

1 Marc Toberoff (State Bar No. 188547)
 mtoberoff@toberoffandassociates.com
 2 Keith G. Adams (State Bar No. 240497)
 kadams@toberoffandassociates.com
 3 Pablo D. Arredondo (State Bar No. 241142)
 parredondo@toberoffandassociates.com
 4 David Harris (State Bar No. 255557)
 dharris@toberoffandassociates.com
 5 TOBEROFF & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
 22337 Pacific Coast Highway, #348
 6 Malibu, California, 90265
 Telephone: (310) 246-3333
 7 Fax: (310) 246-3101

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim
 Defendant, Laura Siegel Larson,
 9 individually and as personal representative
 of the Estate of Joanne Siegel

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION**

12 LAURA SIEGEL LARSON,
 13 individually and as personal
 representative of the ESTATE OF
 14 JOANNE SIEGEL,
 15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT
 INC., DC COMICS, and DOES 1-10,
 18 Defendants and
 19 Counterclaimants.

20 LAURA SIEGEL LARSON,
 individually and as personal
 21 representative of the ESTATE OF
 JOANNE SIEGEL,
 22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 TIME WARNER INC., WARNER
 COMMUNICATIONS INC.,
 25 WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT
 INC., WARNER BROS. TELEVISION
 26 PRODUCTION INC., DC COMICS,
 27 and DOES 1-10,
 28 Defendants and
 Counterclaimants

Case No: 04-CV-08400 ODW (RZx)*
 Case No: 04-CV-08776 ODW (RZx)*

Hon. Otis D. Wright II, U.S.D.J.
 Hon. Ralph Zarefsky, U.S.M.J.

**PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DC
 COMICS' MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN THE
 SIEGEL SUPERMAN AND
 SUPERBOY CASES**

*Declaration of Keith Adams and
 Statement of Genuine Issues of Fact and
 Law filed concurrently*

Date: March 25, 2013*
 Time: 1:30 p.m.*
 Place: Courtroom 11*

*: The Court has stated that it will take
 the motion(s) under submission and hold
 a hearing if necessary. Dkt. 707. Docket
 citations herein are to Case No. 04-CV-
 08400.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 INTRODUCTION 1

3 STATEMENT OF FACTS 3

4 STANDARD OF REVIEW 6

5 ARGUMENT 6

6 I. THE MANDATE DOES NOT SUPPORT PREMATURE

7 JUDGMENT 6

8 II. ISSUES OF MATERIAL FACT PREVENT SUMMARY

9 JUDGMENT 9

10 A. The October 19, 2001 Letter Did Not Transfer The Copyrights

11 And DC Is Not Entitled To A Declaration Of Rights To That

12 Effect 9

13 1. The Letter’s Language Does Not Support DC’s

14 Interpretation 9

15 2. Any Ambiguity Must Be Resolved By The Trier Of Fact 10

16 3. The Circuit Did Not Hold That The Siegels Assigned

17 Rights 10

18 4. A Judgment That The Siegels “Are” Obligated To Transfer

19 Rights To DC Would Not Settle The Issue 11

20 B. DC Is Not Entitled To Specific Performance 12

21 1. DC Did Not Perform Or Even Offer To Perform 14

22 2. The Failure To Complete A Long-Form Agreement Did

23 Not Excuse DC’s Non-Performance 15

24 3. The Siegels Did Not Breach The Letter 16

25 a. DC’s Anticipatory Breach/Repudiation 16

26 b. DC’s Actual Breach 19

27 c. The Siegels’ Rescission 20

28 d. DC’s Acquiescence and Abandonment 22

III. FEDERAL COPYRIGHT LAW PREVENTS SUMMARY

JUDGMENT AS TO SUPERBOY AND THE SUPERMAN ADS 23

CONCLUSION 25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Abdulkhalik v. City of San Diego,
2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 110062 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2009)..... 12

Anderson v. Liberty Lobby,
477 U.S. 242 (1986)..... 6

Arachnid, Inc. v. Merit Indus., Inc.,
939 F.2d 1574 (Fed. Cir. 1991) 10

Barndt v. County of L.A.,
211 Cal. App. 3d 397 (1989) 12

Beverage v. Canton Pacer Mining Co.,
43 Cal. 2d 769 (1955) 14-15

Blackburn v. Charnley,
117 Cal. App. 4th 758 (2004) 13

Brown v. Grimes,
192 Cal. App. 4th 265 (2011) 19

Campanelli v. Bockrath,
1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7981 (N.D. Cal. June 4, 1997)..... 8

Castaneda v. Dura-Vent Corp.,
648 F.2d 612 (9th Cir. 1981) 10

CDF Firefighters v. Maldonado,
158 Cal. App. 4th 1226 (2008) 13

City of Hollister v. Monterey Ins. Co.,
165 Cal. App. 4th 455 (2008) 16

Classic Media, Inc. v. Mewborn,
532 F.3d 978 (9th Cir. 2008) 24

Darling Int’l, Inc. Baywood Partners, Inc.,
2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50985 (N.D. Cal. July 13, 2007) 18

Daugherty Co. v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.,
14 Cal. App. 3d 151 (1971) 23

Dobson v. Twin City Fire Ins. Co.,
2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93823 (C.D. Cal. July 5, 2012)..... 15

Doe v. State of Nebraska,
2002 WL 225907 (D. Neb. Dec. 14, 2002) 8

1 *Edgerly v. City & County of San Francisco*,
 2 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 155192 (N.D. Cal. May 26, 2011)..... 8

3 *Edlin v. M/V Truthseeker*,
 4 69 F.3d 392 (9th Cir. 1995) 8

5 *Facebook, Inc. v. Pac. Nw. Software, Inc.*,
 6 640 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2011) 15

7 *Fed. Deposit Ins. Corp. v. Air Florida Sys., Inc.*,
 8 822 F.2d 833 (9th Cir. 1987) 22

9 *Ferguson v. City of Cathedral City*,
 10 197 Cal. App. 4th 1161 (2011) 16

11 *Firth v. United States*,
 12 554 F.2d 990 (9th Cir. 1977) 8

13 *Freedman v. St. Matthias Parish*,
 14 37 Cal. 2d 16 (1951) 18

15 *Freeman v. Mostafavi*,
 16 2005 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 10154 (Cal. App. 2d Dist. Nov. 8, 2005)..... 23

17 *Golden West Baseball Co. v. City of Anaheim*,
 18 25 Cal. App. 4th 11 (1994) 13

19 *Griffin v. Beresa, Inc.*,
 20 143 Cal. App. 2d 299 (1956) 22

21 *Grunwald-Marx, Inc. v. Los Angeles Joint Board, Amalgamated Clothing Workers*
 22 192 Cal. App. 2d 268 (1961) 22

23 *Guidiville Band of Pomo Indians v. NGV Gaming, Ltd.*,
 24 531 F.3d 767 (9th Cir. 2008) 7

25 *Hall v. City of L.A.*,
 26 697 F.3d 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) 8

27 *Hil-Mac Corp. v. Mendo Wood Products, Inc.*,
 28 235 Cal. App. 2d 526 (1965) 22

Hull v. Ray,
 211 Cal. 164 (1930) 20

Honda v. Reed,
 156 Cal. App. 2d 536 (1958) 23

Industrial Indemnity v. Superior Court,
 224 Cal. App. 3d 828 (1990) 10

Irwin v. American Interactive Media,
 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16223 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 14, 1994)..... 21

1 *Jaunich v. Nat’l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, PA,*
 2 647 F. Supp. 209 (N.D.Cal.1986) 21

3 *Johnson v. Goldberg,*
 4 130 Cal. App. 2d 571 (1955) 18

5 *Katz v. Cal-Western Reconveyance Corp.,*
 6 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98940 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2010) 13

7 *Lancaster v. Tilton,*
 8 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 48403 (N.D. Cal. June 21, 2007)..... 8

9 *Larson v. Warner Bros. Entm’t, Inc.,*
 10 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 671 (9th Cir. January 10, 2013)..... 1, 7, 11

11 *Li’l Red Barn, Inc. v. Red Barn Sys., Inc.,*
 12 322 F. Supp. 98 (N.D. Ind. 1970) 9

13 *Local 659, I.A.T.S.E. v. Color Corp. of America,*
 14 47 Cal. 2d 189 (1956) 16

15 *Loop Building Co. v. De Coo,*
 16 97 Cal. App. 354 (1929) 17, 20

17 *Maffei v. N. Ins. Co. of N.Y.,*
 18 12 F.3d 892 (9th Cir. 1993) 10

19 *Mammoth Lakes Land Acquisition, LLC v. Town of Mammoth Lakes,*
 20 191 Cal. App. 4th 435 (2010) 16, 18

21 *Marvel Characters v. Simon,*
 22 310 F.3d 280 (2d Cir. 2002) 24

23 *McCreary v. Mercury Lumber Distributors,*
 24 124 Cal. App. 2d 477 (1957) 23

25 *Milne v. Stephen Slesinger, Inc.,*
 26 430 F.3d 1036 (9th Cir. 2005) 24

27 *Mycogen Corp. v. Monsanto Co.,*
 28 28 Cal. 4th 888 (2002) 12

N.Y. Times v. Tasini,
 533 U.S. 483 (2001)..... 24

Narayan v. EGL, Inc.,
 616 F.3d 895 (9th Cir. 2010) 6

Ninety Nine Invest. v. Overseas Courier Service (Sing.) Priv.,
 113 Cal. App. 4th 1118 (2003) 14

Odima v. Westin Tucson Hotel,
 53 F.3d 1484 (9th Cir. 1995) 8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.