CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

208700Orig1s000

OTHER ACTION LETTERS

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.



Food and Drug Administration Silver Spring MD 20993

NDA 208700

COMPLETE RESPONSE

Advanced Accelerator Applications USA, Inc. Attention: Victor G. Paulus, Ph.D. Head of Regulatory Affairs 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6902 New York, NY 10118

Dear Dr. Paulus:

DOCKE

Please refer to your New Drug Application (NDA) dated April 27, 2016, received April 28, 2016, and your October 18 and 19, 2016, amendments, submitted under section 505(b)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act for LUTATHERA (¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA⁰-Tyr³-Octreotate), Injection for Intravenous Infusion; 370 MBq/mL single-use vial.

We have completed our review of this application and have determined that we cannot approve this application in its present form. We have described our reasons for this action below and, where possible, our recommendations to address these issues.

CLINICAL/STATISTICAL

1. FDA is not able to verify the data submitted in NDA 208700 due to missing data, uninterpretable data, data errors and unusable datasets. In order for FDA to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA⁰-Tyr³-Octreotate, and to verify data proposed in product labeling, submit datasets from all studies included in the NDA submission that are accurate, complete, and internally consistent in order to allow FDA inspection, review, and archiving. A comprehensive review of all data submitted in the NDA was not possible due to the deficiencies catalogued above; therefore, additional deficiencies may exist that were not discovered during the course of the review.

In addition to providing accurate, complete, and internally consistent datasets, ensure that the following deficiencies are addressed in your resubmission:

- a. Provide datasets with English characters, including variable names (for example, the variable names were in Chinese character *Adverseeventsm1* data under SN 22).
- b. The legacy raw datasets appeared to be modified after the clinical data cut-off date (for example, DM information was last modified on November 14, 2015, and the most recent tumor evaluation was modified on September 23, 2016). Ensure

DOCKE.

that the raw data used to generate the datasets have been audited for completeness, missing data and errors, and provide cleaned and locked data up to the time of database lock.

- c. Minimize missing data or provide justification for why these data are not included. For example:
 - i. Essential variables for tumor assessments were missing.
 - ii. Baseline CrCl values or dosing information for several patients in the fdaclinpharmreqbaselab dataset were missing. Dosing information (for example, tdosemci) was missing even though organ radiation exposure and blood concentration (Cmax, AUC) data were provided.
 - iii. Demographic and baseline patient characteristics data were missing (for example, in the fdaclinpharmreqbaselab dataset).
 - iv. Laboratory parameters and organ exposure information was missing from clinical pharmacology datasets in the Erasmus PK study folder.
- d. The datasets contained values that appeared to be incorrect or invalid. For example, some laboratory test results appeared to be grossly out of range and incompatible with other reported data for the same patient. Furthermore, some lab test values did not have measurement units associated with them to permit an understanding of the meaning of the value. Ensure that the data are reviewed for errors and provide appropriate units of measurement for all data values.
- e. The datasets are missing unique subject IDs and study IDs. Ensure that all datasets submitted in the NDA include these variables and assign each patient a single unique subject identification (USUBJID) across the entire submission.
- f. Ensure that consistent doses are recorded across datasets (for example, for patient BE01-002: 7.541 GBq in fdaclinpharmreqbaselab and 7.274 GBq for pktp datasets). Provide consistent data across datasets or justify why there are different doses provided.
- g. In order to confirm the derived values/parameters, provide the following variables (sampled, timefadm, measurdt, bloodvol, sampvol, collvol, countval, countvau, activcon, pepticon, activity, percia, and percumia) in the Erasmus datasets, or justify why the variables cannot be provided: pp, pc, supppc, and supppp datasets.
- 2. Submit revised clinical study reports (CSR) that contain data and analyses based on the datasets submitted to address item number 1.
- 3. As required per 21 CFR 314.50, provide safety and efficacy subgroup analyses for gender, age, and racial subgroups. Also provide subgroup analyses based on stratification factors and any other important disease characteristics.

DOCKE.

FACILITIES

4. During recent inspections of the manufacturing facilities for this NDA, our field investigator observed objectionable conditions at the Advanced Accelerator Applications, Meldola, Italy (FEI#3010469290); Advanced Accelerator Applications, Colleretto Giacosa, Ivrea, Italy (FEI#3010175147); and IDB Radiopharmacy B.V., Netherlands (FEI#3010293768) facilities and conveyed the information to the representatives of each of the facilities at the close of the inspections. Satisfactory resolution of the observations is required from each of the facilities before this NDA may be approved.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 5. Submit the following in your response:
 - a. DSMB meeting minutes from the NETTER and ERASMUS trials; and,
 - b. A study data reviewer's guide and an analysis data reviewer's guide for the legacy raw data and analysis data, respectively.
- 6. In order to facilitate re-submission of the datasets, refer to the "Study Data Technical Conformance Guide: Technical Specifications Document," available at: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/DataStandards/StudyDataStandards/UCM384744.pdf, and "Providing Regulatory Submissions In Electronic Format-Standardized Study Data and Data Standards Catalog," available at: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM292334 and http://www.fda.gov/forindustry/datastandards/studydatastandards/UCM38
- 7. To facilitate FDA's review of the application, we recommend that you use the standards specified in the FDA's Data Standards Catalog.
- 8. Traceability permits an understanding of the relationships between the analysis results tables, listings and figures (TLFs) in the CSR, and the analysis datasets, tabulation datasets, and source data. To ensure data traceability, map variables in the case report forms (CRFs) to the corresponding variables in the datasets (for example, sex was reported as 916576 and 920197 but reported as Male and Female in aCRF for variable DM.DMSEX). Additionally, provide information necessary to allow FDA to understand the provenance of the data (i.e., traceability of the analysis results back to the annotated CRF).
- 9. Provide a define file that allows reviewers to understand the variables and derivations in the datasets. Additionally, ensure that the define file has sufficient comments, adequate bookmarks, and hyperlinks to the xpt files, CRFs, controlled terminologies, and

Page 4

DOCKE.

computational methods. The define files submitted to the NDA were missing metadata and there were inconsistencies between output variables and the assigned code.

- 10. Provide consistent definitions and patient numbers between datasets or explain why the discrepancies should be accepted (for example, "A" indicates treatment in fdaclinpharmreqbaselab database, but "AO" is listed as treated in the PK sub study in summpat database).
- 11. Provide consistent controlled terminology across datasets (for example, yes vs. no value was coded as no vs. yes; the treatment arms were coded as A vs. B in PFS.treat and 1 vs. 2 in PFS.treatx without control terminology for PFS.SAS7bdat).
- 12. Provide all necessary SAS programs, SAS macro, SAS format library, and adequate documents in order to duplicate the analysis datasets derivation from the raw dataset and the analysis results in the CSR and USPI.
- 13. Provide an explanation for why the calibration factor ^{(b)(4)} is used in the gamma detection of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA⁰-Tyr³-Octreotate for the following patients in the pktp dataset: DE01-006, DE01-009, DE01-010, and DE01-014, as other patients in this dataset have a calibration factor ranging from ^{(b)(4)} to ^{(b)(4)}.
- 14. Provide the analysis for patient ID NETTER-1-UK06-003 in the pp and supppp datasets.
- 15. The submitted datasets had many missing essential variables precluding our ability to accurately assess efficacy. Ensure that efficacy datasets include stratification variables based on IVRS in addition to those based on the CRFs. In addition, include age, race, gender and important disease characteristics as variables.
- 16. Provide datasets in XPT format to allow FDA to process, review and archive the data. Specifically, ensure that the following issues are addressed in the submission.
 - Split datasets to ensure that datasets are no larger than 5 GB [four datasets (Labblood, eortc, laburinem2, and adverseeventm1) were greater than 5 GB in size].
 - To reduce file size, set the allotted length for each variable to the maximum length of variables used across the entire submission.
 - Include one dataset per transport file with the same name.
 - Set the maximum length in characters for dataset and variable names to 8.
 - Set the maximum length in characters for dataset and variable labels to 40.
- 17. Use American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) text codes. We recommend that you utilize the default SAS coding system, wlatin1 (i.e., western world encoding) because the encoding system you used to generate the datasets (utf-8 Unicode) resulted in reading errors.

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

