

1 RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
Brian Ledahl (CA SB No. 186579)
2 Neil A. Rubin (CA SB No. 250761)
Jacob Buczko (CA SB No. 269408)
3 RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard 12th Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: 310-826-7474
5 Facsimile: 310-826-6991
bledahl@raklaw.com
6 nrubin@raklaw.com
jbuczko@raklaw.com
7

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Document Security Systems, Inc.*

9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SOUTHERN DIVISION**

12 DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS,
13 INC., a New York corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO.,
17 LTD., and EVERLIGHT AMERICAS,
18 INC.,

19 Defendants.
20

Case No. 2:17-cv-04273-JVS-JCG

**PLAINTIFF DOCUMENT
SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC.'S
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Judge: Honorable James V. Selna
Date: November 13, 2017
Time: 1:30 pm
Place: Courtroom 10C

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Table of Contents

Page

1 I. INTRODUCTION 1

2 II. BACKGROUND 2

3 III. LEGAL STANDARDS 4

4 IV. ARGUMENT 7

5 A. This Court has Personal Jurisdiction over ELA. 7

6 B. DSS More Than Sufficiently Pleads Direct Infringement 12

7 1. The '771 Patent..... 14

8 2. The '087 Patent 15

9 3. The '787 and '486 Patents 16

10 C. DSS More Than Sufficiently Pleads Induced Infringement. 17

11 D. DSS Sufficiently Pleads Everlight’s Willful/Egregious

12 Infringement. 23

13 E. Even If Everlight’s Motion Were Well Taken, DSS Should Be

14 Granted Leave to Amend..... 25

15 V. CONCLUSION..... 25

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Table of Authorities

Page

Cases

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Advanced Optical Tracking, LLC v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V.,
CV 12-1292-LPS-CJB, 2013 WL 4786463 (D. Del. Sept. 9, 2013) 23

Advanced Optical Tracking, LLC v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V.,
CV 12-1292-LPS-CJB, 2013 WL 5486857 (D. Del. Sept. 30, 2013) 23

AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp.,
689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 6

Ameranth, Inc. v. Hilton Resorts Corp.,
No. 11-CV-1810 JLS (NLS)
2013 WL 12071642 (S.D. Cal. July 18, 2013) 20

Anglefix Tech, LLC v. NuVasive, Inc.,
No. 13-CV-983-BEN RBB, 2014 WL 197736 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 14, 2014) 7

Ashcroft v. Iqbal,
556 U.S. 662 (2009)..... passim

Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly,
550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... 4, 5, 19, 20

Beverly Hills Fan Co. v. Royal Sovereign Corp.,
21 F.3d 1558 (Fed.Cir.1994) passim

Carl Zeiss AG v. Nikon Corp., et al,
2:17-cv-03221 (RGK-MRW) (September 27, 2017, C.D. Cal)..... 9

Cont'l Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.,
No. CV16-2026 PHX DGC, 2017 WL 679116 (D. Ariz. Feb. 21, 2017) 13

DermaFocus LLC v. Ulthera, Inc.,
201 F. Supp. 3d 465 (D. Del. 2016)..... 24

DeSoto v. Yellow Freight System, Inc.,
957 F.2d 655 (9th Cir. 1992) 25

Everlight Electronics Co., LTD., v. Bridgelux, Inc.,
No. C 17-03363 (N.D. Cal.)..... 12

Halo Electronics, Inc. v. Pulse Electronics, Inc.,
___ U.S. ___, 136 S. Ct. 1923, 195 L. Ed. 2d 278 (2016)..... 7, 23, 24, 25

In re Bill of Lading Transmission and Processing Sys. Patent Litig.,
681 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012) passim

Incom Corp. v. The Walt Disney Co.,
No. CV15-3011 PSG (MRWx)
2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 71319 (C.D. Cal. Feb 4, 2016) 6, 13

K-Tech Telecomms., Inc. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.,
714 F.3d 1227 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 5

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

Table of Authorities

(continued)

Page

1 *Labyrinth Optical Techs., LLC v. Fujitsu Am., Inc.*,
SACV 13-0030 AG (MLGx (C.D. Cal. Aug. 21, 2013))..... 22

2 *Largan Precision Co, Ltd v. Genius Elec. Optical Co.*,
3 No. 13-CV-02502-WHO,
2013 WL 5934698 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2013) 20, 23

4 *Lifetime Indus., Inc. v. Trim-Lok, Inc.*,
5 ____ F.3d ____ (Fed. Cir. 2017), 2017 WL 3908174 (Sep. 7, 2017)..... 5

6 *Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst. at Harbor-UCLA Med. Ctr. v. Eli Lilly &*
7 *Co.*,
No. LACV1308567JAKJCGX
2014 WL 11241786 (C.D. Cal. May 12, 2014) 21

8 *Manzarek v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*,
9 519 F.3d 1025 (9th Cir. 2008) 4

10 *McAfee Enterprises, Inc. v. Yamaha Corp. of Am.*,
11 No. CV2162562BROFFM,
2016 WL 6920675 (C.D. Cal. June 24, 2016) 6

12 *Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.*,
545 U.S. 913 (2005)..... 7

13 *N. Star Innovations, Inc v. Etron Tech. Am. Inc.*,
14 No. CV 8:16-00599, 2016 WL 9046909 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2016) 14

15 *Nanosys, Inc. v. OD Vision, Inc.*,
2016 WL 4943006 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2016) 22

16 *Nichia Corp. v. Everlight Americas, Inc.*,
17 855 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 11

18 *Nichia Corp. v. Everlight Elecs. Co.*,
19 No. 02:13-CV-702-JRG
2016 WL 310142 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 25, 2016)..... 4, 11, 19

20 *Nuance Commc'ns, Inc. v. Abbyy Software House*,
626 F.3d 1222 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 5

21 *Oak Industries, Inc. v. Zenith Electronics Corp.*,
22 697 F. Supp. 988 (N.D. Ill. 1988) 7

23 *Polar Electro Oy v. Suunto Oy*,
829 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 5, 6

24 *Precision Co, Ltd v. Genius Elec. Optical Co.*,
25 No. 13-CV-02502-WHO, 2013 WL 5934698 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2013) 23

26 *Reiber v. W. Digital Corp.*,
No. 2:14-CV-00763-KJM, 2015 WL 1509212 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 1, 2015)..... 19

27 *Shroyer v. New Cingular Wireless Servs., Inc.*,
28 622 F.3d 1035 (9th Cir. 2010) 4

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

Table of Authorities

(continued)

Page

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sockeye Licensing TX, LLC v. Lenovo (US), Inc.,
2:17-cv-05266 (JVS(DFMx), (October 11, 2017, C.D. Cal) 6, 14, 22

Straight Path IP Grp., Inc. v. Apple Inc.,
No. C 16-03582 WHA, 2017 WL 3967864 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 9, 2017)..... 7, 24

TCL Commc’ns Tech. Holding Ltd. v. Telefonaktenbologet LM Ericsson,
No. SACV 14-00341-JVS
2014 WL 12588293 (C.D. Cal. Sep. 30, 2014) 20

Unilin Beheer B.V. v. Tropical Flooring,
No. CV 14-02209 BRO SSX,
2014 WL 2795360 (C.D. Cal. June 13, 2014) 19

Unisone Strategic IP, Inc. v. Life Techs. Corp.,
No. 3:13-CV-1278-GPC-JMA
2013 WL 5729487 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2013) 21

Walden v. Fiore,
134 S. Ct. 1115 (2014)..... 5

Xilinx, Inc. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG,
848 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 5

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 284 7, 24

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 25

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.