

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JS-6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., <i>Plaintiff,</i> v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD., and SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., <i>Defendants.</i></p> | <p>Case No. 8:17-cv-00981-JVS-JCG</p> <p>ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY LITIGATION PENDING OUTCOME OF IPR PROCEEDINGS</p> |
|---|--|

| | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| <p>DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., <i>Plaintiff,</i> v. CREE, INC., <i>Defendant.</i></p> | <p>Case No. 2:17-cv-04263-JVS-JCG</p> |
|--|---------------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| <p>DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., <i>Plaintiff,</i> v. EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC., <i>Defendants.</i></p> | <p>Case No. 2:17-cv-04273-JVS-JCG</p> |
|--|---------------------------------------|

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., Case No. 2:17-cv-06050-JVS-JCG
Plaintiff,
v.
LITE-ON, INC., and LITE-ON
TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
Defendants.

1 Before the Court is the parties' Joint Stipulation to Stay Litigation. The
2 parties have agreed to stay the above-captioned actions in light of the outstanding
3 petitions for *inter partes* review and related *inter partes* proceedings instituted by
4 the USPTO. The Court concludes that a stay is appropriate here.

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 6 1. The parties' Joint Stipulation to Stay Litigation is GRANTED; and
7 2. The above-captioned actions are STAYED removed from the active
8 caseload.
9 3. The parties are ORDERED to file a Joint Status Report every 60 days
10 regarding the status of the above petitions for *inter partes* review or within 10 days
11 of (1) a determination by the PTAB whether to institute any additional petitions; or
12 (2) the issuance of a Final Written Decision by the PTAB for any instituted requests
13 for *inter partes* review, whichever is earlier.
14

15
16 Dated: July 27, 2018

17 
18 _____
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28