

## 1 2

3

5

6

4

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

25

24

26 27

28

# <u>ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF</u> **DEFENDANT LITE-ON, INC.**

Defendant Lite-On, Inc. ("Lite-On"), for itself only, and by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 36, "FAC") of Plaintiff Document Security Systems, Inc. ("DSS" or "Plaintiff") as follows:

### **PARTIES**

- 1. Lite-On is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 1 and therefore denies them.
- 2. Lite-On is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 2 and therefore denies them.
- Lite-On admits that it is a California corporation located at 720 3. S. Hillview Drive, Milpitas, CA 95035.
- Lite-On admits that Lite-On Technology Corporation ("LTC") is a 4. Taiwan corporation located at 392 Ruey Kwang Road, Neihu, Taipei, Taiwan 114.
- 5. Lite-On admits that Lite-On is a subsidiary of LTC. Lite-On denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 5.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- Lite-On admits the FAC purports to state a cause of action for patent 6. infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Lite-On denies that it has infringed the patents-in-suit. Lite-On admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). Lite-On denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 6.
- 7. Paragraph 7 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. Lite-On does not contest that personal jurisdiction exists over Lite-On in the Central District of California for purposes of this action only. Lite-On denies that it, directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has committed or continues to commit

any acts of infringement in this District. Lite-On denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.

8. Paragraph 8 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. Lite-On does not contest that venue is proper in the Central District of California as to Lite-On for purposes of this action only under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)-(c) and 1400(b). Lite-On denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.

### **BACKGROUND**

- 9. Lite-On admits that United States Patent No. 6,949,771 ("the '771 Patent") is titled "Light Source," and that what purports to be a copy of the '771 Patent is attached to the FAC as Exhibit A. Lite-On further admits that the '771 Patent, on its face, states that it was issued on September 27, 2005. Lite-On denies that the '771 Patent was duly and legally issued. Lite-On is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9 and therefore denies them.
- 10. Lite-On admits that United States Patent No. 7,524,087 ("the '087 Patent") is titled "Optical Device," and that what purports to be a copy of the '087 Patent is attached to the FAC as Exhibit B. Lite-On further admits that the '087 Patent, on its face, states that it was issued on April 28, 2009. Lite-On denies that the '087 Patent was duly and legally issued. Lite-On is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10 and therefore denies them.
- 11. Lite-On admits that United States Patent No. 7,256,486 ("the '486 Patent") is titled "Packing Device for Semiconductor Die, Semiconductor Device Incorporating Same and Method of Making Same," and that what purports to be a copy of the '486 Patent is attached to the FAC as Exhibit C. Lite-On further admits that the '486 Patent, on its face, states that it was issued on August 14, 2007. Lite-On denies that the '486 Patent was duly and legally issued. Lite-On is without knowledge



11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 11 and therefore denies them.

12. Lite-On is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 and therefore denies them.

#### **COUNT I**

#### **ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF THE '771 PATENT**

- 13. Lite-On incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 12 above as if fully set forth herein.
  - 14. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 14.
  - 15. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 15.
  - 16. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 16.
  - 17. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 17.
  - 18. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 18.
  - 19. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 19.
  - 20. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 20.
- 21. The allegations of Paragraph 21 have been dismissed (Dkt. No. 49), and a response is therefore not required. Plaintiff is obligated to amend its complaint to remove these dismissed allegations, and Lite-On reserves all rights and remedies in this regard. In any event, Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 21.
  - 22. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 22.

## **COUNT II**

## **ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF THE '087 PATENT**

- 23. Lite-On incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 22 above as if fully set forth herein. Lite-On denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 23.
  - 24. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 24.
  - 25. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 25.
  - 26. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 26.



- 27. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 27.
- 28. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 28.
- 29. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 30.
- 31. The allegations of Paragraph 31 have been dismissed (Dkt. No. 49), and a response is therefore not required. Plaintiff is obligated to amend its complaint to remove these dismissed allegations, and Lite-On reserves all rights and remedies in this regard. In any event, Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 31.
  - 32. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 32.

### **COUNT III**

## **ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF THE '486 PATENT**

- 33. Lite-On incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 32 above as if fully set forth herein.
  - 34. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 34.
  - 35. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 35.
  - 36. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 36.
  - 37. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 37.
  - 38. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 38.
  - 39. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 39.
  - 40. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 40.
  - 41. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 41.
  - 42. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 42.
- 43. The allegations of Paragraph 43 have been dismissed (Dkt. No. 49), and a response is therefore not required. Plaintiff is obligated to amend its complaint to remove these dismissed allegations, and Lite-On reserves all rights and remedies in this regard. In any event, Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 43.
  - 44. Lite-On denies the allegations in Paragraph 44.



25

26

27

28

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

