

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FITBIT, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC,

Patent Owner

Case Nos. IPR2020-00782

U.S. Patent No. 6,976,958

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

IPR2020-00782

Patent Owner Preliminary Response

EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Disclaimer of Claims 15-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,976,958 Under 37 CFR § 1.321(a)

IPR2020-00782

Patent Owner Preliminary Response

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.107, Patent Owner Philips North America LLC (“Patent Owner”) submits this Patent Owner Preliminary Response to the Petition of Fitbit, Inc. (“Fitbit”) (IPR2020-00782) challenging claims 15-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,976,958 (“the ’958 patent”).

Patent Owner has filed a statutory disclaimer with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, disclaiming claims 15-17 of the ’958 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 253(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(a). Ex. 2001. These are the only claims challenged in the Petitions. Thus, the Petitions are now moot and the Board should deny institution of further proceedings.

When a patent owner files a statutory disclaimer with its preliminary response, “no *inter partes* review will be instituted based on disclaimed claims.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(e). Significantly, when claims in a patent are properly disclaimed, such claims are “treated as though [] [they] never existed.” *HTC Corp. v. Lemaire Illumination Tech. LLC*, IPR2019-00091, Paper 7 at 1 (PTAB Apr. 10, 2019) (citing *Vectra Fitness, Inc. v. TNWK Corp.*, 162 F.3d 1379, 1983 (Fed. Cir. 1998)).

The Board routinely denies institution of *inter partes* review under 37 C.F.R. § 47.107(e) when the patent owner has disclaimed each of the challenged claims. *See, e.g., Apple Inc. v. INVT SPE LLC*, IPR2019-01574, Paper 9 (PTAB Jan. 15, 2020); *Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*, IPR2018-

IPR2020-00782

Patent Owner Preliminary Response

00350, Paper 12 (PTAB June 21, 2018); *Facebook, Inc. v. Skky LLC*, IPR2017-00641, Paper 9 (PTAB Jul. 13, 2017); *Borealis AG v. Berry Plastics Corp.*, IPR2016-00235, Paper 11 at 3 (PTAB Mar. 24, 2016); *RPX Corp. v. Cedatech Holdings, LLC*, IPR2015-00736, Paper 8 at 2 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2015).

Here, Patent Owner has disclaimed each and every claim challenged in the Petitions, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 253(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(a).

Because the Board treats these claims as though they never existed, the Petitions are accordingly moot and no longer provide any basis for institution. Further, institution would be an inefficient use of resources.

For these reasons, the Board should deny institution of the Petitions.

Date: August 10, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/George C. Beck/

George C. Beck

Registration No. 38,072

Counsel for Patent Owner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.