

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: CV 19-06301-AB (KSx)

Date: August 27, 2020

Title: *Philips North America LLC v. Garmin International, Inc. et and Garmin Ltd.*

Present: The Honorable **ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., United States District Judge**

Carla Badirian
Deputy Clerk

N/A
Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

None Appearing

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Appearing

Proceedings: [In Chambers] Order DENYING Defendants' Unopposed Request for Leave to File Supplemental Evidence (Dkt. No. 97)

On August 25, 2020, Defendants Garmin International, Inc. et al. filed an Unopposed Request for Leave to File Supplemental Evidence. (Dkt. No. 97). Because Defendants assert that the proposed evidence applies to only one term, and because the Court finds that this evidence does not bear on the Court's claim construction determination as to that term, the Court **DENIES** Defendants' Request as irrelevant to the Court's consideration of the merits. *See Basile v. Sony Pictures Entm't*, 678 F. App'x. 473, 474 (9th Cir. 2017) (“[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion in failing to consider evidence irrelevant to the dispositive legal issue[.]”); *Harris v. Vargo*, No. 07–1654–ST, 2010 WL 147947, at *1 (D. Or. Jan. 11, 2010) (denying supplemental request to submit additional evidence “because such evidence is irrelevant” to the Court's determination of the issue before it).

IT IS SO ORDERED.