensate for the difference 119.

Figure 7 shows a diagram of an embodiment of an initialization procedure for the compensation database. In this embodiment, a sequence of steps is performed iteratively. As a first step $130, i$ and $j$ are both initialized to 1 . Each iteration starts with step 131 comprising an instruction to the subject to perform predefined activity $i$. The instruction can comprise a spoken instruction to walk, sit, or stand, or it can for example comprise showing the activity on a display for a predefined duration. Then, in step 132 the subject performs the predefined activity $i$, while the sensor 6 attached to position $j$ on the subject measures a physical value, in this case tri-axial acceleration, in step 133. Next, in step 134 the essential features are extracted from the measured value signal and stored in a feature database 135. These essential features may comprise decision rules or constants that are part of decision rules, similar to the constants $A, B, C$, and $D$ appearing in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 6. Similarly, essential signal patterns can be stored in the time domain, frequency domain, time-frequency domain, or any other domain or combination of domains. Simultaneously, if position $j$ is not a reference position (step 136, branch 145), in step 137 compensation parameters describing the difference between the measured value at the position of the sensor 6 on the subject and the corresponding value at a reference position are determined and stored in a compensation database 138. These compensation parameters can comprise constants appearing in the compensation method, similar to the constants $a, b, a_{i}$, and $b_{i, j}$ occurring in the description of the embodiment according to Figure 5A.

To conclude an iteration, $i$ is increased in step 139, and if $i$ is smaller than or equal to the number of predefined activities (step 140, branch 147), the iteration steps are
repeated; otherwise, $j$ is increased in step 141 , and if $j$ is smaller than or equal to the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 148), $i$ is set to 1 in step 143 and the iteration steps are repeated. If $j$ is greater than the predefined number of positions (step 142, branch 149 ), the initialization procedure is finished.

In general, this sequence could be parallelized further, for example by using a plurality of sensors 6 to measure the value at a plurality of positions on the subject simultaneously. In this embodiment, the steps of extracting compensation parameters and extracting essential signal features are performed in parallel. However, they can also be performed sequentially. In an alternative embodiment, the activity parameter is computed after the sensor 6 has delivered the signal in step 133, and before extracting essential features in step 134 and determining compensation parameters in step 137. It is also possible to compute at least one derived quantity from the values measured by the sensor 6 , and perform the steps of extracting essential features and determining compensation parameters based on the derived quantity.

In another embodiment, the initialization of the compensation database and the feature database are performed on the basis of a population of subjects. All subjects are asked to perform the standardized activities, the measured values are obtained from the sensor 6 at multiple positions on the subject, and after the data of all subjects has been collected and stored in an intermediate database, the compensation database and the feature database are filled with values that are representative for the population. This embodiment has the advantage that the activity monitor needs to be initialized only once, possibly by the manufacturer, and after that an unlimited number of activity monitors can be produced using the same database values.

It will be appreciated that the invention also extends to computer programs, particularly computer programs on or in a carrier, adapted for putting the invention into practice. The program may be in the form of source code, object code, a code intermediate source and object code such as partially compiled form, or in any other form suitable for use in the implementation of the method according to the invention. The carrier may be any entity or device capable of carrying the program. For example, the carrier may include a storage medium, such as a ROM, for example a CD ROM or a semiconductor ROM, or a magnetic recording medium, for example a floppy disc or hard disk. Further the carrier may be a transmissible carrier such as an electrical or optical signal, which may be conveyed via electrical or optical cable or by radio or other means. When the program is embodied in such a signal, the carrier may be constituted by such cable or other device or means. Alternatively,
the carrier may be an integrated circuit in which the program is embedded, the integrated circuit being adapted for performing, or for use in the performance of, the relevant method.

It should be noted that the above-mentioned embodiments illustrate rather than limit the invention, and that those skilled in the art will be able to design many alternative embodiments without departing from the scope of the appended claims. In the claims, any reference signs placed between parentheses shall not be construed as limiting the claim. Use of the verb "comprise" and its conjugations does not exclude the presence of elements or steps other than those stated in a claim. The article "a" or "an" preceding an element does not exclude the presence of a plurality of such elements. The invention may be implemented by means of hardware comprising several distinct elements, and by means of a suitably programmed computer. In the device claim enumerating several means, several of these means may be embodied by one and the same item of hardware. The mere fact that certain measures are recited in mutually different dependent claims does not indicate that a combination of these measures cannot be used to advantage.

1. A measuring system (1) comprising

- a sensor (6) arranged to be attached to one of a plurality of positions on a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and
- means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- means for deriving a derived value relating to the subject from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

2. 

The measuring system according to claim 1 , wherein the plurality of positions includes at least two of the following: a wrist, a lower arm, an upper arm, a lower leg, an upper leg, a waist, a chest, a neck, a head.
3. The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the derived value comprises an activity parameter of the subject.
4. The measuring system according to claim 3, wherein the activity parameter comprises energy expenditure.
5. The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the activity parameter represents a degree of activity of the body part the sensor is attached to.
6. The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the measured value comprises at least one of temperature, ECG, or acceleration, in particular tri-axial acceleration.
7. The measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising means for selecting a subset of a predefined set of further physical and/or physiological quantities of the subject in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject, and the sensor is arranged for generating a further measured value for each quantity in the selected subset.
8. The measuring system according to claim 1 , further comprising

- means for converting the measured value to an estimated measured value related to a reference position on the subject, and
- means for deriving the derived value from the estimated measured value.

9. The measuring system according to claim 1, wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured value.
10. The measuring system according to claim 9 , further comprising means for obtaining from the sensor a plurality of measured values measured during a time interval, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on the measured values measured during the time interval.
11. The measuring system according to claim 9 or 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for performing the determining on the basis of a predefined set of rules related to the measured value or measured values measured during the time interval, respectively.
12. The measuring system according to claim 10 , wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject comprises means for performing a pattern recognition of a signal derived from the measured values measured during the time interval.
13. The measuring system according to claim 9 or 10 , further comprising means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity, and wherein the means for determining the position of the sensor on the subject is arranged for using at least one measured value obtained from the sensor, the measured value relating to a time the user is performing the standardized activity.

## 

14. The measuring system according to claim 13, wherein the means for determining that the user is performing a standardized activity is arranged for performing the determining in dependence on at least one measured value.
15. The measuring system according to claim 13, further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user for indicating when the subject is performing the standardized activity.
16. The measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising a user interface for receiving input from a user related to the position of the sensor on the subject.
17. The measuring system according to claim 1 , further comprising

- means for establishing that the subject is performing a predetermined activity,
- storage means for storing at least one pattern related to performing the predetermined activity in a predetermined manner,
- means for determining a similarity measure relating to a signal representing the derived value and the stored pattern, and means for providing feedback in dependence on the similarity measure.

18. The measuring system according to claim 17, wherein the means for establishing that the subject is performing the predetermined activity is arranged for establishing that the subject is performing at least one of a predetermined number of predetermined activities.
19. A measuring system according to claim 1, further comprising a further sensor arranged to be attached to the subject for obtaining a further measured value representing a further physical or physiological quantity of the subject, and wherein the means for deriving the derived value is arranged for deriving the derived value also in dependence on the further measured value.
20. A system for determining the calorie balance of a subject, the system comprising the measuring system according to claim 4 , means for monitoring food
consumption, and means for deriving the calorie balance using the derived energy expenditure.
21. A method for estimating a derived value relating to a subject, comprising - obtaining at least one measured value from a sensor (6) attached to the subject, the measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject, and

- establishing the position of the sensor on the subject, and
- deriving the derived value from the measured value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.

A measuring system (1) comprises a sensor (6) arranged to be attached to a subject for obtaining a measured value representing a physical or a physiological quantity of the subject. The measuring system further comprises means for deriving a derived value relating to the subject from the measured value. The sensor is arranged to be attached to one of a plurality of positions on the subject. The measuring system further comprises means for establishing the position of the sensor on the subject. The means for deriving the derived value is arranged for deriving the derived value also in dependence on the position of the sensor on the subject.
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