

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

209089Orig1s000

209090Orig1s000

OTHER REVIEW(S)

505(b)(2) ASSESSMENT

Application Information		
NDA # 209089	NDA Supplement #: S-	Efficacy Supplement Type SE-
Proprietary Name: Xyzal Allergy 24HR Established/Proper Name: levocetirizine dihydrochloride Dosage Form: tablets Strengths: 5 mg		
Applicant: UCB, Inc.		
Date of Receipt: March 31, 2016		
PDUFA Goal Date: January 31, 2016		Action Goal Date (if different):
RPM: Sherry Stewart		
Proposed Indication(s): Partial RX to OTC switch for the following: Uses: temporarily relieves these symptoms due to hay fever or other upper respiratory allergies: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• runny nose• sneezing• itchy, watery eyes• itching of the nose or throat		

GENERAL INFORMATION

1) Is this application for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product *OR* is the applicant relying on a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product to support approval of the proposed product?

YES NO

If "YES" contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.



**INFORMATION PROVIDED VIA RELIANCE
(LISTED DRUG OR LITERATURE)**

- 2) List the information essential to the approval of the proposed drug that is provided by reliance on our previous finding of safety and efficacy for a listed drug by reliance on published literature, or by reliance on a final OTC monograph. *(If not clearly identified by the applicant, this information can usually be derived from annotated labeling.)*

Source of information* (e.g., published literature, name of listed drug(s), OTC final drug monograph)	Information relied-upon (e.g., specific sections of the application or labeling)

*each source of information should be listed on separate rows, however individual literature articles should not be listed separately

- 3) The bridge in a 505(b)(2) application is information to demonstrate sufficient similarity between the proposed product and the listed drug(s) or to justify reliance on information described in published literature for approval of the 505(b)(2) product. Describe in detail how the applicant bridged the proposed product to the listed drug(s) and/or published literature¹. [See also Guidance for Industry Providing Clinical Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products.](#)

Bridge for nonclinical information has been previously established per NDA 22064 and NDA 22157. The pending OTC NDA cross references these approved RX NDAs.

RELIANCE ON PUBLISHED LITERATURE

- 4) (a) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly stated a reliance on published literature to support their application, is reliance on published literature necessary to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application *cannot* be approved as labeled without the published literature)?

YES NO

If "NO," proceed to question #5.

- (b) Does any of the published literature necessary to support approval identify a specific (e.g., brand name) *listed* drug product?

YES NO

If "NO," proceed to question #5.

If "YES", list the listed drug(s) identified by name and answer question #4(c).

- (c) Are the drug product(s) listed in (b) identified by the applicant as the listed drug(s)?

YES NO

¹For 505(b)(2) applications that rely on a listed drug(s), bridging studies are often BA/BE studies comparing the proposed product to the listed drug(s). Other examples include: comparative physicochemical tests and bioassay; preclinical data (which may include bridging toxicology studies); pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) data; and clinical data (which may

RELIANCE ON LISTED DRUG(S)

Reliance on published literature which identifies a specific approved (listed) drug constitutes reliance on that listed drug. Please answer questions #5-9 accordingly.

- 5) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly cited reliance on listed drug(s), does the application **rely** on the finding of safety and effectiveness for one or more listed drugs (approved drugs) to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application cannot be approved without this reliance)?

YES NO

If "NO," proceed to question #10.

- 6) Name of listed drug(s) relied upon, and the NDA #(s). Please indicate if the applicant explicitly identified the product as being relied upon (see note below):

Name of Listed Drug	NDA #	Did applicant specify reliance on the product? (Y/N)
Zyrtec (cetirizine) tablets	NDA 19835	Yes
Zyrtec (cetirizine) oral syrup	NDA 20346	Yes
Zyrtec (cetirizine) chewable tablets	NDA 21621	Yes

Applicants should specify reliance on the 356h, in the cover letter, and/or with their patent certification/statement. If you believe there is reliance on a listed product that has not been explicitly identified as such by the applicant, please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

- 7) If this is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(2) application, does the supplement rely upon the same listed drug(s) as the original (b)(2) application?

N/A YES NO

If this application is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(1) application or not a supplemental application, answer "N/A".

If "NO", please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

- 8) Were any of the listed drug(s) relied upon for this application:

- a) Approved in a 505(b)(2) application?

YES NO

If "YES", please list which drug(s).

Name of drug(s) approved in a 505(b)(2) application:

- b) Approved by the DESI process?

YES NO

If "YES", please list which drug(s).

Name of drug(s) approved via the DESI process:

- c) Described in a final OTC drug monograph?

YES NO
If "YES", please list which drug(s).

Name of drug(s) described in a final OTC drug monograph:

d) Discontinued from marketing?

YES NO
If "YES", please list which drug(s) and answer question d) i. below.
If "NO", proceed to question #9.

Name of drug(s) discontinued from marketing:

NDA 20346 Zyrtec (cetirizine hydrochloride) syrup, 5 mg/5 mL

i) Were the products discontinued for reasons related to safety or effectiveness?

YES NO

(Information regarding whether a drug has been discontinued from marketing for reasons of safety or effectiveness may be available in the Orange Book. Refer to section 1.11 for an explanation, and section 6.1 for the list of discontinued drugs. If a determination of the reason for discontinuation has not been published in the Federal Register (and noted in the Orange Book), you will need to research the archive file and/or consult with the review team. Do not rely solely on any statements made by the sponsor.)

9) Describe the change from the listed drug(s) relied upon to support this (b)(2) application (for example, "This application provides for a new indication, otitis media" or "This application provides for a change in dosage form, from capsule to solution").

This application is for the L-enantiomer of the active ingredient that is being relied upon, and provides for a partial Rx-to-OTC switch.

The purpose of the following two questions is to determine if there is an approved drug product that is equivalent or very similar to the product proposed for approval that should be referenced as a listed drug in the pending application.

The assessment of pharmaceutical equivalence for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product is complex. If you answered YES to question #1, proceed to question #12; if you answered NO to question #1, proceed to question #10 below.

10) (a) Is there a pharmaceutical equivalent(s) to the product proposed in the 505(b)(2) application that is already approved (via an NDA or ANDA)?

(Pharmaceutical equivalents are drug products in identical dosage forms intended for the same route of administration that: (1) contain identical amounts of the identical active drug ingredient, i.e., the same salt or ester of the same therapeutic moiety, or, in the case of modified release dosage forms that require a reservoir or overage or such forms as prefilled syringes where residual volume may vary, that deliver identical amounts of the active drug ingredient over the identical dosing period; (2) do not necessarily contain the same inactive ingredients; and (3) meet the identical compendial or other applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and purity, including potency and, where applicable, content uniformity, disintegration times, and/or dissolution rates. (21 CFR 320.1(c), FDA's "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" (the Orange Book)).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.