

1 Michael Bednarek (admitted *pro hac vice*)
2 michael.bednarek@arlaw.com
3 ADAMS AND REESE LLP
4 20 F Street NW, Suite 500
5 Washington, District of Columbia 20001
6 Telephone: (202) 478-1216
7 Facsimile: (202) 478-1238

8 Ben M. Davidson (SBN 181464)
9 b davidson@davidson-lawfirm.com
10 DAVIDSON LAW GROUP, ALC
11 11377 West Olympic Boulevard
12 Los Angeles, California 90064
13 Telephone: (310) 473-2300
14 Facsimile: (310) 473-2941

15 Counsel for Defendants
16 Everlight Electronics Co.
17 and Everlight Americas, Inc.

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

20 DOCUMENT SECURITY
21 SYSTEMS, INC.,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO.,
25 LTD., AND EVERLIGHT
26 AMERICAS, INC.,

27 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-04273-JVS-JCG

**DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS**

[Filed concurrently with Notice Motion to
Dismiss, Request for Judicial Notice,
Declaration of Ben M. Davidson in
Support, and [Proposed] Order]

Hon. James V. Selna

Date: November 13, 2017
Time: 1:30 pm
Courtroom: 10C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- I. INTRODUCTION 1
- II. BACKGROUND 2
- III. LEGAL STANDARDS 2
 - A. Pleading standards under the federal rules 2
- IV. ARGUMENT 3
 - A. DSS Improperly Conflates Two Distinct Corporate Entities as “Defendants” and Fails to State Which Allegation Relates to Which Company, Thus Failing to Properly Allege Infringement or Personal Jurisdiction 3
 - B. Plaintiff Fails to Adequately Plead Direct Infringement..... 8
 - 1. Plaintiff’s “Illustrative Example” Fails To Provide A Factual Basis For Alleging Infringement Against Scores of Other Products and Product Series 8
 - 2. Plaintiff’s Claim for Direct Infringement Of The ’771 Patent is Deficient and Implausible 11
 - 3. Plaintiff’s Claim for Direct Infringement Of The ‘087 Patent is Deficient and Implausible 14
 - 4. Plaintiff’s Claim for Direct Infringement Of The ‘787 Patent is Deficient and Implausible 15
 - 5. Plaintiff’s Claim for Direct Infringement Of The ‘486 Patent is Deficient and Implausible 16
 - C. Plaintiff Fails to Adequately Plead Induced Infringement..... 17
 - D. Plaintiff Fails to Adequately Plead Willful Infringement 22
- V. CONCLUSION..... 24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

1

2 **CASES**

3 *Action Embroidery Corp. v. Atl. Embroidery, Inc.*
 368 F.3d 1174, 1180 (9th Cir. 2004) 5

4 *Alsop v. Carolina Custom Prods.*
 No. EDCV 07-212-VAP 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65679,
 at *21 (C.D. Cal. June 29, 2007) 6

6 *Ameranth, Inc. v. Hilton Resorts Corp.*
 No. 11-CV-1810 JLS (NLS), 2013 WL 12071642,
 at *8-9 (S.D. Cal. July 18, 2013) 21

8 *Asghari-Kamrani v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*
 No. 2:15-CV-478, 2016 WL 1253533, at *3-4 (E.D. Va. Mar. 22, 2016) 11

9 *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*
 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009)..... 3, 8, 20, 23

10 *Astornet Techs. Inc. v. BAE Sys., Inc.*
 802 F.3d 1271, 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 17

11 *Atlas IP, L.L.C. v. Exelon Corp.*
 189 F. Supp. 3d 768, 772, 774-76 (N.D. Ill. 2016)..... 12

12 *Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int’l Co.*
 552 F.3d 1324, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 6

13 *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*
 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)..... passim

14 *Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz*
 471 U.S. 462, 474, 105 S. Ct. 2174, 85 L. Ed. 2d 528 (1985)..... 6

15 *CG Tech. Dev., LLC v. Big Fish Games, Inc.*
 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 115594, at *44 (D. Nev. Aug. 29, 2016) 24

16 *Continental Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.*
 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23842, (D. Az. 2017) 10, 24

17 *Daimler AG v. Bauman*
 134 S. Ct. 746, 761 (2014)..... 6

18 *Digital Corp. v. iBaby Labs, Inc.*
 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 111689, at *3, *3 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2016) 11, 13

19 *Digital Reg of Tex., LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.*
 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93814, 2013 WL 3361241, at *4 (2013) 9

20 *Dole Food Co. v. Watts*
 303 F.3d 1104, 1111 (9th Cir. 2002) 7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 *e.Digital Corp. v. iBaby Labs, Inc.*
 2 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 111689, at *13 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2016) 13

3 *Elecs. for Imaging, Inc. v. Coyle*
 4 340 F.3d 1344, 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 6

5 *Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys.*
 6 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87657, at *15 (N.D. Cal. June 7, 2017)..... 24

7 *Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.*
 8 No. 13-cv-05808-HSG, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 44038,
 9 at *18 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2015) 8

10 *Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.*
 11 563 U.S. 754, 764, 131 S. Ct. 2060, 2068 (2011)..... 18, 20

12 *GN Resound A/S v. Callpod, Inc.*
 13 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 40402, 2013 WL 1190651,
 14 at *4 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2013) 9

15 *Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown*
 16 564 U.S. 915, 131 S. Ct. 2846, 2851 (2011)..... 6

17 *Grecia v. VUDU, Inc.*
 18 No. C-14-1220-EMC, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16256,
 19 at *29 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2015)..... 20

20 *Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.*
 21 136 S. Ct. 1923, 1935 (2016) 23, 24

22 *Hebbe v. Pliler*
 23 627 F.3d 338, 341-42 (9th Cir. 2010) 3

24 *Info-Hold, Inc. v. Muzak LLC*
 25 783 F.3d 1365, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 17

26 *Jenkins v. LogicMark, LLC*
 27 Civil Action No. 3:16-CV-751-HEH, 2017 U.S. Dist.
 28 LEXIS 10975, at *7 (E.D. Va. Jan. 25, 2017) 10

Joy Tech., Inc. v. Flakt, Inc.
 6 F.3d 770, 774 (Fed. Cir. 1993) 13

Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n
 545 F.3d 1340, 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 20, 21

Macronix Int’l Co. v. Spansion Inc.
 4 F. Supp. 3d 797, 804 (E.D. Va. 2014) 12

Microsoft Corp. v. DataTern, Inc.
 755 F.3d 899, 904 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 20

Moss v. U.S. Secret Serv.
 572 F.3d 962, 969 (9th Cir. 2009) 3

1 *MyMedicalRecords, Inc. v. Jardogs, LLC*
 2 1 F. Supp. 3d 1020, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19142,
 at *10 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 14, 2014)..... 19

3 *N. Star Innovations, Inc. v. Etron Tech. Am. Inc.*
 4 No. CV 8:16-00599, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 188041,
 at *10 (C.D. Cal. Sep. 21, 2016)..... 10, 12, 13, 14

5 *Pacing Techs., LLC v. Garmin Int’l., Inc.*
 6 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15728 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2013)..... 23

7 *Paragon Solutions, LLC v. Timex Corp.*
 8 566 F.3d 1075, 1091 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 21

9 *Pieczenik v. Dyax Corp.*
 265 F.3d 1329, 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 5

10 *Proxyconn Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.*
 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 70614, at *18 (C.D. Cal. May 16, 2012)..... 19

11 *Senne v. Kan. City Royals Baseball Corp.*
 12 105 F. Supp. 3d 981, 1021 (N.D. Cal. 2015)..... 6

13 *Star Envirotech, Inc. v. Redline Detection, LLC*
 14 No. SACV 12-01861 JGB, 2014 WL 12589111,
 at *5 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2014) 21

15 *TCL Communications Tech. Holdings, Ltd. v. Telefonaktenbologet*
 16 *LM Ericsson No.*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 197559,
 *28 (C.D. Cal. Sep. 30, 2014)..... 19, 20, 22

17 *TeleSign Corp. v. Twilio, Inc.*
 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 123516 *7-8 14

18 *Unilin Beheer B.V. v. Tropical Flooring*
 19 No. CV 14-02209 BRO (SSX), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85955,
 at *17 (C.D. Cal. June 13, 2014) 22, 23

20 *Unisone Strategic IP, Inc. v. Life Techs. Corp.*
 21 No. 3:13-cv-1278-GPC-JMA, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 151761,
 at *7 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2013) (S.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2013) 20, 21

22 *Varian Med. Sys. v. Elekta AB*
 23 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 91226, at *27 (D. Del. July 12, 2016) 24

24 *Williams v. Yamaha Motor Co.*
 851 F.3d 1015, 1023 (9th Cir. 2017) 7

25 *World Traveling Fools, L.L.C. v. Diamond Aircraft Indus., Inc.*
 26 No. 11-61670-CIV, 2014 WL 4102160, at *4, 2014
 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 114310, at *9 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 15, 2014) 6

27

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.