Case	2:17-cv-04273-JVS-JCG Document 22	Filed 09/11/17 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:134
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Michael Bednarek (<i>pro hac vice</i> applic Adams and Reese LLP 20 F Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, District of Columbia 200 <u>michael.bednarek@arlaw.com</u> Telephone: (202) 478-1216 Facsimile: (202) 478-1238 Ben M. Davidson (SBN 181464) <u>bdavidson@davidson-lawfirm.com</u> DAVIDSON LAW GROUP, ALC 11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90064 Telephone: (310) 473-2300 Facsimile: (310) 473-2941	
12	Counsel for Defendants Everlight Electronics Co. and	
14	Everlight Americas, Inc.	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION	
18		
19	DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-04273-JVS-JCG
20		
21	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND
22	VS.	TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED]
23	EVEDI ICHT ELECTRONICO CO	ORDER THEREON
24	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., AND EVERLIGHT	Hon. James V. Selna
25	AMERICAS, INC.,	
26	Defendants.	[Proposed] Order Filed Herewith]
27	Derendants.	
28		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Plaintiff Document Security Systems, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant
 Everlight Americas, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Everlight Americas") and
 Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd., a Taiwanese corporation ("Everlight Electronics")
 (collectively "Defendants") hereby stipulate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2)
 as follows:

WHEREAS, in response to Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants intended to file a motion to dismiss, including at least to dismiss certain of the claims asserted therein under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6);

WHEREAS, consistent with their obligations under Local Rule 7-3, counsel for Defendants and for Plaintiff met and conferred in good faith on September 5, 2017;

WHEREAS, after the Parties' good faith efforts to meet and confer,Plaintiff confirmed on September 6, 2017, that it intended to file a First AmendedComplaint;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff offered, and the Parties have agreed that Defendants
should have a two-week extension of the current date for Defendants' to respond
to the Complaint—from September 12, 2017 to September 26, 2017—because
Plaintiff anticipates filing an amended complaint sometime during that period that
would supersede the current Complaint and set a new deadline for a responsive
pleading.

WHEREAS, the Parties respectfully submit that good cause exists for the relief proposed in this Stipulation in order to foster judicial economy and judicial efficiency;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties herein stipulate, and request that the Court
order, that Defendants shall have until September 26, 2017 to respond to the
Complaint.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

25

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case	2:17-cv-04273-JVS-JCG Document 22 Filed 09/11/17 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:136	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Dated: September 7, 2017 RUSS AUGUST & KABAT By: <u>/s/ Brian Ledahl (with permission)</u> Brian Ledahl Attorneys for Plaintiff Document Security Systems, Inc.	
7 8	Dated: September 7, 2017 DAVIDSON LAW GROUP, ALC	
8 9	By: _/s/ Ben M. Davidson	
10 11 12	Ben M. Davidson Counsel for Defendant Everlight Americas, Inc. and Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd.	
13 14	Α ΤΤΕΩΤΑ ΤΙΩΝΙ ΔΕ Α ΠΤΗΔΡΙΖΑ ΤΙΩΝΙ	
15	ATTESTATION OF AUTHORIZATION Pursuant to Section 2(f)(4) of the electronic case filing administrative	
16	policies and procedures manual, I hereby certify that the content of this document	
17	is acceptable to Brian Ledahl, counsel for Plaintiff, and I have obtained his	
18 19	authorization to affix his electronic signature to this document	
20	/s/ Ben M. Davidson	
21	Ben M. Davidson	
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20		
28		